

IN THE FAMILY COURT, ADOOR

Present: Rejula. P.V, Judge

Thursday the 16th day of April, 2026

26th day of Chaithra 1948.S.E.

OP.73/2026

Between:-

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------|
| 1. Abraham Tharakan, aged 65 years, | } | |
| S/o.Abraham Mammen, | } | |
| Kuriyodu Puthen Veedu, | } | Petitioners |
| Kadakkamon Muri, Pathanapuram | } | |
| Village, Pathanapuram Taluk, | } | |
| Kollam Dist. | } | |
| 2. Sunitha Mathew, aged 53 years, | } | |
| D/o. Daniel Mathai, | } | |
| Puthiyavila Veedu, Parakode Muri, | } | |
| Parakode Village, | } | |
| Pathanamthitta Dist. | } | |
| (By Adv. D.S.Sonu) | | |

And:-

Respondent : Nil

This petition is coming on for hearing on 16.04.2026 and having stood over for consideration on the same day the court delivered the following.

JUDGMENT

Petition U/S. 10 A of the Divorce Act.

2. Petitioners' case, in brief, is as follows: - Marriage between petitioners who belong to Christian religion was solemnized on 10.06.1991. Thereafter they lived together as husband and wife at 1st petitioner's house. Two daughters were born out of their wedlock and they became married. Due to differences of opinion, petitioners' marital relationship has been irretrievably broken down and since

23.10.2008, they have been living separately. In spite of repeated mediation, petitioners' could not live together and there is no chance for their reunion. There is no connivance or collusion between the petitioners in filing this petition. Financial transactions between them have been settled. Thus, they voluntarily filed this petition.

3. This petition has been filed on 20.02.2026. Statutory waiting period of 6 months has been waived as per Order in IA 1/2026. Petitioners have undergone counselling. All efforts to settle the disputes between petitioners and their reunion have been failed. Petitioners have been examined as PW1 and PW2 and Exts. A1 and A2 were marked.

4. Following point arise for consideration: -

Is petitioners' marriage liable to be dissolved?

5. The Point: - Petitioners' marriage was solemnized on 10.06.1991. According to them, their marital relationship has been irretrievably broken down and since 23.10.2008, they have been living separately. Efforts for settlement of the dispute among them and their reunion by counselling have been failed. On examination of PW1 and PW2, this court is convinced that the petitioners could not live together peacefully, their marital relationship has been irretrievably broken down, since 23.10.2008, they have been living separately, all efforts for settlement and their reunion have been failed, financial transaction between them also settled, they

voluntarily filed this petition and they stick on their mutual consent for dissolution of their marriage. Thus, petitioners are entitled to a decree dissolving their marriage. This point is found in favour of the petitioners.

In the result, this petition is allowed and a decree is passed dissolving marriage between petitioners solemnized on 10.06.1991 from the date of decree.

Dictated to the Confidential Assistant, transcribed and typed by her, corrected and pronounced by me in open court on this the 16th day of April 2026.

Sd/-
REJULA.P.V
JUDGE

APPENDIX

Exhibits marked for the petitioner:-

- A1 Copy of Marriage certificate issued by Vicar Mar Aphrem Jacobite Syrian Church, Parakode.
- A2 Copy of Aadhar

Exhibits marked for the respondent : Nil

Witness examined for the petitioner

- PW1 - Abraham Tharakan - 16.04.2026
- PW2 - Sunitha Mathew - 16.04.2026

Witness examined for the Respondent : Nil

Id/-
JUDGE

Fair/Copy of Judgment in
OP.73/2026
Dated: 16.04.2026.