

**IN THE COURT OF THE SPECIAL JUDGE, SPECIAL COURT FOR SC/ST
(POA) ACT CASES, THRISSUR**

Present:

Sri.Sirajudheen P A, Special Judge

Friday, 23rd day of January, 2026 / 3rd Magha, 1947

Crl.M.P-2/2026 in SC 572/2022

(Crime No. 265/2019 of Kodakara Police Station)

Petitioner :-

Muhammed Nuju (10th Accused), Aged 22/2019, S/o. Babu,
Pokkakkillath House, Karuvannur Desom, Porathussery Village,
Thrissur District.

By Adv. Sajith Babu.

Respondent:-

State of Kerala, represented by Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Chalakydy.

Represented by Special Public Prosecutor Adv.A.K.Krishnan.

This petition having been heard on 23.01.2026 and on the same day, the court passed the following:-

ORDER

This is an application for bail filed under section 480 of Bharatiya Nagarik Sureksha Sanhita. Petitioner is the 10th accused in the above case.

2.The offences alleged against the petitioner/ accused are punishable under sections 143, 147, 148, 341, 323, 324, 427, 447, 450, 307, 506 r/w 149 of IPC and 27 of Arms Act and section 3(a) of Explosive Act and 3(2)(v) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act.

3. The prosecution case is that on 26.05.2019 at 9.00 pm, the accused formed themselves into an unlawful assembly, armed with deadly weapons, committed rioting and a terror atmosphere by brandishing a sword stick and attempted to cause hurt to the defacto complainant. When he ran away and took shelter under the house of one Ramesh, the second accused exploded crackers and kicked upon the door. The fourth accused inflicted injuries on his back using a sword stick stabbed on his chest. Hence, it is alleged that the accused/ petitioner has committed the above referred offences.

4. The petitioner was arrested on 17.01.2026 and he has been undergoing judicial custody from that day onwards.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Public Prosecutor.

6. According to the learned counsel for petitioner, he has no connection with the allegations and he was falsely implicated in the crime. The petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions imposed on him.

7. Learned Special Public Prosecutor submitted that, if the petitioner was released on bail, he will threaten the witnesses and tamper with the evidence. There is every chance of absconding. He opposed the grant of bail pointing out that it shall send the wrong message to the society. Hence the prosecution prayed to dismiss the bail application.

8. Considering the fact that the investigation was completed and the final report was laid, I am of the view that further detention of the petitioner under judicial custody is not required.

In the result, bail is granted to the petitioner on the following conditions: -

- 1. The petitioner will execute bond for Rs.1,00,000/- with two solvent sureties each for the like sum.*
- 2. He shall not intimidate or influence the witnesses. He shall not contact*

the defacto complainant in any form including electronic devices.

3. *He shall not enter into the premises where the victim resides.*
4. *He shall not be involved in the similar offences in future and in the event of any violation, the bail shall automatically be cancelled.*
5. *He shall not leave India, without prior permission of the jurisdictional court.*

(Dictated to the Confidential Assistant, transcribed and typed by him, corrected by me and pronounced in open court on this the 23rd day of January, 2026).

Sd/-
SPECIAL JUDGE

Appendix : Nil

Sd/-
SIRAJUDHEEN P A,
Special Judge

//True Copy//

By Order

Sheristadar

Copied by :AN
Compared by:PS
Copy to : Deputy Superintendent of Police, Chalakudy.

Order in CMP 2/2026
in SC 572/2022
Dated: 23.01.2026