

**IN THE COURT OF II ADDITIONAL DISTRICT JUDGE OF THRISSUR**

**Present:-**

**Smt. JAYA PRABHU, ADDITIONAL DISTRICT JUDGE -II.**

Friday the 10<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2026/ 20<sup>th</sup> Chaithram 1948

**IA.No 16/2024 in OP (P&MP) 267/2022**

**Petitioner:-** Benny Alex, S/o Padamattummal Veetil Alex , Ernamkulam  
District, Paravoor Taluk, Puthenvelikkara Village-683 594

Represented by in person

**Respondent :-** 1. The Deputy Collector & Competent Authority Gail India  
Ltd.Kochi  
2. The General Manager Gail India Ltd, Kochi Kinfra Hi-Tech  
Park , Off: HMT Road, Po Kalamassery , Kochi -683 503  
3. Chief Executive Officer , Kochi-Salem, Pipe line Pvt. Limited ,  
Malayali Majesty Build, R. No.174-G Refinery Road,  
Thripunithura

By Adv.Sruthy Chandran

Petition has been come up before me for hearing on this day the court  
passed the following :-

**ORDER**

This is a petition filed under Order 6 Rule 17 of Civil Procedure Code.

2. According to petitioner he is entitled to get compensation under  
section RFCTLARR Act (Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in  
Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act and the details of the

compensation claimed in this regard shows in this petition and it is to be incorporated in this OP and for that the amendment of the OP is to be allowed.

3. Respondent filed counter and contended that compensation under section RFCTLARR Act & PMP Act (Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines Act) are not applicable in this case. Hence the proposed amendment and including of schedule in the OP on the basis of the same is not allowable. Hence the petition is liable to be dismissed.

4. Heard both sides.

5. The OP is one filed for seeking compensation for the land acquired for laying pipelines in Kochi-Salem Route for taking LPG. According to petitioner he is entitled to get compensation under section RFCTLARR Act and the details of compensation claimed by the petitioner is shown in a schedule and it is to be incorporated by way of amendment.

6. On perusal of the pleadings in the petition I am satisfied that the proposed amendment will not cause any change in the basic structure of the

OP and it will not cause any prejudice to the respondent. Whether the petitioner entitled to get compensation under the head mentioned in this petition is a matter which is to be decided at the final stage of the OP. Hence prayer in the petition allowed. Carryout amendment within 30.05.2010.

(Corrected by me and pronounced in open Court on this the 10<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2026).

Sd/-

**JAYA PRABHU**  
**ADDITIONAL DISTRICT JUDGE II**  
**THRISSUR**

/ True Copy /

By Order

Copied by: NEM

Compared by : SMS

Sheristadar

Order in  
IA No.16/2024  
OP 267/2022  
Dated: 10.04.2026