

**IN THE COURT OF THE ADDITIONAL SPECIAL SESSIONS JUDGE,
(SPE/CBI)-III, ERNAKULAM**

Present :-

SRI.SABARINATHAN.P, SPECIAL JUDGE.

Tuesday the 07th day of January, 2025/17th Pousha, 1946.

Crl. M.P. No. 32/2025

in

CC 1/2024

Petitioner/Accused No. 1:

M. Vikram Reddy, aged 51 years,
S/o. Raja Mohan Reddy, Residing at
Plot No 188, Jubilee Hills, Road No. 13,
Hyderabad, Now residing at Plot No L2,
Epsilon, Ventures, Yemalur Main Road,
Bangalore North, Bangalore, Karnataka-
560037.

Represented by

: Adv.s.M.A. Muhammed Siraj
and Rizlana Nazar.

Respondent/Complainant:-

Central Bureau of Investigation,
represented by its prosecutor,
CBI-III Court, Ernakulam.

Represented by:

Sri. M. Navas, Senior Public Prosecutor.

This petition coming on for hearing on 07th day of January, 2025 in the presence of Counsels on both sides having stood over for consideration on the same day the Court passed the following:-

ORDER

Application filed by the 1st accused seeking permission to go abroad.

2. According to the petitioner, he is charged by the CBI for the offence punishable u/s. 120B r/w. 420, 406 of IPC and sec.13(2) r/w. 13(1)(d) of PC

Act. He was granted bail by this Court and as per the condition in the bail order, the petitioner was restrained from leaving India without permission of the Court. Earlier, as per order in Crl. M.P. 482/2024, the petitioner was permitted to Bangkok and Thailand for a period from 26.07.2024 to 28.07.2024. Thereafter, he was permitted to go Portugal for a period from 08.08.2024 to 14.08.2024 and to go Paris for a period from 19.08.2024 to 23.08.2024 as per order in Crl. M.P. 514/2024 dated 29.07.2024. Again, the petitioner was permitted to Bangkok, Baku and Dubai for a period from 29.09.2024 to 07.10.2024, as per order in Crl. M.P. 596/2024 dated 18.09.2024. Now in connection with his business, he intends to go Dubai, UAE for a period of four days from 12.01.2025 to 15.01.2025. Hence he prays permission of this Court for leaving India for the aforesaid period.

3. Notice in this application has been given to the CBI, through the Senior Public Prosecutor and no objection has been filed on their behalf.

4. Both sides were heard and perused the available records.

5. Now the point that arises for consideration is as follows :-

Whether there is sufficient ground to allow the petitioner to go abroad?

6. **The Point**:- The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that permitting the petitioner to go abroad is very essential and the same will not affect the interest of the prosecution. Hence he prays to allow the application. The learned Prosecutor has not opposed the application.

7. I have considered the submissions made by either side and I am satisfied that the petitioner can be permitted to go abroad, i.e. Dubai, UAE for a period of four days from 12.01.2025 to 15.01.2025. Point answered accordingly.

In the result, petition is allowed and the petitioner is permitted to go Dubai, UAE for a period of four days from 12.01.2025 to 15.01.2025.

Dictated to the Confidential Assistant, transcribed and prepared by her in computer, corrected by me and pronounced in open Court on this the 7th day of January, 2025.

Sd/-
Sabarinathan.P
Special Judge, (SPE/CBI) – III
Ernakulam

APPENDIX :- Nil.

Sd/-
Special Judge, (SPE/CBI)-III.

Order
in
CrI, M.P 32/25
in
CC No. 1/2024
Dated 07.01.2025