

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS, PALAKKAD DIVISION
Present : Shri. D.Sudheer David, Additional Sessions Judge-II

Thursday, the 19th day of February, 2026
30th day of Magha, 1947 S.E

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS PETITION No.01/2026
IN SESSIONS CASE No.688/2025
In Crime No.254/2025 of Railway Police Station, Palakkad

Petitioner/Accused :

Muhammed Aslam, aged 30 years, S/o Hamsa, Tharayil House, Mundakkode, Pazhamallur Post, Koottilangadi, Malappuram.

Vs.

Respondents/Complainants:

State of Kerala, Rep. by the Sub Inspector of Police, Railway Police Station, Palakkad.

This petition is filed u/s.483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) by the petitioner/accused in Crime No. 254/2025 of Railway Police Station praying that in the circumstances stated therein, this court may be pleased to release him on bail.

This application coming on for hearing today in the presence of Advocate Shri.Sanjo Prakssh.C. for the petitioner/accused and the Public Prosecutor on behalf of the state and this court passed the following:-

ORDER

This is an application under section 483 of BNSS filed by the accused.

2. Accused is facing indictment of the offence punishable under sections 20(b) (ii) B of NDPS Act.

3. The case of the prosecution is that, the petitioner, the accused in this case is found possessing 4.069 kilograms of ganja at Palakkad Junction railway station platform No. 2, in front of the AC waiting hall on the night of 30.6.2025 at 21:30 hours.

4. Petitioner was arrested on 30.06.2025 and is undergoing judicial custody since then. The stage of the case is that final report was filed and is pending consideration for further proceedings.

5. Accused contended that he is innocent and he has no connection whatsoever with the possession of the contraband. He was booked in this case on mistaken identity and also on ulterior motives. He is ready to abide by any conditions imposed for enlarging him on bail.

6. Learned Special Public Prosecutor opposed the bail application, stating that he is hailing from Malapuram district and bailing him out at this stage of the proceedings may lead to his absconding from this proceedings and thereby tampering the trial of this case. He also would state that, there is no guarantee that he would not interfere with the investigation by trying to influence or threaten the witnesses.

7. For the purpose of this petition the following points are raised for consideration.

1. Whether the petitioner is entitled to be release on bail?
2. Order?

8. **Point No.1:-** The offence alleged against the petitioner, the accused in this case, is the possession of 4.069 kilograms of ganja. Admittedly, it is an intermediate quantity. He was caught red handed on 30.6.2025. There is nothing to find that he has not committed the offence and he will not repeat the same offence

while on bail. So also, there is no guarantee that he would not interfere with the investigation by influencing the witnesses in this case. So I am considered view that it is not congenial to enlarge him on bail at this stage of the proceedings. So his petition cannot be allowed. Point is answered against the petitioner.

9. **Point No. 2:-** In the result the petition is dismissed.

Dictated to google, docs voice typing app, transcribed by it, corrected by me and pronounced in open court on this the 19th day of February 2026.

Sd/-
Additional Sessions Judge-II

**Fair/Copy of Order in
Crl.M.P No. 01/2026 in
SC No. 688/2025
Dated: 19.02.2026**