

IN THE COURT OF THE MUNSIFF, KARUNAGAPPALLY

Present: Sri. Praveen Kumar.G, BA.L, LL.B Munsiff

Friday the 19th day of February, 2021/30th day of Magham 1942.

I.A 1/2021 in OS.NO.80/2021.

Between

Petitioner/Plaintiff: Sreeletha, aged 51 years,
D/o Vanajakshi,
Nidhi Lekshmi from
Mena House, Paikkuzhi Muri,
Oachira Village, Karunagappally Taluk.

By Adv.Sri.Jayakrishnan.S

And

Counter Petitioners/ Defendants:	1. Sainudeen Kunju, aged 55 years, S/o Koyakunju, Mena Veetil Thekkathil, Paikkuzhi Muri, Oachira Village, Karunagappally Taluk. 2. Omana, aged 60 years, W/o Narayanan,R.S.Bhavanam, Paikkuzhi Muri, Oachira Village. Karunagappally Taluk. 3. Azeezkutty(Podinga), aged 75 years, S/o Koyakunju, Menaveetil Thekkathil, Paikkuzhi Muri,Oachira Village. 4. Animon, aged 38 years, S/o Azeezkutty, - do -
--	---

This petition filed under Order 39 Rule 1 and 2 of the Code of Civil Procedure for interim injunction.

Thispetition coming on for hearing before me on 19/02/2021 and on the same day the court passed the following:

ORDER

Petition filed under order XXXIX Rule 1 C.P.C

Heard and perused the records. I am satisfied that the pleadings and materials on record disclose a prima facie case. Balance of convenience is also in favour of the plaintiff and irreparable injury and loss would be caused to the plaintiff if injunction is not granted. Having gone through the nature of the relief sought for, it seems that issuance of notice would defeat the purpose of the suit. So, the defendants are restrained from increasing the width of the existing pathway or committing any mischief in the plaint schedule property until further orders. Comply Order XXXIX Rule 3 C.P.C.

Dictated to the Confidential Assistant, transcribed and typed by her, corrected and pronounced by me in open court on this the 19th day of February, 2021.

Sd/-
Praveen Kumar.G
Munsiff.

Appendix

Nil

Id/-
Munsiff.

//True Copy//

Munsiff.

Typed by : Jyothilekshmi.R.
Compd.by:

