

**IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,
TIRUVANNAMALAI
PRESENT: Tmt. M.Sumathi Sai Priya, B.Sc., M.A., M.L., PGDPM and IR
Principal Sessions Judge,
Tiruvannamalai.**

Tuesday, the 16th day of June- 2026

**Criminal Miscellaneous Petition No.1077/2026
CNR.No.TNTM01-001789-2026**

1. Perumalsamy, aged 37 years, S/o.Subramani
2. Bavani, aged 34 years, W/o. Perumalsamy
3. Mariyammal, aged 63 years, W/o.Subramani
4. Murugesan, aged 56 years, S/o.Nagapillai
5. Gopi @ Paranthaman, aged 29 years, S/o.Nagapillai ... Petitioners/Accused

/Vs/

State through

The Sub Inspector of Police, Desur P.S.

Cr.No.14 /2026

... Respondent/Complainant

This petition is coming before me on this day for hearing in the presence of Thiru.S.Lawrance, Advocate for the petitioners and Thiru. K.V.Manoharan, Public Prosecutor for the State and after hearing either side and on perusal of available records, this court passed the following....

ORDER

Heard Both sides.

Apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence punishable under Section U/s. 296(b), 329(4), 115(2), 118(1), 324(4), 351(3), of BNS and Section 4 of TNPHW Act in Cr.No.14/2026 of Desur Police Station, the petitioners have come forward with the present petition u/s.482 of BNSS Act seeking anticipatory bail.

2) The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and not connected any way with the alleged offences and the complaint is false, the investigation is almost over, that the petitioners are ready to abide by any condition imposed by this court and the petitioners are undertakes that they will not abscond and will not tamper any witness and hence prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

3) Per contra, the Learned Public Prosecutor has objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner by stating that on 07.01.2026 at 10.30 p.m, the petitioners trespassed in to the house of the defacto complainant, abused him in filthy language and assaulted him on his face and also assaulted the wife of the defacto complainant on her chest and cut the thali chain and threw it away. Again 08.01.2026 at about 8.00 a.m, the petitioner came into the house of the defacto complainant, and damaged the windows. The injured treated as an outpatient. There is a counter case in Cr.No.3/2026 is pending. Investigation is pending.

4) Records perused. This Court has considered the submissions made by both sides. The case of prosecution is that on 07.01.2026 at 10.30 p.m, the petitioners trespassed in to the house of the defacto complainant, abused him in filthy language and assaulted him on his face and also assaulted the wife of the defacto complainant on her chest and cut the thali chain and threw it away. Again 08.01.2026 at about 8.00 a.m, the petitioner came into the house of the defacto complainant, and damaged the windows. The learned Public Prosecutor submits that the injured treated as an outpatient. There is no previous case is pending. Investigation is not yet complete. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of dispute over the previous enmity, the injured treated as an outpatient, that the completion of material portion of investigation, there is no previous case is pending against the petitioners, and in the absence of any strong objection on the side of prosecution, this Court is inclined to grant Anticipatory bail to the petitioners subject to the following conditions:

a) In the result, the petition is allowed and it is hereby ordered that this in the event of their arrest by the respondent/police or on their surrender before the learned jurisdictional magistrate within 15 days from the date of this order. They shall be execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/-each with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned jurisdictional magistrate.

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and Thumb impression in the surety bond and the learned Judicial Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Adhar card or Bank Passbook to ensure their identity.

(c) The Petitioners shall appear before the Judicial Magistrate, Vandavasi daily at 10.00 a.m for 30 days (except court holidays) without fail.

(d) The petitioners shall make himself available for interrogation by the concerned police as and when required.

(e) The petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness during the trial.

(f) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions are imposed by the above said Magistrate and the petitioners are released on bail by the trial Court itself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs.State of Kerala (2005 AIR SCW 5560). If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh F.I.R can be registered u/sec.229-A IPC., With the above directions, this petition is allowed.

Pronounced by me in open Court, on this the 16th day of June – 2026.

**Principal Sessions Judge
Tiruvannamalai.**

Copy to

- 1) The learned Judicial Magistrate, Vandavasi.
- 2) The Public Prosecutor, Principal District Court, Tiruvannamalai.
- 3) The Sub Inspector of Police, Desur P.S.
- 4) The Counsel for the petitioners.