

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS, THALASSERY DIVISION

Present: Sri. Philip Thomas, Special Judge for the trial of offences against women and children/ Addl. Sessions Judge-I

Monday the 09th day of February, 2026/20th Magha, 1947.

CrI.M P. No.01/2026

(SC 657/2025 Crime No.683/2025 of Kudiyanmala Police Station)

Petitioner/ Accused:-

Ranjith T, S/o Shekharan, aged 42 years, Puthiya Purayil (H),
Muyipra, Eruvessi P O, Taliparamba.

Vs.

Respondent/Complainant:-

State: SHO, Kudiyanmala Police Station,
Rep. by Special Public Prosecutor, Thalassery.

Petition filed U/s. 483 of BNSS 2023 for bail.

This petition coming on the 06th day of February, 2026 for hearing before me in the presence of Adv.Sajeevan T P, Chief Legal Aid Defense Counsel for the petitioner/accused and the Special Public Prosecutor for the State and having stood over for consideration till this day; the Court passed the following:-

ORDER

This is an application filed u/s.483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhitha, 2023.

2. The petitioner stands charge sheeted for the offence u/ss. 126(2), 115(2) and 296(b) of BNS, 2023 and u/s.75 of the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015.

3. The prosecution allegation is that on 16.08.2025 at 22.00 hours, the accused, who is the husband of CW1, on account of his enmity towards her

as she permitted their son to participate in the Ganesholsavam, with the intention to cause hurt to her, under the intoxication of alcohol, wrongfully restrained her and CW2, in the bedroom of house having Door No.8/482A of Eruvessy Grama Panchayat, wherein they were residing, and voluntarily caused hurt to CW1 by twisting her neck and by beating on her breast with fistful hand and also by kicking on her stomach and also abused her in filthy language and also voluntarily caused hurt to CW2, their daughter by twisting her right leg, which caused physical and mental suffering to CW2. Hence, the accused committed the aforesaid offences.

4. The petitioner was arrested on 21.08.2025 and since then he is in judicial custody.

5. The Public Prosecutor filed a report and vehemently objected to the bail application.

6. Heard both sides.

7. The counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent of the offences alleged against him. The petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. The petitioner was arrested on 21.08.2025 and since then he is in judicial custody. Only on the basis of family disputes the petitioner was arrayed as an accused in this case. It is also stated that the petitioner was ready to abide by any condition imposed by this Court. Hence, it is prayed that the petitioner may be enlarged on bail.

8. On the other hand, the learned Spl.Public Prosecutor argued that if the petitioner is released on bail there is every chance for the petitioner to influence and intimidate the victim and the witnesses and also may abscond from the locality. She further submitted that the petitioner has criminal antecedents. She further submitted that releasing of the petitioner may pose threat to the life of CW1 and CW2, who are the wife and daughter to the petitioner. Hence, the Prosecutor pleaded to dismiss the petition.

9. The investigation of the case is over and the case is taken on file as SC No 657/2025. The petitioner is in judicial custody for about 6 months. The counsel for the petitioner submitted that he is falsely implicated in this case. On going through the evidence of the Doctor who examined CWs1 and 2 it is seen that the injuries sustained to them were simple in nature. The prosecution apprehends that the petitioner is likely to intimidate and influence the victims and the witnesses and also abscond from the locality. The apprehension of the prosecution is fully justified. However, considering the period of detention that the petitioner has already undergone and the other relevant factors, I am of the view that the petitioner can be granted bail subject to strict conditions.

In the result, the petition is allowed as follows: -

- i) The petitioner is released on bail on his executing a bond for ₹50,000/- (Rupees Fifty thousand only) with two solvent sureties each for the like sum;
- ii) The petitioner shall not enter the house wherein CW1 and CW2 are residing till the conclusion of the trial;
- iii) The petitioner shall not involve in any other crime while he is on bail.

(Dictated to my Confidential Assistant, typed by her, corrected and pronounced by me in open Court, on this the 9th day of February, 2026).

Sd/
SPECIAL JUDGE/
ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-I

Copy to:-

1. The Petitioner.
2. The SHO, Kudiyanmala Police Station (Through e mail)
3. The Superintendent, District Jail, Kannur (Through e mail)