

IN THE COURT OF THE DISTRICT JUDGE OF THRISSUR

Present:

Sri. P.P. Saidalavi., District Judge.

Monday, the 3rd day of November, 2025/12th Karthikam, 1947SE

IA.01/2024 in CMA 43/2024

Petitioner/Appellant:-

Satheesan S., S/o Sankaran,
Pottekkatt Veedu, 9/2091, Topaz,
Sobha City, P.O. Puzhakkal, Pin-680 553.

By Adv. K. Viswanath

Respondent:-

Manoj, S/o Sankaran,
Pottekkatt Veedu, Chettupuzha,
Kannapuram, Manakodi, Thrissur.

By Adv. N.K. Unnikrishnan

Petition filed under Section 5 of Limitation Act., praying to condone the delay of 25 days.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing, the court passed the following:-

ORDER

Heard both side and perused the records. I am satisfied with grounds mentioned in the petition. I am of the view that there is no willful laches or gross negligence on the part of the petitioner. The delay is 25 days. The reasons stated by the petitioner for the delay is seems to be reliable, because

the settled principal that the term sufficient cause in section 5 of the Limitation Act to be liberally construed. Hence to provide a reasonable opportunity to the petitioner to contest the case I found sufficient cause to condone the delay. Hence petition is to be allowed.

The petition will stand allowed on payment of cost of Rs.1500/- (One Thousand and Five Hundred only) to the respondent within 17-11-2025. Failing which the petition will stand dismissed. Hence for payment call on 17-11-2025.

(Pronounced in open court on this the 3rd day of November, 2025).

sd/-
P. P. SAIDALAVI.,
DISTRICT JUDGE.

By Order,

Sheristadar

/true copy/

Copied by:jg
Compared by:pbs