

ORDER BELOW EXH.1 IN BAIL APPLN. NO.915 of 2022
(Bharat @ Bhatu & Anr. Vs. State)
(CNR MHJG0400-3638-2022)

This is subsequent application filed by accused/ applicant Bharat @ Bhatu Koba Koli, under section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for anticipatory bail in connection with C.R. No.238 of 2020 registered at Dharangaon Police Station under Sections 328,188,269,270,271 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code and under Sections 65(e),(b),(c),(d) and (f) of the Bombay Prohibition Act.

2] Accused has come with this application on one or other grounds mentioned in the application that he has not committed any offence and he is not concern with the alleged crime. He has been involved in this case deliberately. He is only earning members of his family. He is ready to abide the conditions if any imposed by the court and prayed for anticipatory bail.

3] Before parting with the application, it is necessary to mention here at the cost of repetition that, the applicant has mentioned his name in the petition as Bharat @ Bhatu Koba Koli. However, as per F.I.R. his name is appearing as accused no.1 Bharat @ Bhatu **Goba** Koli and as per say of police at Exh.5, the name of the said applicant/accused is mentioned as Bharat @ Bhatta Ramesh (Goba) Koli.

4] It is interesting to note here that, the present applicant had also moved Bail Application No.679 of 2022 and in that

application, he had mentioned his name as Bharat @ Bhatu **Koba**/Ramesh Koli/**Saindane**. However, as per say of the police in that case, his name was mentioned as Bharat @ Bhatta Ramesh (Gocha) Koli. Today, applicant has filed copy of Aadhar Card of the applicant in which the name of applicant mentioned as Bhatu Ramesh **Saindane**. It appears from F.I.R. and say of the police, name of the applicant is different as mentioned by him in his bail application. Therefore, it becomes difficult to decide as to by whose name said application is to be considered and decided.

5] The name of applicant does not tally with F.I.R. say of police as well as Aadhar Card produced by him on record. In the light of much ambiguity appearing in the name of applicant, his bail application is liable to be rejected. Hence, order-

ORDER

Present application is rejected.

(D.N. Khadse)

Date : 28.11.2022.

Additional Sessions Judge, Jalgaon.