

THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, IRINJALAKUDA
Present:- Sri.Vinod Kumar N., Additional Sessions Judge.
Saturday, 21st March 2025/ 30th Phalgun, 1947

Cri.M.P.2/2026 in SC.1220/2025
(Crime No.66/2025 of Mala Police Station)

Petitioner/Accused:-

Pramod, aged 31 years, S/o. Babu, Vadassery House,
Kuruvilassery Desom and Village, Chalakudy Taluk, Thrissur.

Respondent/Complainant:-

State of Kerala, Rep by Inspector of Police, Mala Police
Station.

By Addl Public Prosecutor

This petition has come up before me for hearing on this day the court
passed the following:-

ORDER

This application for bail is filed by the accused
through the Superintendent, Central Prison and Correctional Home,
Kannur.

2. According to the petitioner/accused, he is in judicial
custody for a period of 14 months. According to him, he has to look
after his aged mother and a daughter aged 6 years. Out of 30 cases
registered against him, only 9 cases are pending. He was acquitted
in all other cases. He was falsely implicated in the above case.
Hence, it is necessary to grant interim bail for 15 days. In the event

of granting bail, the accused is ready to abide any conditions that may be imposed by this Court on him.

3. The Additional Public Prosecutor filed report stating that the accused involved in 33 criminal cases and the accused was included in the rowdy list of Mala police station. In three times, the accused was kept under preventive detention under KAAPA. The accused is a neighbour of the deceased. In the event of granting bail to the accused, it will cause a threat to the life of wife and daughters of the deceased. In the report, it is stated that the petitioner/accused is involved in the following cases, viz, 358/09, 688/11, 844/13, 1312/13, 280/14, 924/14, 1295/14, 1320/14, 727/14, 1212/14, 1520/14, 789/15, 1128/16, 58/17, 357/17, 501/17, 211/18, 309/18, 350/18, 31/19, 194/19, 1249/16, 924/17, 1595/15, 1127/20, 1/19, 818/21, 820/21, 459/14, 437/18, 922/20, 917/22 and 1262/23 and it is reported that in the event of granting bail, there is every chance of the accused repeating similar offences and absconding.

4. Heard, the counsel for the petitioner/accused and the Additional Public Prosecutor and perused the records.

5. The prosecution case is that on 13/01/2025 at 4.20 pm, the accused due to previous enmity and with an intention to commit murder, hacked on the forehead and head of Thomas with a sword

and when the deceased fell on the ground, the accused again hacked the deceased with sword and also hit on the hands, legs and other parts of the body of the deceased with wooden pieces and concrete pieces which were lying at the place of incident and committed murder and thereby the accused committed the offence punishable under section 329(3), 103(1) of BNS of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

6. The records would show that the accused was arrested on 14/01/2025 and produced before the Judicial First Class Magistrate, Chalakudy and he was remanded to judicial custody. According to the counsel petitioner/accused, the accused is innocent of the charges, whereas, according to the Additional Public Prosecutor, the petitioner/accused had involved in 34 criminal cases and the accused is included in the rowdy list of Mala police station. In three times, the accused was kept in preventive detention under KAAPA. The accused is a neighbour of the deceased. The charge witnesses who were cited as eye witnesses are also residing in the same locality. In the event of granting bail to the accused, it will cause a threat to the life of wife and daughters of the deceased. The Additional Public Prosecutor contended that if the accused is released on bail, the accused may threaten or intimidate the witnesses and every chance of influencing the

witnesses and tampering with the evidence in this case. The earlier bail application filed by the petitioner is dismissed vide order dated 6/2/2026. The petitioner has not shown any change in circumstances thereafter.

7. Considering the nature and gravity of the accusation alleged to have been committed by the petitioner/accused, the criminal antecedents of the accused, the significant risk that may arise, if the accused is released on bail and the likelihood of threatening or influencing the witnesses and victims who are the neighbours of the accused, I am not inclined to grant bail to the accused at this stage.

In the result, bail application is dismissed.

(Dictated to the Confidential Assistant, transcribed and typed by her corrected and pronounced by me in open court, this the 21st day of March, 2026).

Sd/-
Vinod Kumar N.,
Additional Sessions Judge.
Irinjalakuda

Appendix – Nil

Id/-
Vinod Kumar N.,
Additional Sessions Judge.
Irinjalakuda
By Order

/True copy/

Sheristadar