

IN THE COURT OF THE FAST TRACK SPECIAL JUDGE, ERATTUPETTA

Present:- Smt. ROSHUN THOMAS, SPECIAL JUDGE

Tuesday, the 31st of March, 2026/ 10th Chaithram, 1947

SESSIONS CASE No. 400/2025

(Cr.No.1921/2024 of Pala Police Station)

Complainant	:	State represented by the Inspector SHO, Pala Police Station Rep. by Adv.Sri.Jose Mathew Thayyil, Special Public Prosecutor, FTSC, Erattupetta.
Accused	:	A1: Nidhun Kumar.K., aged 25/2025, S/o.Kumar.K., Kuriyedathu Thazhey Veedu, Kannadickal Bhagom, Vengeri P.O., Vengeri Village, Kozhikode Taluk, Kozhikode District. A2: Jithin Mohan.M., aged 26/2025, S/o. Mohan, Variyakkara Veedu, Pavathiyavilla Bhagom, Parasala P.O., Parasala Village, Parasala Taluk, Thiruvananthapuram District. A3: Shyam Mohan, aged 26/2025, S/o.Mohan, Kumaribhavanam Veedu,

		<p>Kottakkakam Bhagom, Muttom P.O., Harippad Taluk, Alappuzha District.</p> <p>A4: Abhiram.V.S., aged 20/2025, S/o.Vivek, Mangalath Puthenveedu, Near Sasthavattom Ayyappa Temple, Sasthavattom P.O., Velloor Village, Chirayinkeezhu Thaluk, Thiruvananthapuram District.</p> <p>A5: Sohil P.Alex, aged 19/2025, S/o.Alex.C.Varkey, Paruppallil Veedu, Near Kunnanthanam NSS High School, Mundiappally P.O., Kaviyoor Village, Pathanamthitta District.</p> <p>A6: Unknown</p> <p>A7: Abhijith K.P., aged 24/2025, S/o.Ashokan, Thyvechaparambu Veedu, Chittariparambu P.O., Mananthery Village, Kannur District. (Rep.by Adv. Saran Prem (A1), Adv.Nibu John (A2), Adv.Jose Joseph (A3 & A5), Adv.Swathi S.Sivan (A4) and Adv.R.Manoj (A7))</p>
Charge	:	u/s. 12 r/w 11(iii), 12 r/w 11(v) POCSO Act and 67 B(d) I.T.Act
Plea	:	Not guilty
Finding	:	Not guilty
Sentence/Order	:	A1, A2, A3, A4, A5 and A7 are found

		not guilty of the offences u/s. 12 r/w 11(iii), 12 r/w 11(v) POCSO Act and 67 B(d) I.T.Act and accordingly they are acquitted of the said offences u/s. 258(1) BNSS. Their bail bonds stand cancelled and they are set liberty. The case against A6 is re-filed and the SHO is directed to conduct further investigation.
No.of days on which the case stood for trial	:	6 days, ie on: 21.02.2026, 11.03.2026, 12.03.2026, 13.03.2026, 18.03.2026 and 21.03.2026

This Sessions case having been finally heard on 27.03.2026 and the court on 31.03.2026 delivered the following:-

JUDGMENT

1. The accused stands charged with having committed the offences punishable u/s. 12 r/w. 11(iii), 12 r/w 11(v) Protection Of Children from Sexual Offences Act (hereunder referred to as POCSO Act) and 67 B(d) Information Technology Act (hereunder referred to as I.T.Act).

2. The prosecution case is that the accused from 2022 May till 24.12.2024 showed their private parts to CW1, a child through Instagram, WhatsApp and Snapchats and that all of them during the same period enticed CW1 for pornographic purposes and facilitated abusing CW1 online. Thus, the accused committed aforementioned offences.

3. On completing investigation, final report was filed before POCSO Court, Kottayam, where the case was taken into file as S.C. No. 400/2025. In the final report itself, it was stated that A6 is unknown. Thereafter, the case was made over to this court.

4. On appearance of the accused, copies off all prosecution documents were served to them. Rule 19(4) of Criminal Rules of Practice was complied with.

