

IN THE RENT CONTROL COURT OF CHAVAKKAD
PRESENT:- Dr. Aswathy Asok., Rent Control Court (Munsiff)
Monday, the 15th day of September, 2025/24th Bhadram, 1947 SE

I.A 8/2025 in R.C.P 25/2020

Petitioner:-

Mathilakathveetil Naseem, Aged 61 years, S/o. Kadar, Palayur Desom,
Guruvayur Amsom, Chavakkad Taluk.

(By Adv C.Rajagopalan)

Respondent:-

Poonthayath Babu, Aged 50 years, S/o.Mamu, Palayur Desom,
Guruvayur Amsom, Chavakkad Taluk.

(By Adv.T.S,Ajith)

This petition is coming on this day for hearing under Section 5 of Limitation Act and 151 of Civil Procedure Code the court passed the following:-

O R D E R

Petition filed under Order 7 Rule 14(3) of Civil Procedure Code to accept the documents.

2. The petitioner is the petitioner in R.C,P, According to the petitioner the documents sought to be accepted was only found by the petitioner now. Therefore, it could not be produced by petitioner before. There is no willful latches on the side of the petitioner. Without the above documents, the petitioner's evidence will not be completed. Hence, the petition.

3. Respondent filed counter with the following contentions:-The petition is not maintainable either in law or on facts and filed without bonafides. The respondent denied the contentions of the petitioner. The building tax receipt produced by the petitioner is dated 08.07.2025. Therefore it is clear that the statement in the affidavit is false. Further, as per tax receipts, tax for three years were produced. The documents

were produced to show that the petitioner had paid Rs. 4000/ as tax by paying during the evidence stage. Tax for an year is only Rs. 1356/-.Therefore, the petition is liable to be dismissed.

4. The petition filed to received document. The trial of the petition already commenced. The petitioner's evidence is already over and the respondent's evidence has commenced and respondent was also examined and case is posted for further evidence of respondents. It is to be noted that the petitioner has not stated in the petition, which is the document to be received and the purpose for producing the document and that fact to be proved by the document. Without specifying all the above details the court is unable to decide whether the document is necessary for deciding the controversy in issue. Hence, I am not inclined to allow the petition.

In the result, the petition is dismissed.

(pronounced by me in open court, on this the 15th day of September, 2025.)

Sd/-

Dr. Aswathy Asok
Rent Control Court
Munsiff, Chavakkad

APPENDIX:NIL

Id/-

Rent Control Court
Munsiff, Chavakkad

///True Copy///

Rent Control Court
Munsiff, Chavakkad

kvp
cg

