

**IN THE COURT OF LAND ACQUISITION, REHABILITATION AND  
RE-SETTLEMENT AUTHORITY, KOTTAYAM**

Present:- Sri.J.Nazar, Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and  
Re-settlement Authority, Kottayam

**Wednesday, the 22<sup>nd</sup> day of January 2025.**

2<sup>nd</sup> day of Magha 1946

**LAR Nos. 35/2021,36/2021,1/2022,2/2022 & 3/2022**

**LAR No. 35/2021**

(LARRC No.2/2021/APZHA/ADDL. Dtd. 09.11.2021)

**Claimant:**

M.P.Raveendran, Aged 79, S/o. Pappu,  
resident of Ambuja Sadanam, Padinjattumbhagam,  
Athirampuzha Village, Athirampuzha P.O,  
Now residing at Abuja Sadanam, Near Stanes Co.  
Ettumanoor.P.O, Kottayam.

By Adv. Zakheer Huzain and Sunitha.A.

**Respondents:**

1. State of Kerala, Rep. By District Collector, Kottayam.
2. The Special Tahsildar, Land Acquisition (Railway),  
Kottayam.
3. Deputy Chief Engineer (Constructions) Southern  
Railway, Ernakulam.

R1 and R2 by Adv. Sri. Cyril Thomas, Addl. Govt. Pleader.

R3 by Adv. Sri.A.J.Dominic

**LAR No. .36/2021**

(LARRC No.4/2021/APZHA/ADDL dtd. 09.11.2021)

**Claimant:**

Suby Salim, Aged 58, W/o. Salim,  
residing at Mangalathil, Padinjattumbhagam Kara,  
Athirampuzha Village, Athirampuzha P.O.

By Adv. Zakheer Huzain Sunitha.A

**Respondents:**

1. State of Kerala, Rep. By District Collector, Kottayam.
2. The Special Tahsildar, Land Acquisition (Railway), Kottayam.
3. Deputy Chief Engineer (Constructions) Southern Railway, Ernakulam.

R1 and R2 by Adv. Sri. Cyril Thomas, Addl. Govt. Pleader.

R3 by Adv. Sri. A.J.Dominic

**LAR No. .01/2022**

(LARRC No.1/2021/APZHA/ADDL dtd. 09.11.2021)

**Claimants:**

Abraham Elias, Aged 70, S/o. Abraham,  
resident of Vazhikunnathu,  
Athirampuzha Village, Athirampuzha.

By Adv. Zakheer Huzain and Sunitha.A.

**Addl. Claimant.2**

Annamma Abraham, Aged 71, W/o. Abraham Elias,  
Vazhakunnathu House, Athirampuzha Village,  
Athirampuzha P.O, Kottayam,

**Addl. Claimant.3**

Ashamol.V, Aged 44, D/o. Abraham Elias,  
Pulingappallil, Athirampuzha Village,  
Athirampuzha P.O, Kottayam.

**Addl. Claimant.4**

Bose V. Abraham, Aged 42, S/o. Abraham Elias,  
Vazhikunnathu House, Athirampuzha Village,  
Athirampuzha P.O, Kottayam.

***Addl. Claimants 2 to 4 are impleaded as per order in I.A.3/23  
dtd. 27.12.2024.***

By Adv. Zakheer Huzain and Sunitha.A.

**Respondents:-**

1. State of Kerala, Rep. By District Collector, Kottayam.
2. The Special Tahsildar, Land Acquisition (Railway), Kottayam.
3. Deputy Chief Engineer (Constructions) Southern Railway, Ernakulam.

R1 and R2 by Adv. Sri. Cyril Thomas, Addl. Govt. Pleader.

R3 by Adv. Sri.A.J.Dominic

**LAR No. 02/2022**

(LARRC No.3/2021/APZHA/ADDL dtd. 09.11.2021)

**Claimant:**

Sophiyamma Thampi, Aged 71,  
W/o. Thampi, resident of Kayanattu,  
Padinjattumbhagam, Athirampuzha Village,  
Athirampuzha P.O, Now residing at Kayanattu  
House, Pattithanam.P.O, Kanakkari.

By Adv. Zakheer Huzain and Sunitha.A.

**Respondent:-**

1. State of Kerala, Rep. By District Collector, Kottayam.
2. The Special Tahsildar, Land Acquisition (Railway), Kottayam.
3. Deputy Chief Engineer (Constructions) Southern Railway, Ernakulam.