5. The learned Special Public Prosecutor opened this case by describing the charge brought against the accused and stating by what evidence he propose to prove the case of the accused. Considering the records of the case and documents submitted by the prosecution and after hearing the submissions of the learned defence counsel and that of prosecution, it is found that there is ground for presuming that the accused committed the offences

alleged. Hence a charge for offences u/s. 12 r/w 11(iii), 12 r/w 11(v) POCSO Act and 67 B(d) I.T.Act was framed against the accused, which was read over to him to which he pleaded not guilty.

6. To prove its case, prosecution examined PWs.1 to 14 and got marked Exts. P1 to P38. Accused Nos.1 to 5 and 7 were examined u/s. 351 BNSS. They denied all incriminating evidence against them.

7. Both sides were heard u/s. 255 BNSS. As there is no evidence to proceed further, the accused was not called upon to adduce defence evidence. No defencer evidence was adduced.

8. The following points arose for consideration.

1. Whether the accused showed their private parts to PW1, a child, through Instagram, WhatsApp and Snapchats for pornographic purposes and thereby committed an offence punishable u/s. 12 r/w. (11) (iii) PocsO Act?
2. Whether the accused enticed PW1 a child for pornographic purposes and thereby committed an offence punishable u/s.12 r/w. (11) (v) POCSO Act?
3. Whether the accused facilitated abusing PW1,a child online and thereby committed an offence punishable under Section

67 B(d) I.T.Act, 2000?

4. What is the punishment, if any, to be awarded to each of the accused?

Point Nos.1 to 3:

9. For the sake of convenience Point Nos. 1 to 3 are considered together.

10. According to the prosecution, the accused Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 7 showed their private parts to PW1, a child through Instagram, WhatsApp and Snapchats for about 2 years and during the same period, they enticed PW1 for pornographic purposes and made her send her naked photos and videos to them. Thus they abused PW1, a child online.

11. To prove its case, prosecution examined PW1, the survivor, PW2, the grandmother of the survivor, PW3, the father of the survivor, PW4, who conducted medical examination of the survivor and issued Ext. P3 medical certificate, PW5, who examined A7, A1, A2 and A5 and issued Exts. P4 to P7, their potency certificates respectively. PW6, the cousin of PW1, PW7, the mother of PW1, PW8, the Headmaster, who signed Ext. P10,

the third party kaicheet and received back the admission register, PW9, who examined A1 and issued Ext. P11 potency certificate, PW10, who issued Ext. P10 series, the birth certificate and its 63 (4)(c) BSA certificate, PW11, who functioned as victim liaison officer, PW12, who recorded Ext. P1, PW13, who conducted investigation and arrested accused Nos.1, 2, 3, 5 and 7. PW14, who arrested A4 and prepared Ext. P38, the copy of forwarding note and conducted further investigation and submitted charge sheet before the court.

12. In order to prove the alleged offences, the prosecution has to prove that PW1 was a child at the time of occurrence and that the accused Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 7 sent their nude photos and videos to PW1 and enticed PW1 and compelled her to send her naked photos and videos which she had done and thus they facilitated the abusing of PW1 online.

13. The learned Special Public Prosecutor has argued that the alleged offences are attracted as per the depositions of the witnesses. The learned defence counsel has argued that there is nothing to connect the accused with the alleged offences. Hence the accused may be acquitted.

14. On going through the evidence before the court, it can be seen that according to PW1, the survivor, she is residing at Pravithanam along with her grandfather, grandmother and the wife of the brother of grandfather. Her parents were divorced and now they are married to different persons. She is studying for plus one at St. Michels Higher Secondary School, Pravithanam. Now she is aged 16 years. Her date of birth is 22.12.2009. She studied for the first standard at St. Augustine's LP School, Pravithanam. She had given Ext.P1 statement before Pala Police Station and had also given a statement before Judicial First Class Magistrate, Pala.

15. She stated that she do not remember for what purpose she had given Exhibit P1. She failed to identify the accused Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 7 present in the court. She also stated that she had never seen their photos through Instagram or through any other online media. The accused had never shown their private parts through Instagram and Whatsapp to her. And none of the accused had demanded for her nude photos through Whatsapp. She also do not know the Whatsapp numbers and Instagram IDs of the accused. She stated that she has a mobile phone of her own and the sim of the mobile phone is in the name of her mother. She had also not sent the photos of her nude body parts to the

accused through phone and had not acquainted with the accused through Instagram and chatted with them. They had never sent their nude photos to her. She turned hostile to the case of the prosecution and denied the entire incriminating evidence against the accused.

