

**IN THE COURT OF SMT. MANJINDER KAUR, ADDL.
SESSIONS JUDGE, JALANDHAR. (UID-PB0181)**

B.A. No. 11048/2018
Date of Order: 22.10.2018
CNR NO. PBJL01-015015-2018

Sudesh Arora aged 47 years, wife of Simranjit Singh, resident of
52/11 Deol Nagar, Jalandhar.

.....Accused/applicant

Versus

State of Punjab

FIR No. 261 dated 19.09.2018
U/s 24 Immigration Act.
P.S. Div. NO. 6, Jalandhar

[First Application for Bail U/s 438 of Cr.P.C.]

Present: Sh. Sat Paul Viridi Adv. Counsel for accused/applicant.
Sh. Sachin Azad, Addl.PP for the State

ORDER:-

1. Applicant/accused Sudesh Arora has filed this bail application under Section 438 Cr.P.C in a case FIR No. 261, dated 19.09.2018, under Section 24 of Immigration Act, Police Station Divn. No. 6, Jalandhar
2. Upon notice, Mr. Sachin Azad, Additional Public Prosecutor appeared on behalf of State.
3. The learned Counsel for the applicant/accused has submitted that applicant/accused has not committed any offence. A

false case has been got registered against her. He has submitted that applicant/accused had arranged the visitor visa of the complainant Priya for Malaysia at the nominal fee of ₹ 20000/- for visa charges and ₹ 40000/- with regard to air ticket. A valid visa was arranged for the complainant but she filed a false complaint against the applicant/accused. She has also filed a civil suit against the complainant. Now the police of division no. 6 is raiding at the house of applicant/accused to arrest her. So, the bail application of the applicant/accused be allowed.

4. On the other hand Ld. Addl. PP has opposed the bail application on the ground that a grave and serious offence has been committed by the applicant/accused. So, she does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.

5. The perusal of the record reveals that this case has been registered on the basis of complaint moved by Priya wife of Surinder Kumar to Commissioner Jalandhar. The allegations against the applicant/accused are that she took ₹ 80000/- from the complainant Priya wife of Surinder Kumar on the pretext to arrange three years work permit visa for her, whereas, applicant/accused was not having license of travel agency at that time. Ld. Addl. PP has vehemently argued that in fact the applicant/accused has committed a fraud with the complainant Priya and despite service of notice under section 41(2) Cr.P.C., applicant/accused has not joined the investigation, so her bail application be dismissed.

6. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances the nature of the allegations against the applicant/accused and the conduct of the applicant/accused, she does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail. So the bail application is dismissed. Police record be returned. File be consigned to the record room, Jalandhar.

Dated: 22.10.2018

**Geeta Ram*

**(Manjinder Kaur)
Additional Sessions Judge
Jalandhar(UID PB0181)**