

IN THE COURT OF THE ADDITIONAL DISTRICT JUDGE-II, MANJERI

Present: Sri. A.V.Telles, Addl. District & Sessions Judge-II,
Wednesday, the 04th day of February, 2026
15th Magha, 1947.

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION No.01/2026

in AS 66/2022

Between:-

Padannavalappil Appunni's Children:-

1. Divakaran, 65 years,
2. Ratnavalli, 75 years, Ponnani Taluk, Ponnani Nagaram Amsom, Pallappram Desom.
3. Padannavalappil Saroja, 68 years, W/o Nellikkal Ragavan, Chandhappadi, Ponnani, Ponnani Taluk.

Petitioners/
Respondents

And:-

1. Padnnavalappil Nirmala, 70 years, W/o Pallivalappil Sekharan, Edappal Amsom, Desom, Ponnani Taluk
2. Padannavalappil Sakunthala, 71 years, W/o Kakkatt Sukumaran, Ponnani Nagaram Amsom, Pallapram Desom, Ponnani Taluk.
3. Pallivalappil Subaida, 52 years, W/o Iqbal,
4. **Padannavalappil Shanmughan's children:-**
Satheesan, 50 years,
5. Sajeev, 48 years, Kadavanad Amsom, Desom, Ponnani Taluk.

Respondents/
Appellants

Padannavalappil Velayudhan's Children:-

6. Ilango, 62 years,
7. Aruna, 56 years, Padannavalappil house, Ponnani Nagaram amsom, Pallapram Desom, Ponnani Taluk.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Sri.M.K Moosakutty, Advocate for the petitioner, and of Sri. Mancheri K. Narayanan, Advocate for the respondents, the court passed the following:

ORDER

Petitioners are the respondents 1 to 3 in the appeal. The appeal has been filed against the judgment in OS.202/2013 of Sub Court, Tirur. When the case was pending before the trial court, the respondents has

produced an assignment deed No.4231/2004 of SRO, Ponnani and a sale deed No.4230/2004 of SRO, Ponnani. Those documents were marked as Exts.B1 and B2. Now the petitioners want to return the original documents for the purpose of pledging the property covered in the documents to obtain a loan. Along with this petition, the petitioners produced certified copy of Exts.B1 and B2 documents. The prayer of the petitioners is that the original documents may be returned in replacing the certified copies of Exts. B1 and B2.

2. Notice was served to the respondent/appellant. The respondent has no objection to release the documents in favour of the petitioners by replacing the original and receiving the certified copies.

3. Heard both sides.

4. The reason for the return of original documents is to produce the same before the financial institutions to obtain a loan. The petitioners produced certified copies of Exts. B1 and B2. Certified copies is sufficient to consider the case in the appeal. Therefore, the petition is allowed and ordered to return the original documents and receive the certified copy of documents in file.

(Dictated to the Confidential Assistant, directly typed by her, corrected by me and pronounced in open court on this the 04th day of February, 2026).

Sd/-

Additional District & Sessions Judge-II

Typed by : Pankajam
Compared by:

=====
Fair/Copy Order in I.A.No.01/2026 in AS 66/2022
Dated : 04-02-026
=====