16. PW2 is the grand mother of PW1. According to her she is the grandmother of PW1. She is residing along with her at Pravithanam. Her father and mother had got divorced and thereafter married to different persons. She had not given any statement before the police. PW1 is studying at Pravithanam School for plus one. She has no mobile phone of her own. She is using her mobile phone. PW3 had never informed her that he had seen nude photos and videos in the mobile phone of PW1. She had not given any statement before the police. She turned hostile to the case of the prosecution and denied the entire incriminating evidence against the accused.

17. PW3, is the father of PW1. According to him, he is staying at Ollanadu. PW1 is his daughter. Now she is residing along with PW2. She is studying for plus 1 at St. Michael's Higher Secondary School, Pravinthanam. He had given a statement before the police. According to him, 28.12.2024 was the birthday of PW1.

On that day, he had seen PW1 and had given her a cake. PW1 came to his family house at Pravithanam. It is about two kilometres away from the house where PW1 is now residing and when PW1 was getting ready to go to temple along with his mother, her mobile phone ringed and when he asked who had called her, PW1 did not utter a word. So he examined the mobile phone and found nude videos, photos and sex chats through Instagram, WhatsApp and Snapchat in it. He also understood that both the accused and PW1 had sent their nude photos to each other.

18. He informed the matter to PW2 at about 04.00 p.m. and again he examined the phone and found voice messages and selfie videos of PW1 in it. From all these, he understood that the child is having relationship with all these accused. On the very next day he, his mother and the wife of his brother reached the Pala police station and surrendered the phone there. He had also given a statement before the police.

19. PW4, is the doctor who examined PW1 and issued Ext. P3 medical certificate. PW5 examined A7 and issued Ext. P4 Potency Certificate. She also examined A1, A2 and A5 and issued Exts. P6 to P7, their Potency certificates respectively.

20. PW6, is the cousin of PW1. According to him, PW1 is the daughter of his brother, PW3. He has not given any statement before the police. He stated that he knew about the incident from PW3. At that time he was not there. Then he asked his wife Anjali to go and enquire about this. He turned hostile to the case of the prosecution and denied the entire incriminating evidence against the accused.

21. PW7 is the mother of PW1. According to her, she is residing at Trivandrum and is working in finance. PW1 is her daughter. Now she is residing along with her mother. She is aged 15 years and her date of birth is 28.12.2009. She has not given any statement before the police. She knew about the matter after some time from PW2. But she has not asked about it to PW1 and PW1 had never told her that she has sent her photos to her friends through Instagram. She turned hostile to the case of the prosecution and denied the entire incriminating evidence against the accused.

22. PW8 was working as the Headmistress of St. Augustine School, Parvithanam. As per the request of SHO, Pala Police, she had handed over the admission register of PW1 to the police.

23. PW9 is the doctor who examined A1 and issued Ext. P11 potency certificate. PW10 issued Ext. P12 series birth certificate and its 63 (4) (c) BSA certificate. PW11 functioned as victim liaison officer in this case.

24. PW12 was working as ASI at Pala Police Station and she recorded Ext. P1 at the house of PW1 in the presence of PW2. PW13 conducted investigation. He registered Ext.P13 FIR and he took into custody the mobile phones of PW1 and the accused and arrested the first, second, third, fifth and seventh accused.

25. PW14 conducted further investigation. He arrested fourth accused.

26. The learned Special Public Prosecutor has argued that even though PW1, PW2 and PW7 have turned hostile to the case of the prosecution, PW3 had categorically stated before the court that he had seen the nude photos and videos of the accused and PW1 in the mobile phone of PW1 and that they were sent to the accused and the accused also sent the photos of their private parts to PW1 and that it was he who produced the phone before the police. This itself shows that the accused had committed the offences.

27. The learned defence counsel has argued that PW1 had categorically stated before the court that she had no relationship with any of the accused. She even failed to identify the accused. Thus there is nothing to connect the accused with the alleged offences.

28. On going through the evidence, it can be seen that of course PW1, PW2 and PW7 have turned hostile to the case of the prosecution. PW1 has categorically stated before the court that she do not know A1, A2, A3, A4, A5 and A7 and that she had no relationship with any of them. She had not chatted with them. She had never sent them any of her nude photos or videos and they had also never sent her any nude photos. PW2 has stated that she is not aware of the alleged occurrences and that PW3 had never informed her about this.

