

**IN THE COURT OF THE ADDITIONAL SPECIAL SESSIONS  
JUDGE, (SPE/CBI)-III, ERNAKULAM  
(SPECIAL COURT FOR TRIAL OF CASES UNDER THE PML ACT)**

Present :-

**SRI.SABARINATHAN. P, SPECIAL JUDGE.**

Thursday the 24th day of October, 2024/2nd Karthika, 1946.

**Crl. M.P No. 667/2024**

in

**S.C. (PMLA) 01/2023**

**Petitioner /Accused No.10:** Vinod. P.V, aged 47 years,  
S/o.K. Prabhakaran, Plot No.4,  
Nikunj, A.P. Varkey Road,  
Kakkanad, Ernakulam-682030.

**Represented By** : Adv.s. M.A. Muhammed Siraj,  
and Rizlana Nazar.

**Respondent/Complainant:** Assistant Director, Directorate of  
Enforcement, Government of India,  
Cochin Zonal Office, Kanoos Castle,  
A.K. Sheshadri Road, (Mullassery  
Canal Road West), Cochin – 682 011,  
Represented by its Prosecutor.

**Represented By** : Govindu P. Renuka Devi,  
Special Public Prosecutor.

This petition is coming on for hearing on 24<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2024 in the presence of Counsel on both sides and having stood over for consideration on same day the Court passed the following:

**ORDER**

Application is one to delete/modify bail condition No. 2 ordered, as

per order in CrI. M.P. 712/2023 dated 24.07.2023.

2. Petitioner is the accused No.10 in the above case, who is alleged to have committed the offence u/s. 3 and punishable u/s.4 of PMLA. As per the bail condition No.2, it is ordered by this Court that the petitioner shall not leave the State of Kerala without prior permission of this Court. According to the petitioner, he is the Director of M/s. Unitac Energy Solutions (India) Pvt. Ltd and is having place of business all over India and as such, in connection with his business, he has to travel across India. He has earlier filed two applications as CrI. M.P. 863/2023 and 237/2024 to lift the said condition and this Court, as per order dated 04.10.2023 and 02.04 2024, has suspended the operation of condition No. 2 for a period of six months each. Now the period of suspension has expired by 02.10.2024. Hence he again prays to lift the condition No. 2.

3. Notice in this application has been given to the learned Special Public Prosecutor in charge of this case and the respondent has filed counter opposing the application.

4. Both sides were heard and perused the records.

5. As stated earlier, the condition No.2 in the bail order referred to earlier was suspended for a period of six months each, as per order in CrI. M.P. Nos.863/2023 and 237/2024. This is a complaint of the year 2023,

the appearance of the 4<sup>th</sup> accused, who is reported abroad, is awaited and the trial of the case is yet to be started after completing the appearance of the parties. I am satisfied that, pending trial of this case, permission can be granted to the petitioner to leave Kerala for a period of six months in connection with his business, as and when required and that will serve the purpose of this petition. Hence I am not inclined to delete the condition No.2 in the bail order, but the said condition is suspended for a period of six months w.e.f from today, i.e. 24.10.2024.

In the result, petition is allowed in part and the petitioner is permitted to go outside Kerala for a period of six months w.e.f from today, i.e. 24.10.2024 and as such the condition No.2 in the bail order is suspended for the said period. The petitioner shall inform the details of his travel outside Kerala to the investigating officer well in advance.

Dictated to the Confidential Assistant, typed by her in computer, corrected by me and pronounced in open Court on this the 24<sup>th</sup> day of October 2024.

**Sd/-**  
**Sabarinathan. P**  
**Special Judge, (SPE/CBI) – III**  
**Ernakulam**

**Appendix: Nil.**

**Sd/-**  
**Special Judge, (SPE/CBI) – III**

Typed by:  
Compd. by:

Order

in

Crl.M.P 667/2014

in

SC (PMLA) 01/2023

Dated 24.10.2024