

IN THE COURT OF THE IV ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, THRISSUR

Present:-

Sri. ANIL T.P, IV ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE
Saturday, the 18th day of April 2026/ 28th Chaithram 1948 SE

Crl.M.P 04/2026 in SC.241/2026

(Crime No. 53/2025 of Excise Enforcement and Anti Narcotic Special Squad,
Thrissur)

Petitioner/
Accused No. 1: Sinto Antoney, aged 33, S/o Antoney P.P,
Perumayan House, Mudicode Pattikkad P.O,
Thrissur., Kerala.

By Adv. Prasanth Kunnath.

Respondent: State of Kerala. Rep.by Excise Enforcement and
Anti Narcotic Special Squad, Thrissur. (Crime No.
53/2025 of Excise Enforcement and Anti Narcotic
Special Squad, Thrissur)

By. Public Prosecutor – Adv. Girish Mohan M.K.

Offences U/s 22(b) & 29 of the NDPS Act.

Petition filed U/s 483 of BNSS for regular bail:-

This petition having been finally heard on 17.04.2026 and having been stood over for consideration to this day, the Court passed the following:

ORDER

Petition filed u/s. 483 of the BNSS for regular bail.

2. The petitioner is the Accused No.1 in the above crime, who is alleged to have committed the offence punishable u/s. 22(b) & 29 of the NDPS Act.
3. The case of the prosecution is that on 29.09.2025 at 1.45 am, A1 and A2 were found in the side of public road at Aaramkallu, Ollurkkara Village

and they were found in possession of 40.047 gram of Methamphetamine. Hence the accused are liable for aforesaid offences.

4. The petitioner is in judicial custody from 29.09.2025 onwards.

5. Both sides were heard.

6. From the mahazar prepared on 29.09.2025 by the detection officer and the prosecution records, it is seen that during the patrolling, the detection officer found a person wherein green colour shirt and black burmuda was handing over a packet. According to the detection officer, the person who handed over the packet was A2 and the person who received the packet was A1. It was from the possession of A1, contraband was seized by the detection officer. In the final report, the allegation was that both these accused brought the contraband by purchasing it from Bangalore. So the statements of all the witnesses in the detection party is pointing active involvement of A1 and A2 in the crime. It is true that there was no materials to show that from whom these accused purchased contraband from Bangalore. There is no commercial quantity of contraband involved in this case. So the rigour of Sec.37 of NDPS Act is not applicable. This petitioner is in judicial custody for all these months from 29.09.2025 onwards. Final report is already filed and taken in file as SC.241/2026. There is no serious criminal antecedents for this petitioner except in involving a crime with respect to the use of narcotic drug. So considering the length of detention, I find that bail can be granted to petitioner on following conditions:-

1. The petitioner shall execute a bond for Rs.50,000/- with two solvent sureties each for the like sum.
2. The petitioner shall appear before the trial court on all effective posting dates.
3. The petitioner shall not leave India for two months without permission from the court concerned.
4. The petitioner shall not intimidate, influence or threaten victim or any other witnesses and shall not interfere with the investigation and trial of the case.
5. The petitioner shall not involve in any similar offences while on bail.

(Dictated to the Confidential Assistant, transcribed by her, corrected by me and pronounced the same on 18th day of April , 2026).

Sd/-
ANIL T.P
IV ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE
THRISSUR.

APPENDIX

NIL

Sd/-
IV ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE
THRISSUR.

Copied by: kr

Compared by: at

/True Copy/

By Order

Sheristadar