29. However in his deposition, PW3 has stated that it was he who had seen the nude photos and videos in the phone of PW1 and had surrendered the phone before the police. However, from her cross-examination, it can be seen that the material facts which he had stated before the court was not stated by him before the police. Even though he stated before the court that at about 04.00 p.m. he had informed the matter to PW2 and thereafter when he

examined the phone he found the voice messages and selfie videos of PW1 in the phone, this was not uttered by him to the police during investigation. He also stated that he had produced the phone the very next day before the police. But such a statement is not there in his statement before the police. He has also not given the details of the messages or the make of the phone and its other details before the police.

30. Admittedly, the phone was used by PW1. But as per the deposition of PW2, the phone belongs to her and it will be given to PW1 only when she needs. And PW1 has also stated that she has a phone, but the sim of the same is in the name of PW2. PW3 has no information about the accused. He has also stated that he has now no relationship with PW2 and in the year 2015 the marriage between himself and PW7 was divorced and from his deposition itself it is clear that he is not having a cordial relationship with PW2. Thus, it can be seen that he has given a statement to this effect only because of his animosity towards PW1 and PW2.

31. He has also stated that he has not given a statement before the police that he had seen the selfie of PW1 in it. But he has stated so before the court. PW13, who recorded the statement of PW3 has stated that many of the facts which were stated by PW3 before the court were not stated to him by PW3. PW3 has stated before the police that it is only after coming from the temple that he examined the phone. But before the court he has stated that just before going to the temple he has examined the phone of PW1 and he has also never given a statement to the effect that after informing PW2 he again examined the phone. And even though PW13 stated that the phone was produced before the court in a tamper proof condition and that he has given a safe custody report and a declaration showing that the phone was not tampered before the court, none of these reports are before the court. This shows that the phone might have been tampered. The report regarding the examination of mobile phone by FSL is not before the court. The prosecution has not insisted for the same also.

32. Thus it can be seen that PW1 has totally denied the incident. She stated that she has no connection with the accused and she turned hostile. PW2 her grandmother and PW7 her mother

have also turned hostile. They have also denied the alleged incident. The only witness who has supported the prosecution is PW3. But his deposition is marked by contradictions and embellishment from his statement before the police. And it is clear from his deposition that he is not having a very cordial relationship with PW2. And it is because of this he has given a deposition against PW1, the survivor. Though he has stated that he has examined the phone, he do not know the Instagram IDs of the accused. He does not know the Whatsapp number of the accused and he does not even know the make of the phone. All these shows that he had never examined the phone and that he has deposed the same only to satisfy his animosity against PW1 and PW2. Thus it is clear that there is nothing to connect the accused with the alleged offences. The prosecution has utterly failed to prove the guilt of the accused beyond reasonable doubt. Point Nos.1 to 3 are found against the prosecution.

Point No.4:-

33. In the result, A1, A2, A3, A4, A5 and A7 are found not guilty of the offences u/s. 12 r/w 11(iii), 12 r/w 11(v) POCSO Act and 67 B(d) I.T.Act and accordingly they are acquitted of the said offences u/s. 258(1) BNSS. Their bail bonds stand cancelled and

they are set liberty. The case against A6 is refiled. As the address of A6 is reported to be unknown, SHO is directed to conduct further investigation regarding this and file a report.

(Dictated to Confidential Assistant transcribed and typed by her, corrected and pronounced by me in open court on this the 31st day of March, 2026).

Sd/-

**ROSHUN THOMAS
SPECIAL JUDGE**

APPENDIX

Exhibits marked for Prosecution:

P1/PW1	:	First Information Statement dt.29.12.2024 marked on 21.02.2026
P1(a)/PW1	:	Portion of FIS dt.29.12.2024 marked on 21.02.2026
P2/PW2	:	Portion of 161 statement dt.30.12.2024 marked on 21.02.2026
P3/PW4	:	Certificate of Medico Legal Examination dt.30.12.2024 marked on 11.03.2026

