

IN THE COURT OF THE MUNSIF, PUNALUR
PRESENT :-Smt. Reshma R.S, Civil Judge, Junior Division
Wednesday the 29th day of October 2025, 7th day of Karthika, 1947.

IA 17/2025 in OS 246/2025

Between

Petitioners/

Plaintiffs

- : 1. Mr. Sreelal K.C, aged 53, S/o. Chellappan Pillai, residing at Koppara House, Vilakkudy Muri, Vilakkudy Village, Pathanapuram Taluk.
2. Ramachandran Nair K G, aged 75, S/o.K.Gopalan Nair, residing at Sree Narayana Vilasom, Vilakkudy Muri, Vilakkudy Village, Pathanapuram Taluk.

(By Adv. K.Sureh Babu)

And

Respondents/

Defendants :

1. The State of Kerala, represented by its District Collector, Kollam District, Civil Station, Collectorate, Kollam, Kollam Taluk.
2. District Collector, Kollam District, Civil Station, Collectorate, Kollam, Kollam Taluk.
3. The Tahasildar, Pathanapuram Taluk, Taluk Office, Pathanapuram.
4. The Village Officer, Pathanapuram Village, Pathanapuram Taluk.
5. M/s Indian Oil Corporation Ltd, represented by its Chairman and Managing Director, Indian Oil Bhavan, G-9, Ali Youvar Jung Marg, Bandra East, Mumbai- 400051

6. The Divisional Manager, Indian Oil Corporation Ltd., Vallakadavu P.O, Thiruvananthapuram District.
7. K.K Sreejith, aged about 45, S/o.K.N.Krishnan Nair, Karakkattu House, Elanthoor, Kizhakkum Muri, Elanthoor Village, Konni Taluk
8. Simi Mol.B, aged about 40, W/o. K.K Sreejith Karakkattu House, Elanthoor, Kizhakkum Muri, Elanthoor Village, Konni Taluk

**(By Adv. M. Sethumohan &
Adv. Mathew George for D7 &D8)**

This petition is coming on for hearing before me on 29.10.2025 and on the same day court passed the following.

ORDER

Heard. This is an application filed by the plaintiffs to kept in abeyance the order in IA 2/2025 till the plaintiffs gets a certified copy of the order and to approach the Hon'ble appellate court by filing of an appeal within the prescribed time limit. It is to be noted that the person aggrieved by an order as every right to challenge the same before the appellate court. Here, the petition is filed to kept in abeyance the order till the filing of the appeal. It is a settled point of law that the effect of the order can be kept in abeyance till the aggrieved person gets a copy of the order. Here, the plaintiffs already filed urgent copy application and the same was already allowed. There is no purpose in kept in abeyance the order in IA 2/2025 till the filing of appeal,

as the filing of appeal is the right if the party which he may or may not exercise. If the order in IA 2/2025 is kept in abeyance for an indefinite period, that would result in injustice. Hence, I consider it proper to allow this application till the party gets the certified copy. Hence, IA is allowed. The order in IA 2/2025 is kept in abeyance till 10.11.2025. No costs.

Pronounced in open court on this, the 29th day of October, 2025.

Sd/-
Civil Judge (Junior Division)

Appendix:- Nil

Id/-
Civil Judge (Junior Division)

//True Copy//

Typed by : Sobhy.N
Compared by :

Civil Judge (Junior Division)