R1 and R2 by Adv. Sri. Cyril Thomas, Addl. Govt. Pleader.

R3 by Adv. Sri. A.J.Dominic

**LAR No. .03/2022**

(LARRC No.5/2021/APZHA/ADDL dtd. 09.11.2021)

**Claimant:**

P.M.Lukose, Aged 75, S/o. Mathai,  
resident of Puthenpurackal, Manackapadam,  
Ettumanoor P.O, Kottayam.

By Adv.Zakheer Huzain and Sunitha.A.

**Respondents:-**

1. State of Kerala, Rep. By District Collector,  
Kottayam.
2. The Special Tahsildar, Land Acquisition  
(Railway), Kottayam.
3. Deputy Chief Engineer (Constructions) Southern  
Railway, Ernakulam.

R1 and R2 by Adv. Sri. Cyril Thomas, Addl. Govt. Pleader.

R3 by Adv. A.J.Dominic

These references having been finally heard on 20.01.2025  
and the court on 22.01.2025 answered the following:-

**COMMON JUDGMENT**

These cases are based on references made under Section  
64 of The Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land  
Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013  
(hereinafter referred to as the "Act") on the basis of claim made  
by claimants seeking enhancement of compensation.

**2.** In L.A.R. No.35/2021 an extent of 5.19 Ares of property in Survey No.566/3 in Block No.28 of Athirampuzha Village, Kottayam Taluk in Kottayam District has been acquired for the purpose of Railway and for which, Section 4(1) notification under the Act has been notified on 08.02.2019 and thereafter Section 11(1) notification was notified on 04.03.2020. The Award was passed on 09.11.2021 and the possession of the property was taken on 26.11.2021.

**3.** In L.A.R. No.36/2021 an extent of 1.14 Ares of property in Survey No.600/4 in Block No.28 of Athirampuzha Village, Kottayam Taluk in Kottayam District has been acquired for the purpose of Railway and for which, Section 4(1) notification under the Act has been notified on 08.02.2019 and thereafter Section 11(1) notification was notified on 04.03.2020. The Award was passed on 09.11.2021 and the possession of the property was taken on 26.11.2021.

**4.** In L.A.R. No.1/2022 an extent of 1.50 Ares of property in Survey No.528/15-3 in Block No.28 of Athirampuzha Village,

Kottayam Taluk in Kottayam District has been acquired for the purpose of Railway and for which, Section 4(1) notification under the Act has been notified on 08.02.2019 and thereafter Section 11(1) notification was notified on 07.03.2020. The Award was passed on 09.11.2021 and the possession of the property was taken on 26.11.2021.

**5.** In L.A.R. No.2/2022 an extent of 0.59 Ares of property in Survey No.566/17 in Block No.28 of Athirampuzha Village, Kottayam Taluk in Kottayam District has been acquired for the purpose of Railway and for which, Section 4(1) notification under the Act has been notified on 08.02.2019 and thereafter Section 11(1) notification was notified on 07.03.2020. The Award was passed on 09.11.2021 and the possession of the property was taken on 26.11.2021.

**6.** In L.A.R. No.3/2022 an extent of 2.26 Ares of property in Survey No.599/5 in Block No.28 of Athirampuzha Village, Kottayam Taluk in Kottayam District has been acquired for the purpose of Railway and for which, Section 4(1) notification under the Act has been notified on 08.02.2019

and thereafter Section 11(1) notification was notified on 07.03.2020. The Award was passed on 09.11.2021 and the possession of the property was taken on 26.11.2021.

**7.** Being aggrieved by the Award, the claimants preferred reference application under Section 64 of The Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013, and based on which, these cases were referred to this Court.

**8.** The first respondent is State of Kerala, represented by The District Collector, 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent is Special Tahasildar, Land Acquisition (Railways), Kottayam and 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent is Deputy Chief Engineer (Constructions) Southern Railways, Ernakulam.

**9.** Notices were served to the claimants and respondents and both parties appeared before the Court.

**10.** The claimants in all these cases preferred claim statement, taking the very same averments, which are summarised as follows:- The acquired property in L.A.R.

No.35/2021 is situated about 85 mtrs. south to Ettumanoor-Neendoor Public Road and further which lies by the side of Ettumanoor Railway over bridge. The market value fixed by the Land Acquisition Officer (LAO) is Rs.3,83,768/- per Are, which is grossly inadequate. In L.A.R No.36/2021, the acquired property lies by the side of Ettumanoor Railway over bridge about 300 mtrs south of Ettumanoor Railway Station first plat form. In L.A.R. No.1/2022, the acquired property lies by the side of Ettumanoor Railway over bridge about 100 mtrs south to west platform of Ettumanoor Railway Station, just opposite to Ettumanoor Railway station. In L.A.R. No.2/2022, the acquired property lies by the side of Ettumanoor Railway over bridge about 85 mtrs. south of Ettumanoor-Neendoor public road, just opposite to Ettumanoor Railway station. In L.A.R. No.3/2022, the acquired property lies by the side of Ettumanoor Railway over bridge about 85 mtrs. south of Ettumanoor-Neendoor public road just opposite to Ettumanoor Railway station.

**11.** The market value fixed by the L.A.O. is Rs.3,83,768/- per Are, which is grossly inadequate. The fair value fixed by

Government under Stamp Act in the area is more than the market value fixed by the L.A.O. There are several sale deeds registered within 3 years of the notification within 5 kms. of the acquired land ranging from 20 lakhs to 30 lakhs per Are. There are several public and private institutions nearby to the acquired land. Medical College Hospital, Children's Hospital, Carithas Hospital, Matha Hospital, Mithera Hospital, M.G. University, Banks, Schools, Colleges, Church, Juma Masjid etc. are situated within 5 kms. radius of the acquired land. The potential land value of the acquired land were not properly considered. So the value of the land has to be fixed at Rs.25 lakhs per Are.

**12.** The original notification for land acquisition for the purpose of Railway was published on 10.05.2011 and as such, the claimants are entitled to get all the benefits under the Act from that date.

**13.** In L.A.R. No.35/2021 there was a residential building and so that the claimant in that case is entitled to get compensation under the head of rehabilitation.

**14.** Respondent Nos.1 and 2 filed objection and which is summarised below:- The claim petitions are not maintainable either in the eye of law or on facts. Awards were passed under the provisions of The Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013, for which the L.A.O. has considered all parameters contemplated under Section 26 of the Act, 2013. The averment that the property would get Rs.25 lakhs per Are is not correct. Proper sale deeds were considered for the purpose of fixing the land value by the L.A.O. Suitable and adequate compensation were Awarded and hence there is no need to refix the Award passed by the L.A.O. There is no injury or damages caused to the claimants and as such the claim petitions are not allowable and so the reference are to be disallowed.

**15.** All these cases were jointly tried, taking L.A.R. No. 1/2022 as the main case. From the part of claimants, 3<sup>rd</sup> additional claimant in L.A.R. No.35/2021 was examined as PW1 and marked Exts.A1 to A22.

**16.** Heard both sides.

**17.** The points for consideration in L.A.R. No.35/2021 are:-

1. Whether the claimant is entitled to get enhanced land value for the acquired property, if so, what should be the amount?
2. Whether the claimant is entitled to get enhanced compensation for structures and trees as claimed?
3. Whether the claimant is entitled to get any amount under the head of rehabilitation?
4. Reliefs and costs?

**18.** The points for consideration in L.A.R. No.36/2021 are:-

1. Whether the claimant is entitled to get enhanced land value for the acquired property, if so, what should be the amount?
2. Whether the claimant is entitled to get enhanced compensation for structures and trees as claimed?
3. Reliefs and costs?

**19.** The points for consideration in L.A.R. No.1/2022 are:-

1. Whether the claimant is entitled to get enhanced land value for the acquired property, if so, what should be the amount?

2. Whether the claimant is entitled to get enhanced compensation for structures and trees as claimed?
3. Reliefs and costs?

**20.** The points for consideration in L.A.R. No.2/2022 are:-

1. Whether the claimant is entitled to get enhanced land value for the acquired property, if so, what should be the amount?
2. Whether the claimant is entitled to get enhanced compensation for structures and trees as claimed?
3. Reliefs and costs?

**21.** The points for consideration in L.A.R. No.3/2022 are:-

1. Whether the claimant is entitled to get enhanced land value for the acquired property, if so, what should be the amount?
2. Whether the claimant is entitled to get enhanced compensation for structures and trees as claimed?
3. Reliefs and costs?

