

**IN THE COURT OF SPL. JUDGE FOR THE TRIAL OF
OFFENCES AGAINST WOMEN AND CHILDREN, THALASSERY**

Present: Sri. Philip Thomas, Special Judge/Addl. Sessions Judge-I,
Thalassery

Tuesday the 10th day of February, 2026/ 21st Magha, 1947.

Crl.M.P. No.01/2026

(SC. No.388/2025, Crime No.87/2025 of Dharmadam Police Station)

Petitioner/ Accused:-

Manikandan C, S/o.Kunhiraman, aged 55 years,
Meethele Karintha Veetil, Near Asarikavu Parapram, Pinarayi.

Vs.

Respondent/Complainant:-

State: SHO, Dharmadam Police Station,
Rep. by Addl. Public Prosecutor, Thalassery.

Petition filed u/s.483 of the BNSS, 2023 for bail.

This petition coming on the 09th of day February, 2026 for hearing before me in the presence of Sri. Abhilash Mathoor, Advocate for the petitioner and the Addl. Public Prosecutor for the State and having stood over for consideration till this day; the Court passed the following:-

ORDER

This is the second application filed u/s.483 BNSS by the petitioner.

2. The petitioner stands charge sheeted for the offence punishable u/ss.126(2) and 109(1) of BNS.

3. The prosecution case is that due to the enmity that the accused had against CW2, his wife, and children, CWs17, 20 and 21, as they were living apart from him due to his mental and physical torture, on 18.02.2025 at 18.15 hours, the accused with the intention to murder of CW2, wrongfully restrained her at the road leading to Andalloor Thazhekavu, while CW2 was

returning home after her work, and inflicted grievous injuries to her by stabbing on her face, right breast and stomach and thereby inflicted serious injuries to her. Hence, the accused committed the aforesaid offences.

4. The petitioner was arrested on 19.02.2025 and since then, he is in judicial custody.

5. The counsel for the petitioner contended that the allegations made against the petitioner is absolutely baseless and he has no connection with the alleged crime. The petitioner is a heart patient and is under treatment and the Doctor advised him for a heart surgery as early as possible. It is also stated that the petitioner is ready to abide by any condition imposed by this Court. Hence, it is prayed that he may be enlarged on bail.

6. On the other hand, the learned Addl. Public Prosecutor vehemently opposed the application and submitted that if the petitioner is released, there is every chance that his wife will be murdered by the petitioner. He also argued that if the petitioner is released on bail, there is every chance for the petitioner to commit similar offences and also to abscond from the locality. It is also submitted that CW2 lodged a complaint against the petitioner before the Addl Chief Judicial Magistrate Court under the provision of the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act as CMP 768/2025. The sole provocation of the petitioner to do away with his wife was that his wife and her children are living separately from the petitioner for the past 6-7 months. The bail applications filed by the petitioner as CrL.MC Nos.414/25, 563/25 and 762/25 before the Prl. Sessions Court and CrL.MP 4543/25 before this Court and BA No.11245/25 before the Hon'ble High Court were dismissed. Hence, the Addl. Public Prosecutor pleaded to dismiss the petition.

7. Heard both sides.

8. After the dismissal of the CrL.MP 5896/25 dated 23.10.2025, the petitioner again approached the Hon'ble High Court with Bail Appln. No.14317/2025. That application was also dismissed by the Hon'ble High Court on 26.12.2025. Thereafter the petitioner again approached this Court

seeking bail. As the Hon'ble High Court has dismissed the bail application, this court is not in a position to consider the bail application again. Hence the bail application is dismissed.

In the result, the petition is dismissed.

(Dictated to my Confidential Assistant, typed by her, corrected and pronounced by me in open Court, on this the 10th day of February, 2026).

Sd/
ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-I/
SPECIAL JUDGE

Copy to:-

The Petitioner