

**IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE,
IRINJALAKUDA**

**Present:- Sri.Vinod Kumar N., Additional Sessions Judge.
Friday, 6th February 2026/ 17th Magha, 1947**

**CrI.M.P.1/2026 in S.C.No.1220/2025
(Crime No.66/2025 of Mala Police Station)**

Petitioner/Accused:-

Pramod, aged 32 years, S/o. Babu, Vadassery House,
Kuruvilassery Desom & Village, Chalakudy Taluk, Thrissur.

By Adv. C.B.Sangeeth

Respondent/Complainant:-

Inspector of Police, Mala Police Station,
represented by the Public Prosecutor, Thrissur.

By Addl. Public Prosecutor

This petition has come up before me for hearing on this day the court passed the following:-

ORDER

This application for bail is filed u/s.483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 by the counsel for the accused.

2. According to the counsel for the petitioner/accused, the accused is charged for the offence punishable under section 329(3) and 103(1) of BNS. According to the counsel for the petitioner/accused, the petitioner/accused was arrested and produced before the Judicial First Class Magistrate, Chalakudy on 16/01/2025 and he was remanded to judicial custody. The entire investigation is completed. No other bail application is filed or pending in any other courts. The petitioner/accused is totally innocent of the charges levelled against him. In the event of granting bail, the accused is ready to abide any conditions that may be imposed by this Court on him.

3. The Additional Public Prosecutor filed report stating that the accused involved in 34 criminal cases and the accused was included in the rowdy list of Mala police station. In three times, the accused was

kept under preventive detention under KAAPA. The accused is a neighbour of the deceased. In the event of granting bail to the accused, it will cause a threat to the life of wife and daughters of the deceased. In the report, it is stated that the petitioner/accused is involved in the following cases, viz, 358/09, 688/11, 844/13, 1312/13, 280/14, 924/14, 1295/14, 1320/14, 727/14, 1212/14, 1520/14, 789/15, 1128/16, 58/17, 357/17, 501/17, 211/18, 309/18, 350/18, 31/19, 194/19, 1249/16, 924/17, 1595/15, 1127/20, 1/19, 818/21, 820/21, 459/14, 437/18, 922/20, 917/22, 1262/23 and 1955/15 and it is reported that in the event of granting bail, there is every chance of the accused repeating similar offences and absconding. Thereafter, the Additional Public Prosecutor filed an additional report. As per the additional report, it is seen that the accused was acquitted in the following cases viz, 358/09, 688/11, 727/14, 1212/14, 1520/14, 1128/16, 357/17, 501/17, 211/18, 309/18, 194/19, 1249/16, 459/14 and 917/22. In the both the reports, it is submitted that since the accused is the neighbour of the deceased, there is every chance of the accused influencing, threatening the wife and daughters of the deceased as well as the other witnesses and the Additional Public Prosecutor strongly opposed the bail application.

4. Heard the counsel for the petitioner/accused and the Additional Public Prosecutor and perused the records.

5. The prosecution case is that on 13/01/2025 at 4.20 pm, the accused due to previous enmity and with an intention to commit murder, hacked on the forehead and head of Thomas with a sword and when the deceased fell on the ground, the accused again hacked the deceased with sword and also hit on the hands, legs and other parts of the body of the deceased with wooden pieces and concrete pieces which were lying at the place of incident and committed murder and thereby the accused committed the offence punishable under section 329(3), 103(1) of BNS of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

6. The records would show that the accused was arrested on 14/01/2025 and produced before the Judicial First Class Magistrate, Chalakudy and he was remanded to judicial custody. According to the

counsel petitioner/accused, the accused is innocent of the charges, whereas, according to the Additional Public Prosecutor, the petitioner/accused involved in 34 criminal cases and the accused is included in the rowdy list of Mala police station. In three times, the accused was kept in preventive detention under KAAPA. The accused is a neighbour of the deceased. The charge witnesses who were cited as eye witnesses are also residing in the same locality. In the event of granting bail to the accused, it will cause a threat to the life of wife and daughters of the deceased. The Additional Public Prosecutor contended that if the accused is released on bail, the accused may threaten or intimidate the witnesses and every chance of influencing the witnesses and tampering with the evidence in this case.

7. Considering the nature and gravity of the accusation alleged to have been committed by the petitioner/accused, the criminal antecedents of the accused, the significant risk that may arise, if the accused is released on bail and the likelihood of threatening or influencing the witnesses and victims who are the neighbours of the accused, I am not inclined to grant bail to the accused at this stage.

In the result, bail application is dismissed.

(Dictated to the Confidential Assistant, transcribed and typed by her corrected and pronounced by me in open court, this the 6th day of February, 2026).

Sd/-
Vinod Kumar N.,
Additional Sessions Judge.
Irinjalakuda

Appendix – Nil

Id/-
Vinod Kumar N.,
Additional Sessions Judge.
Irinjalakuda
By order

/True copy /

Sheristadar