**22. Point No.1 in L.A.R. Nos.35 & 36 of 2021 and 1, 2 & 3 of 2022:-** All these points can be considered together, since

the documents relied on by the claimants for enhancement in all these cases are same. As per the Award passed by the L.A.O., Rs.3,83,768/- per Are was Awarded as compensation and being aggrieved by the Award, these references were made. The main contention raised by the learned Counsel for the claimants is that, the parts of the properties of these claimants were acquired in an earlier occasion and for which there was a valuation report and copy of basic valuation report was marked as Ext.A1. As per the B.V.R., the value arrived by the L.A.O. was Rs.6,04,923/- per Are. But with utter disregard to this valuation, the L.A.O. valued these properties at Rs.3,83,768/- per Are. In that connection, the claimants produced Exts.A9 to A14, certified copies of sale deeds, registered within 3 years of the notification within 3 kms of the acquired land. According to the claimants, the value of the property would be assessed at Rs.25 lakhs per Are.

**23.** The learned Additional Government Pleader opposed the claim made by the claimants based on these documents and he submitted that Ext.A1 document, which is copy of BVR prepared in connection with passing of Award for the

acquisition of land on earlier occasion in the same district cannot be considered for the purpose of re-assessing the value under Section 26 of The Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013, for which the learned Government Pleader relies on explanation 3 to the Section 26 of the Act, 13. As per that provision, while determining the market value under this Section, the average sale price referred to in explanation 2, any price paid as compensation for land acquired under the provisions of this Act on an earlier occasion in the district shall not be taken into consideration.

**24.** Now admittedly Ext.A1 is the Basic Valuation Report (BVR) prepared in connection with the acquisition of land situated in the very same district. So as per explanation 3 to Section 26, the said valuation made in Ext.A1 document cannot be relied on for the purpose of determining the market value of the land.

**25.** Now it is necessary to see the documents relied on by the claimants for refixing the land value. Exts.A9 to 14 are the

certified copies of sale deeds registered within 3 years with respect to the property situated within 5 kms radius to the acquired land. Now the said documents are challenged by the learned Additional Government Pleader and according to him, the said properties have no similarity with the acquired land and further there is no evidence from the part of claimants to see that the said properties are similar to that of the acquired land.

**26.** According to the claimants, the properties covered under Ext.A9 to 14 are similar to the acquired land. But during argument, the learned Counsel for the claimants would concede that except the properties covered under Exts.A13 and Exts.A14, all other documents are situated by the side of Municipal road or panchayat road and as such, the said documents cannot be equated with the properties acquired in connection with this case. Now I have perused Exts.A13 and Exts.A14 certified copies of sale deeds and the said properties are situated in Block No.30 and 27 respectively. With respect to property covered under Ext.A13, there is 10 ft. width way on the south of the said property and there is a Hospital

situated on the north of the said property. Regarding the properties in Ext.A14 it is to be noted that the said property has 5 mtr width way on its east and 10 ft width on the south. In addition in these documents, I have also considered Ext.A12 document and which also situated by the side of a Hospital. Now the said proprieties are situated in different block numbers and in that circumstance, it is for the claimant to adduce evidence to see that the said properties are similar to that of the acquired land. Now it is necessary to see that the case of respondent and as per her case, these properties are situated by the side of Railway property and as such, the said documents cannot be relied on. Now the contention raised by the learned Counsel for the claimants is that there was way to the property and by the acquisition for the purpose of Railway, the said way became the property of the Railway. Now it is for the claimants to prove that the documents relied on by him are with respect to the properties similar to that of the acquired land.

**27.** In that connection, the claimants did not take out a commission to see the nature, lie and location and further the

importance of the land. Without adducing any evidence it is not possible for the Court to see that the properties covered under Exts.A9 to Exts.A14 documents are similar to that of the acquired land. So the said documents cannot be considered for the purpose of finding the market value of the land under Section 26 of the Act, 2013.

**28.** Now there is no other evidence to see that the Award passed by the L.A.O. is inadequate and as such there is no reason to revise the land value fixed by the L.A.O. and the points are found against the claimants.

