

**IN THE COURT OF THE ADDITIONAL SPECIAL SESSIONS JUDGE,  
(SPE/CBI)-III, ERNAKULAM  
(Special Court for trial of the offence under the PML Act, 2002)**

Present :-

**SRI. SABARINATHAN.P, SPECIAL JUDGE.**

Saturday the 31<sup>st</sup> day of January, 2026/11<sup>th</sup> Magha, 1947

**CrI. M.P. No.04/2026**

in

**SC PMLA 04/2023**

**Petitioners/Accused No.14:** Shri. Satheeshkumar.P,  
aged 58 years,  
S/o. Shri. Kunjiraman Nambiar,  
Anjanam House.P.O, Kolazhi,  
Thrissur, Kerala. Pin-680010

**Represented By** : Adv. V.B.Sujesh Menon

**Respondent/Complainant** : Assistant Director, Directorate of  
Enforcement, Government of India,  
Cochin Zonal Office, Kanoos Castle,  
A.K. Seshadri Road, (Mullassery  
Canal Road West, Cochin -682011.  
Represented by the Special Public  
Prosecutor, Ernakulam.

**Represented By** : Sri. M.J. Santhosh,  
Special Public Prosecutor.

This petition coming on for hearing on 31<sup>st</sup> day of January, 2026 in the presence of Counsel/Spl.PP on both sides and having stood over for consideration on same day the Court passed the following:-

### **ORDER**

Application filed by the 14<sup>th</sup> accused seeking permission to go abroad.

2. According to the petitioner, he is charged by the Assistant Director, Directorate of Enforcement, Cochin for the offence punishable u/s. 4 r/w. 3 of PML Act, 2002. He was granted bail by the Hon'ble High Court of Kerala, as per order in BAIL APPL. No. 593/2025 dated 27.03.2025 and as per the third condition in the bail order, the petitioner was restrained from leaving India without permission of the Jurisdictional Court. The petitioner's younger brothers are residing in Bahrain, they are conducting business there and to attend urgent personal and family related matters, the petitioner intends to go Bahrain for two months from February to the end of March, 2026. Hence he prays permission of this Court for leaving India for the aforesaid period.

3. Notice in this application has been given to the complainant, through the Special Public Prosecutor and a formal objection has been filed on their behalf.

4. Both sides were heard and perused the available records.

5. Now the point that arises for consideration is as follows :-

Whether there is sufficient ground to allow the petitioner to go abroad?

6. **The Point:-** The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that permitting the petitioner to go abroad is very essential and the same will not affect the interest of the prosecution/complainant. Hence he prays to allow the application. The learned Prosecutor has opposed the application on the ground that the dates of the proposed travel are not furnished.

7. I have considered the submissions made by either side and I am satisfied that the petitioner can be permitted to go abroad, i.e. Bahrain for a period of two months from 01.02.2026 to 31.03.2026. Point answered accordingly.

In the result, petition is allowed and the petitioner is permitted to go Bahrain for a period of two months from 01.02.2026 to 31.03.2026.

Dictated to the Confidential Assistant, transcribed and prepared by her in computer, corrected by me and pronounced in open Court on this the 31<sup>st</sup> day of January, 2026.

Sd/  
**Sabarinathan. P**  
**Special Judge**

**Appendix:** Nil.

Sd/-  
**Special Judge**

Order  
in  
Crl, M.P 04/26  
in  
SC-PMLA 04/2023  
Dated: 31.01.2026