

IN THE COURT OF MUNSIFF, WADAKANCHERY

Present:- Sri. Yahya. T. K., Munsiff

Friday, the 13th day of February, 2026/ 24th Magham 1947 SE

I.A. 02/2026 IN O.S. 99/2020

Petitioner/ Plaintiff:

Anitha, W/o Komattil Late Gopinathamenon,
Vengannellur Village and Desam, Talapilly Taluk.

By Adv. K. Sajith Kumar.

Respondents/ Defendants:

1. Sumangala, D/o Komattil Udayasankar, 'Parvathi', 1/1497,
V. K. Parambu, East Nadakavu, Kozhikode.
2. Saritha, D/o Komattil Udayasankar, 'Parvathi', 1/1497,
V. K. Parambu, East Nadakavu, Kozhikode.

By Adv. Jacob. C. Job.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing, the Court passed the following:

O R D E R

This is an application filed by D1 to summon certain documents.

2. **Summary of the application** ; In order to prove the defence, documents executed by mother of the parties of the year 1994 is necessary. Hence direction may be given to the respondent/plaintiff to produce such documents.

3. Respondent/plaintiff filed affidavit stating that no such documents are available with them.

4. Heard both sides.

5. The following point arose for consideration :

i. Is the petition allowable ?

6. **The point** : Petitioner/D1 got no case that any specific document purportedly executed by the mother is in the possession of respondents. Hence there is no option other than to accept the affidavit filed by respondents. Hence this petition cannot be allowed.

In the result,

I.A dismissed.

(Dictated to Confidential Assistant, typed by her, corrected and pronounced by me in the open court on this the 13th day of February, 2026)

YAHYA. T. K.,
MUNSIFF

Appendix:Nil

MUNSIFF