

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS, THALASSERY**

Present: Sri. Vimal. J., Additional Sessions Judge-IV  
Thursday, the 26<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026/5<sup>th</sup> Chaithra, 1948

**CrI. M.P.No.2/2026 in SC No.123/2022**

Ashin Nath.P, S/o.Raghuthaman.N, aged 27 years, Maroli ] Petitioner/Accused No.3  
House, PO Ayithara Mambram, Kaitheri. ]

Vs

SHO, Kuthuparamba, rep. By Add. Public Prosecutor, ] Respondent/Complainant  
Thalassery ]

This application coming on the 19<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026 before me in the presence of Smt.Aswathi Raj.B.R, Advocate for petitioner/accused No.3; Smt.Reshma.A, Addl. Public Prosecutor for respondent/complainant and having stood over for consideration till this day, the court passed the following:-

**ORDER**

This is an application filed by the petitioner, who is the 3<sup>rd</sup> accused in SC No.123/2022, seeking permission for renewal of his passport.

2. The prosecution case in brief is as follows:-

On 17.11.2017 at 04:30 p.m., at Thokkilangadi, all the accused persons, in prosecution of their common intention, trespassed into the office building of the RSS bearing No. III/219A of Kuthuparamba Municipality, armed with deadly weapons like axe, sword, and country-made bomb, and destroyed the window glasses and “Tulasithara” of the courtyard. In the course of the same transaction, the accused persons hurled a bomb towards the veranda of the said building and thereby caused a loss to the tune of Rs. 23,500/-. Thus, the accused are alleged to have committed the offences punishable under Sections 427 and 448 read with Section 34 of the IPC and Sections 3 and 5 of the Explosive Substances Act.

3. According to the petitioner, he has received an employment opportunity in the UK in a cafeteria. The petitioner requires a valid Indian passport to avail the same. It is

submitted that non-issuance of a passport would result in irreparable hardship to the petitioner and his family. The petitioner undertakes to comply with any condition that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, the petitioner prays that this Court may be pleased to issue appropriate directions to the Regional Passport Office to issue a valid passport to the petitioner with a validity of 5 years.

4. Heard the counsel for the petitioner and Public Prosecutor.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor opposed the application, contending that the petitioner may abscond, which could adversely affect the prosecution. Admittedly this case is of the year 2022 and it is posted for hearing on charge. The petitioner does not have any criminal antecedents. In case the petitioner is not permitted to obtain passport, it will adversely impact his job prospects. Therefore, permission can be granted to the petitioner to apply passport for a period of three years. However, it is made clear that the petitioner cannot use the passport for the purpose of travelling abroad unless he obtains further sanction and permission of this court.

6. Therefore, this petition is allowed. Therefore, this petition is allowed and the petitioner is permitted to apply for Indian passport and the passport shall valid for a period of 3 years. It is made clear that the petitioner shall not use passport to travel abroad without obtaining further sanction from this court.

***In the result***, the petition is allowed. Hence, the Passport authority concerned can issue passport having validity for a period of 3 years. Further it is made clear that the petitioner shall produce the copy of the renewed passport before the court within one month.

*(Dictated to the Confidential Assistant, transcribed and typed by her, corrected by me and pronounced in open Court on this the 26<sup>th</sup> day of March 2026).*

sd/-

ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-IV