

**IN THE COURT OF THE ADDITIONAL SPECIAL SESSIONS JUDGE,  
(SPE/CBI)-III, ERNAKULAM  
(Special Court for trial of the offence under the PML Act, 2002)**

Present :-  
**SRI.SABARINATHAN.P, SPECIAL JUDGE.**

Friday the 20<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2024/29<sup>th</sup> Bhadra, 1946.

**Crl. M.P. No. 574/2024**

in

**SC PMLA 04/2023**

**Petitioner/Accused No. 9** : Bijoy.A.K, aged 50 years,  
S/o. A.K. Kumaran, Anandathuparambil  
House, Korimbisseri, Irinjalakuda,  
Thrissur-680 121.

**Represented By** : Adv. Ipious Joseph.A.

**Respondent/Complainant** : Assistant Director, Directorate of  
Enforcement, Government of India,  
Cochin Zonal Office, Kanoos Castle,  
A.K. Seshadri Road, (Mullassery  
Canal Road West, Cochin -682011.

**Represented By** : Sri.M.J. Santhosh  
Spl. Public Prosecutor,  
Directorate of Enforcement.

This petition coming on for hearing on 18<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2024 in the presence of Counsels on both sides and having stood over for consideration till day the Court passed the following:-

**ORDER**

Application filed by accused No.1 for permission to leave State of Kerala for a period of sixty days.

2. The petitioner is accused No.1 in the above said case registered u/s. 3 r/w. 4 of PML Act. He was granted bail by this Court on 12.01.2024 and as per condition No. 2, it was ordered that the petitioner shall not leave the State of Kerala without prior permission of this Court. According to the petitioner, he engaged his lawyer Sri. K. Girishkumar and Company, who are practicing in Supreme Court, New Delhi, he intends to prefer an appeal against the order of adjudicating authority under the PML Act, for that purpose, he has to consult his lawyers at New Delhi and besides that in connection with his business activities, he has to travel outside Kerala. Hence, he prays to allow him to travel beyond Kerala for a period of sixty days for the said purposes.

3. Notice in this application has been given to the Special Public Prosecutor in charge of this case and the respondent has filed objection. Both sides were heard and perused the records.

4. The point for consideration is, whether there is sufficient ground to allow the petitioner to go outside Kerala?

5. **The Point:-** It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner may be permitted to go outside Kerala for a period of sixty days and granting permission to the petitioner will not in any way affect

the interest of the prosecution as this is a case of 2023 and trial is yet to be started. The learned Special Prosecutor raises formal objection in allowing this application. I am satisfied that permission can be granted to the petitioner to go outside Kerala as prayed for by him for a period of sixty days and that will not affect the interest of the prosecution. Hence this application is only to be allowed.

In the result, petition is allowed and permission is granted to the petitioner to go outside Kerala for a period of sixty days w.e.f today i.e. 19.09.2024.

Dictated to the Confidential Assistant, transcribed and typed by her, corrected by me and pronounced in open Court on this the 19<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2024.

**Sabarinathan. P**  
**Special Judge, (SPE/CBI) – III**  
**Ernakulam**

**Appendix: Nil.**

**Special Judge, (SPE/CBI) – III**

Typed by:-

Compd. by:-

Order in CrI. M.P 575/24  
in SC PMLA 4/2023  
Dated 19.09.2024