

:1:

**BEFORE THE DESIGNATED COURT UNDER M.P.I.D ACT
CITY CIVIL & SESSIONS COURT, MUMBAI**

**ORDER BELOW EXH.75
IN
MPID SPL. CASE NO.9 OF 2017**

Nitin Manjrekar

...Applicant/Accused no. 4.

Versus

**State of Maharashtra.
(EOW)**

...Respondent.

Coram : G. R. Agrawal
Spl. Judge, MPID Act.
(Court Room no.36)
Dated : 2.12.2019

Adv. Patil for the applicant/accused.
SPP Shri Tiwari for the respondent.

ORDER

Applicant/accused is seeking extension of time by 4 weeks to furnish surety. Ld. SPP has given say on the application and submitted for passing necessary order.

2. Heard both sides. Perused the application.

3. Perusal of record shows that time granted to applicant to furnish surety was extended from time to time. It is submitted by learned Counsel for the applicant that today applicant is furnishing surety of Kishore Raut and it will take some time to complete the procedure. Hence he prayed for extension of time to furnish surety.

:2:

4. In view of the statement made by learned Counsel for applicant, applicant can be granted time to complete the procedure for furnishing surety. Hence, following order is passed.

ORDER

1. Application is allowed.
2. Time is granted to accused no. 4 to furnish surety till next date.

Date : 2.12.2019

(G. R. Agrawal)
Special Judge, M.P.I.D. Act. &
Addl. Sessions Judge,
City Civil & Sessions Court,
Mumbai.

Dictated on : 2.12.2019
Transcribed on : 3.12.2019
Signed on : 3.12.2019

“CERTIFIED TO BE TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL SIGNED JUDGMENT /ORDER”

On 4.12.2019 at 4.00 p.m.
UPLOADED DATE AND TIME

Mrs. S. W. Tuscano
NAME OF STENOGRAPHER

Name of the Judge (with Court Room no.)	H.H.J. G. R. Agrawal, C.R. No.36
Date of Pronouncement of Judgment/Order	2.12.2019
Judgment /Order signed by P.O. on	3.12.2019
Judgment/Order uploaded on	4.12.2019