

IN THE COURT OF THE MUNSIFF OF TIRUR
PRESENT: Smt. VINCY.T.V.,B.A, LL.B., MUNSIFF

Wednesday , the 07th day of March, 2018
the 16th day of Phalguna, 1939

ORIGINAL SUIT NO. 440 OF 20 13

Between:

Muhammed Ajmal Hidayathulla, 43 years,
S/o Moothedath Kunhi Moosa, P.O.Pachattiri,
Pachattiri amsom Pariyapuram desom, Tirur Taluk.

Plaintiff

And:

1. Beeran Koya, 50 years, S/o Kollachali Kunhapputty
Thenhipalam amsom desom &Post
Tirurangadi Taluk.
2. Suhara, 45 years, D/o Pandikasala Moideen kutty,
Pachattiri amsom Paravanna desom, & Post,
Tirur Taluk.

Defendants

Supple.3. Vettom Grama Panchayath, Rep. By Its Secretary,
P.O. Vettom, Tirur Taluk.
(Supple.D3 impleaded and amended as per order in
IA 784/14 and 785/14 dated 21-7-2014)

After restoration as per order in IA. 1077/2015 dated 10-07-2015, this suit coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Sri. T.R.Vijayan, Advocate for plaintiff,counsel for the plaintiff reported that he has no instruction from his client, name of the plaintiff called absent, and of Sri.Suresh.A.Alingal, Advocate for D1 and of Sri.V.Chandrasekharan, Advocate for D2 and of Sri.M.A.M.Rafeekh, Advocate for D3 and the court passed the following;

J U D G M E N T

Suit for injunction.

The learned counsel for the plaintiff reported that he has no instruction from his client and the name of the plaintiff is called absent. Hence suit is dismissed for default

(Pronounced by me in open court, this, the 7th day of March, 2018)

sd/-
MUNSIFF.