**29. Point No.2 in L.A.R. Nos.35 & 36 of 2021 and 1, 2 & 3 of 2022:-** The claimants sought for enhancement of compensation for the building and structures in the acquired land. It is submitted that there was a building in the acquired property in connection with L.A.R. No.35/2021 and for which, no proper compensation was Awarded. The LAO has made 50% depreciation for the building and which is not allowable. In that connection, I have gone through the Act, 2013 and by which it is not possible to see that Section 29 of the Act, 2013

provides for assessing the value of building in the acquired land. As per that provision, the L.A.O. has to seek the service of competent engineer or any other specialist in the relevant period for finding the value of building. There is nothing in the Section to see that the claimant is entitled to get value irrespective of the depreciation of the building. So the argument of the learned Counsel for the claimant that he is entitled to get the value of the building irrespective of the depreciation cannot be accepted. Now there is no evidence to see that the value assessed by the L.A.O. is inadequate, as such the claimants are not entitled to get any amount under the head of value of improvements and structures. The points are found against the claimants.

**30. Point No.3 in L.A.R. No.35/2021:-** There was a building in this case and which was acquired for the purpose of Railway. It is contended that the claimant was not Awarded with proper compensation under the head of rehabilitation. Now the learned Counsel for the claimant would submit that the L.A.O. has not given proper compensation under the head of rehabilitation. It is admitted that there was a building in

the acquired property of the claimant and so that the claimant is entitled to get compensation under the head of rehabilitation. Now the claimant received all benefits, except the claim of choice of annuity under serial No.4 of 2<sup>nd</sup> schedule of the Act, by which the claimant is entitled to get job or one time payment of Rs.5,00,000/- (Rupees Five Lakhs only). Now admittedly, the said amount has not been paid to the claimant. The claimant's Counsel would submit that he is preferring Rs.5,00,000/- (Rupees Five Lakhs only) instead of job. So the claimant is entitled to get compensation of Rs.5,00,000/- (Rupees Five Lakhs only) under the head of rehabilitation and the point is found in favour of the claimant.

**31. Point No.4 in L.A.R. No.35/2021:-** In the result, the above reference is answered partly in favour of the claimant as follows:-

**(a).** The claimant is entitled to get ₹5,00,000/- (Rupees Five Lakhs only) under the head of rehabilitation.

**(b).** The claimant is entitled to get 9% interest per annum on the amount covered under (a) for a period of one year from 26.11.2021, the date of possession

and thereafter at 15% per annum till the amount is actually paid / deposited before the authority.

(c). The claimant is entitled to get proportionate costs.

**32. Point No.3 in L.A.R. No.36/2021:-** In the result, the reference is answered against the claimant.

**33. Point No.3 in L.A.R. No.1/2022:-** In the result, the reference is answered against the claimant.

**34. Point No.3 in L.A.R. No.2/2022:-** In the result, the reference is answered against the claimant.

**35. Point No.3 in L.A.R. No.3/2022:-** In the result, the reference is answered against the claimant.

*Dictated to the Confidential Assistant, transcribed and typed by her, corrected and pronounced by me in the open Court on this the 22<sup>nd</sup> day of January, 2025.*

Sd/-  
**J.Nazar,**  
**Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation  
and Re-Settlement Authority**

**APPENDIX:**

<b><u>Exhibits Marked for the Claimants</u></b>		
Ext. A1	16.11.2016	Certified copy of the proceedings of the District Collector, Ext.A36 in LAR 38/2020
Ext.A2	23.01.2018	Certified copy of Sale deed No.241/2018 of SRO, Ettumanoor
Ext.A3	12.07.2017	Certified copy of sale deed No. 1632/2017 of SRO Ettumanoor
Ext. A4	01.01.2018	Certified copy of sale deed No. 24/2018 of SRO, Ettumanoor
Ext. A5	03.04.2018	Certified copy of sale deed No.1489/18 of SRO, Ettumanoor
Ext. A6	03.04.2018	Certified Copy of sale deed No.1490/18 of SRO, Ettumanoor
Ext. A7	03.04.2018	Certified copy of sale deed No. 1491/2018 of SRO Ettmanoor.
Ext. A8	11.07.2017	Certified copy of sale deed No. 1633/2017 of SRO Ettmanoor.
Ext.A9	17.06.2016	Certified copy of sale deed No. 1415/2016 of SRO Ettmanoor.
Ext.A10	17.06.2016	Certified copy of sale deed No. 1416/2016 of SRO Ettmanoor.
Ext.A11	23.03.2016	Certified copy of sale deed No. 818/2018
Ext.A12	07.03.2019	Certified copy of sale deed No. 540/2019
Ext.A13	07.03.2019	Certified copy of sale deed No. 541/2019 of SRO Ettmanoor.
Ext.A14	30.01.2019	Certified copy of sale deed No. 224/2019 of SRO Ettmanoor.

