

**IN THE COURT OF THE JUDICIAL FIRST CLASS MAGISTRATE,
CHAVAKKAD**

Present: **Smt. Saarika Sathyan V., Judicial First Class Magistrate,**
Dated, this the 2nd day of February, 2026.

Crl. M.P. No. 4883/2025 in CC 953/2023

Petitioner. : Adv. Rajaram A., Advocate of Sathyapalan V.G.,
78/22, S/o. Govvindan, Ponnarambil House,
Kandanissery Villagge, Thalappilly Taluk.

Respondents. : 1. Sreelekha S., Previous Village officer,
Kandanassery village, Thrissur.
2. Harish R. Nath, Previous Village officer,
Kandanassery village, Thrissur.
3. Chandrabose, S/o. Appu, Panthayil House,
Kandanassery village, Thrissur.
4. Santhosh Babu V.P., S/o. Prabhakaran,
Ezhuvanvalappil House, Nambazhakkad P.O.,
Kandanassery village, Thrissur.
5. Anilkumar, S/o. Velayudhan, Kottayil House,
Nambazhikkad, Matam Via, Thrissur.

(For A1, 3 to 5, Adv. T.R. Vaidyanathan)
(For A2, Adv. Nimmy K.V.)

Order : Dismissed.

This petition having been heard on today, the court delivered the following.

ORDER

1. This petition is filed by the petitioner u/s. 311 of Cr.P.C. to re-call CW4 and to mark a possession certificate with covering letter.
2. The main contention of the petitioner is that, while examining CW4, he had produced a certified copy of a possession certificate, before the court. But, it was not marked due to the objection of the counsel for accused nos. 1 and 2. The above said possession certificate is an important evidence in this case and it is necessary for completing the evidence. Hence, it is necessary to re-call CW4 and to mark the possession certificate along with covering letter.

3. The respondents in the counter statement has stated that the petition is not maintainable and that the intention of the petitioner herein is to drag the case and to fill in the lacuna.

4. Heard both sides and perused the records. On going the proceedings, it is found that the Secretary, Kandanassery Grama Panchayath, who was CW6 was examined as PW4 on 29/10/2025. On examination of PW4, all the documents within their custody was marked and some documents were marked subject to objection. The petitioner has filed this petition to reconsider the said documents which can be considered only during arguments. Therefore, it is satisfied that there is no merit in recalling the said witness. Hence, this petition is dismissed.

Pronounced in the open court on this the 2nd day of February, 2026.

Sd/-

Judicial First Class Magistrate, Chavakkad.

/True Copy /

Judicial First Class Magistrate, Chavakkad.