P4/PW5	;	Potency certificate of A7 dt. 08.01.2025 marked on 11.03.2026
P5/PW5	:	Potency certificate of A1 dt. 08.01.2025 marked on 11.03.2026
P6/PW5	:	Potency certificate of A2 dt. 25.01.2025 marked on 11.03.2026
P7/PW5	:	Potency certificate of A5 dt. 06.01.2025 marked on 11.03.2026
P8/PW6	:	Statement portion dt. 30.12.2024 marked on 11.03.2026
P9/PW7	:	Statement portion dt01.01.2025 marked on 11.03.2026
P10/PW8	:	Kaicheet dt29.01.2025 marked on 11.03.2026
P11/PW9	:	Potency certificate of A4 dt.07.01.2025 marked on 12.03.2026
P12/PW10	:	Birth Certificate of survivor dt. 28.02.205 marked on 12.03.2026
P12(a)/PW10	:	63 certificate dt.28.02.2025 marked on 12.03.2026
P13/PW13	:	FIR dt.29.12.2024 marked on 18.03.2026
P14/PW13	:	Seizure mahazar (survivor Phone) dt.25.01.2025 marked on 18.03.2026
P15/PW13	:	Property list dt. 25.01.2025 marked on 18.03.2026

P16 series/PW13	:	Arrest memo (A1) dt.08.01.2025 marked on 18.03.2026
P16(a)/PW13	:	Arrest intimation dt. 08.01.2025 marked on 18.03.2026
P16 (b)/PW13	:	Custody memo dt. 08.01.2025 marked on 18.03.2026
P17/PW13	:	Address report dt. 08.01.2025 marked on 18.03.2026
P18/PW13	:	Seizure mahazar dt.25.01.2025 marked on 18.03.2026
P19/PW13	:	Property list (T.79/25) dt...25.01.2025 marked on 18.03.2026
P20 series/PW13		Arrest memo dt...25.01.2025 marked on 18.03.2026
P20(a)/PW13	:	Inspection memo dt. 25.01.2025 marked on 18.03.2026
P20(b)PW13	:	Arrest intimation dt.25.01.2025 marked on 18.03.2026
P20(c)/PW13	:	Custody memo (A2) dt. 25.01.2025 marked on 18.03.2026
P21/PW13	:	Seizure mahazar dt. 28.01.2025 marked on 18.03.2026
P22/PW13	:	Property list (T.80/25) dt. 28.01.2025 marked on 18.03.2026
P23 series/PW13	:	Arrest memo (A3) dt. 04.01.2025 marked on

		18.03.2026
P23(a)/PW13	:	Inspection memo dt...04.01.2025 marked on 18.03.2026
P23(b)/PW13	:	Arrest intimation dt.04.01.2025 marked on 18.03.2026
P23(c)/PW13	:	Custody memo dt.04.01.2025 marked on 18.03.2026
P24/PW13	:	Address report dt...05.01.2025 marked on 18.03.2026
P25/PW13	:	Seizure mahazar dt...25.01.2025 marked on 18.03.2026
P26/PW13	:	Property list (T.81/25)dt. 25.01.2025 marked on 18.03.2026
P27/PW13	:	Potency certificate dt. 05.01.2025 marked on 18.03.2026
P28 series/PW13	:	Arrest memo (A5)dt. 05.01.2025 marked on 18.03.2026
P28(a)/PW13	:	Inspection memo dt. 05.01.2025 marked on 18.03.2066
P28(b)/PW13	:	Arrest intimation dt. 05.01.2025 marked on 18.03.2025
P28(c)/PW13	:	Custody memo dt...05.01.2025 marked on 18.03.2026
P29/PW13	:	Address report dt. 06.01.2025 marked on 18.03.2026.

P30/PW13	:	Seizure mahazar dt. 25.01.2025 marked on 18.03.2026
P31/PW13	:	Property list(T.83/25) dt.25.01.2025 marked on 18.03.2026
P32 series/PW13	:	Arrest memo dt.08.01.2025 marked on 18.03.2026
P32(a)/PW13	:	Inspection memo dt. 08.01.2025 marked on 18.03.2026
P32(b)/PW13	:	Arrest intimation dt.08.01.2025 marked on 18.03.2026
P32(c)/PW13	:	Custody memo dt. 08.01.2025 marked on 18.03.2026
P33/PW13	:	Address report dt. 08.01.2025 marked on 18.03.2026
P34/PW13	:	Seizure mahazar dt. 08.01.2025 marked on 18.03.2026
P35/PW13	:	Property list dt.04.03.2025 marked on 18.03.2026
P36 series/PW14	:	Arrest memo dt.07.01.2025 marked on 21.03.2026
P36 (a)/PW14	:	Arrest intimation dt.07.01.2025 marked on 21.03.2026
P36(b)/PW14	:	Custody memo dt. 07.01.2025 marked on 21.03.2026
P36(c)/PW14	:	Inspection memo dt. 07.01.2025 marked on.21.03.2026
P37/PW14	:	Address report dt. 07.01.2026 marked on 21.03.2026