Ext.A15	NIL	Certified copy of valuation statement in LAR No. 35/21 (B1-28 Resy. 566/3 LARRC 2/ 21/ APZHA /ADDL)
Ext. A16	NIL	Certified copy of valuation statement in LAR No. 35/21 (B1-28 Resy. 566/3 LARRC 2/ 21/ APZHA/ ADDL)
Ext.A17	NIL	Certified copy of valuation statement in LAR No. 1/2022 (B1-28 Resy. 680/4 LARRC 2/ 21/ APZHA/ ADDL)
Ext.A.18	NIL	Certified copy of valuation statement in LAR No.2/22 (B1 28 Re.Sy.566/17-1 LARRC 3/21/APZHA/ ADDL)
Ext.A19	NIL	Certified copy of valuation statement in LAR No.3/22 (B1 28 Re.Sy.599/5 LARRC 5/ 21/ APZHA/ ADDL)
Ext.A20	15.11.2011	Copy of GO(MS) NO. 419/2011 RD
Ext.A21	NIL	Copy of GO(MS) NO. 448/2017 RD
Ext.A22	NIL	Topo Sketch.
<b><u>Exhibits Marked for the Respondent:Nil.</u></b>		
<b><u>Court Exhibits: NIL</u></b>		
<b><u>Witness Examined for Claimant</u></b>		
PW1	27.12.2024	Shibu.R.
<b><u>Witness Examined for the Respondent:Nil.</u></b>		
<b><u>Court Witness: Nil.</u></b>		

Id/-  
**Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation  
and Re-settlement Authority.  
Kottayam.**

Copied by:  
Compared by:

<b><u>STATEMENT AS PER O.M.No.D1-60482/1996 DATED 14-01-1997</u></b> <b><u>OF THE HONOURABLE HIGH COURT OF KERALA.</u></b> <b><u>LAND ACQUISITION, REHABILITATION AND</u></b> <b><u>RE-SETTLEMENT AUTHORITY, KOTTAYAM.</u></b>		
<b><u>L.A.R. No.-35/2021</u></b>		
a)	Purpose of acquisition :	For the Chengannur Mulanthuruthy Railway Doubling
b)	Date of notification either under section 3(1) or 4(1) of the act as the case may be	07.03.2020
c)	Date on which possession was taken	26.11.2021
d)	Date of award, compensation awarded by the Land Acquisition	19.11.2021
i.	Land Value of 0.0519 Ares @ 3,83,768 per Are.	19,91,755.92
ii	Factor by which Land value multiplied	:1.2
iii.	Value of improvements	: 23,90,107.104
	1. Trees:	16,097
	2. Structures:	13,91,934.96
iv	Market Value of land (i x ii) + iii)	:37,98,139.064
v	100% Solatium on Market Value of land	:37,98,139.064
vi	Additional enhancement value @ 12% per annum for ii(a) under section 30(3) for the period of 1001 days from 13.02.2019 to 09.11.2021	6,55,478.68

<b>Common Judgment in LAR Nos.35/21, 36/21, 1/22, 2/22 and 3/22 dated: 22.01.2025</b>		
vii.	Total.	: 82,51,756.808
Viii.	Rehabilitation and Resettlement amount grand	Nil.
Ix	Grand Total (Rounded to)	: 82,51,757.00
e.	Whether the court has enhanced the compensation or Not	Enhanced the compensation
f.	Whether the court has enforced the compensation, the relevant break up figures, including the centage	:Enhanced the compensation.
	vi Rehabilitation Amount	: 5,00,000/-
	<b>ix Grand Total</b>	<b>: 5,00,000/-</b> <b>=====</b>
<p>The Claimant is entitled to get enhanced compensation of Rs.5,00,000.00 with interest at 9% per annum for one year from 26.11.2021 and thereafter at 15% per annum from 27.11.2022 till the deposit is made.</p>		

Id/-  
**Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation  
and Re-settlement Authority.  
Kottayam.**

Copied by:  
Compared by: