

IN THE COURT OF THE JUDGE FAMILY COURT, THALASSERY.

Present: Smt.Sreeja.V., Judge, Family Court, Thalassery

Wednesday, the 29th day of April, 2026 (9th Vaisakha,1948)

ORIGINAL PETITION No.204/2026

- | | | | |
|---|--|---|-------------|
| 1 | Anish Venugopal, S/o.Venugopal, Aged 27 |] | |
| | years, residing at 'Sree Illam', 15A 1 st |] | |
| | Street, Sivanandhapuram, Coimbatore |] | |
| | North P.O., Combatore, Tamilnadu.641035. |] | |
| | | | Petitioners |
| 2 | Krishnendhu Mavila, D/o.Vinodkumar, Aged |] | |
| | 27 years, residing at 36 A, Krishnaprabha, |] | |
| | Sivapuram, MallannurP.O., Sivapuram |] | |
| | Village, Kannur 670701. |] | |

This petition coming on the 28th day of April, 2026 for final hearing before me in the presence of S/Sri.M.Kishore Kumar and Mishab C.K, Advocates for the petitioners; and having stood over for consideration till this day the court delivered the following:

J U D G M E N T

This is a petition filed under section 13B of the Hindu Marriage Act.

2. The averments contained in the petition, in brief, are as follows: The marriage between the petitioners was solemnized on 26.01.2025 at Lotus Wedding and Banquet Hall, Thalassery, in accordance with the customs and rituals prevailing in the Hindu religion. After the marriage, the petitioners lived together as husband and wife in the residence of the 1st petitioner. No child was

born out of the wedlock. From the very beginning, the marital life of the petitioners was neither smooth nor happy. It was only due to the intervention of several mediators that the petitioners continued their marital relationship for some time. Subsequently, owing to differences of opinion, they started living separately from 10.02.2025 onwards. Attempts made by friends, relatives, and well-wishers to reconcile the relationship proved futile due to temperamental incompatibility. In the above circumstances, the petitioners have mutually decided to file a joint petition for divorce. There is no collusion between the petitioners. They do not own any joint property. Hence this petition.

3. The petition was filed 19.02.2026. As they are residing separately since 10.02.2025 and also considering other circumstances of the case, the requirement of cooling period of six months was waived by the Court as per the order in I.A.No.02/26. Though the parties were sent for counselling, it was not fruitful.

4. When the matter came up for the second motion, both the petitioners stood by the same stand as stated by them in the petition. They were not ready and willing for reunion.

5. Both the petitioners filed separate proof affidavits and their statements were recorded as PW1 and PW2 respectively. Ext.A1,

copy of the marriage certificate was marked on the side of the petitioners.

6. The following point arises for consideration:

Whether the petitioners are entitled to get a decree of dissolution of marriage as prayed for?

7. **The point:-** Both the petitioners have filed affidavits, in lieu of their Examination-in-Chief, in tune with the petition averments. Both of them submitted that they got married on 26.01.2025 in accordance with the customs prevailing among Hindu community. Ext.A1 is the copy of the marriage certificate issued in their favour. They further deposed that they have been residing separately since 10.02.2025 due to the difference of opinion that arose between them. No issues were born in the wedlock. All the attempts made for reunion went futile. There is no chance for a reunion. On going through the evidence tendered by PW1 and PW2, who are husband and wife, it is revealed that they have been living separately for more than one year and that they have not been able to live together and that they have mutually agreed that the marriage should be dissolved. Since, it is seen that the marriage between the petitioners is irrevocably broken and there is no chance for a reunion, the petition is only to be allowed.

In the result,

The petition is allowed and the marriage between the petitioners which was solemnised on 26.01.2025 is dissolved with effect from the date of this decree, ie., from, 29.04.2026, u/s.13 B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955. Considering the circumstances of the case, there is no order as to costs.

(Dictated to the Confidential Assistant, transcribed and typed by her, corrected and pronounced by me in open court, this the 29th day of April, 2026).

Sd/-
JUDGE
FAMILY COURT

Petitioner's Witnesses:-

PW1 : Aneesh Venugopal(1st Petitioner).
PW2 : Krishnendu Mavila(2nd Petitioner).

Petitioner's Exhibits:-

A1 :27.01.2026 :Copy of Marriage Certificate issued by the
Local Registrar of Marriages (Common)
Thalassery Municipality

Sd/-
JUDGE
FAMILY COURT

//True Copy//

JUDGE
FAMILY COURT

Typed by: Ajitha E.
Compared by: Shalini Gracia.T.

Fair/Spare of Judgment in
OP.204/2026 dated: 29.04.2026