P38/PW14	:	Forwarding note dt. 21.04.2025 marked on 21.03.2026
----------	---	---

Exhibits for defence:- Nil

Witnesses for prosecution:

Examined on:

PW1	Survivor	21.02.2026
PW2	Usha	21.02.2026
PW3	Akhil	21.02.2026
PW4	Dr.Vijayalakshmi	11.03.2026
PW5	Dr.Arundhathi	11.03.2026
PW6	Aswin karunan	11.03.2026
PW7	Josmi	11.03.2026
PW8	Lovely Varghese	11.03.2026
PW9	Dr.Lisha	12.03.2026
PW10	V.Soney.	12.03.2026
PW11	Lincy Daniel	12.03.2026
PW12	Nisa P.S.	13.03.2026
PW13	Jobin Antony	18.03.2026
PW14	Prince Joseph	21.03.2026

Witnesses examined for defence:- Nil

Material Objects: Nil

Sd/-
SPECIAL JUDGE

// True Copy //

SPECIAL JUDGE

**TABULAR FORM AS PER RULE 132 OF THE CRIMINAL
RULES OF PRACTICE**

Serial No. of the case	:	S.C.No.400/2025
Name of the Police Station & Crime No.	:	Pala Police Station (Cr.No. 1921/2024)

DESCRIPTION OF THE ACCUSED

Sl.No	Name	Father's Name	Occupation	Residence	Age
A1	Nidhun Kumar.K	Kumar.K		Kuriyedathu Thazhey Veedu, Kannadickal Bhagom, Vengeri P.O., Vengeri Village, Kozhikkode	25/24

				Taluk, Kozhikode District.	
A2	Jithin Mohan.M	Mohanan		Variyakkara Veedu, Pavathiyavilla Bhagom, Parasala P.O., Parasala Village, Parasala Taluk, Thiruvananthapuram District.	26/2025
A3	Shyam Mohan,	Mohanan		Kumaribhavanam Veedu, Kottakkam Bhagom, Muttom P.O., Harippad Taluk, Alappuzha District.	26/2025,
A4	Abhiram.V.S	Vivek		Mangalath Puthenveedu, Near Sasthavattom Ayyappa Temple, Sasthavattom P.O., Velloor Village, Chirayinkeezhu Thaluk, Thiruvananthapuram District.	20/2025
A5:	Sohil P.Alex		Alex.C. Varkey	Paruppallil Veedu, Near Kunnathanam NSS High School, Mundiappally P.O., Kaviyoor Village,	19/2025

				Pathanamthitta District.	
A6:	Unknown				
A7	Abhijith K.P.	Ashokan,		Thyvechaparambu Veedu, Chittariparambu P.O., Mananthery Village, Kannur District.	24/2025

DATES OF:

Occurrence	:	01.05.2022
Complaint	:	29.12.2024
Apprehension	:	A1 - 08.01.2025 A2 - 25.01.2025 A3 - 04.01.2025 A4 - 07.01.2025 A5 - 05.01.2025 A6 - unknown A7 - 08.01.2025
Release on bail	:	A1 - 10.02.2025 A2 - 06.02.2025 A3 - 31.01.2025

		A4 - 07.02.2025 A5 - 29.01.2025 A7 - 06.02.2025
Committal	:
Commencement of Trial	:	21.02.2026
Close of Trial	:	21.03.2026
Hearing	:	27.03.2026
Judgment	:	31.03.2026
Reason for delay		No delay
Remarks		Nil

"

Sd/-
ROSHUN THOMAS
SPECIAL JUDGE

// True Copy //

SPECIAL JUDGE

Copy of Judgment in

S.C.No.400/2025

Dated : 31.03.2026