

**IN THE COURT OF THE CIVIL JUDGE (SENIOR DIVISION),
MUVATTUPUZHA**

Present:- Sri. Atheek Rahman, Civil Judge (Senior Division)

Monday, the 7th day of July, 2025 / 16th Ashadha 1947

I.A.No.11/2025 in O.S. No.62/2022

Petitioners/Plaintiffs:-

- 1 P.K. Aniyam (Aniyam Kunju), aged 65 years,
S/o Kunju, Puthenpurayil house, Kothamangalam Kara,
Kothamangalam Village, Kothamangalam Taluk,
represented by Power of Attorney Holder Akhil Thoppil,
aged 38, S/o Sisupalan, residing at Thoppil house,
Pindimana Kara, Pindimana Village,
Kothamangalam Taluk.
- 2 Padmini T.S., aged 69 years,
W/o P.K. Aniyam (Aniyam Kunju), Puthenpurayil house,
Kothamangalam Kara, Kothamangalam Village,
Kothamangalam Taluk, represented by
Power of Attorney Holder Akhil Thoppil,
aged 38, S/o Sisupalan, residing at Thoppil house,
Pindimana Kara, Pindimana Village,
Kothamangalam Taluk.

By Advs. Gigi Peter & Revathy Mani.

Respondent/ Defendant:-

Asha Lilly Thomas, aged 51,
W/o Shibu Babu, Pallath Galexy Green,
Kakkanadu, (D/o Thomas Attlelil, Kothamangalam)
now at Pallath house, Kothamangalam Kara,
Kothamangalam Village, Kothamangalam Taluk.

*By Advs. N.N. Elayath, Benny Varghese,
Rithamma Mathew & Ajsal Meeras*

This petition is filed under Order 11 Rule 14 and Section 151 of Civil Procedure Code 1908 to produce the original documents mentioned in the petition and is coming on for hearing on 07.07.2025 in the presence of the above counsel and the Court on the same day passed the following:-

ORDER

This petition is filed by the power of attorney holder of the plaintiff in both suits under Order 11 Rule 14 and Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure to direct the respondent/defendant to produce the original documents mentioned in the petition.

2. The respondent filed objection stating that the petition is not maintainable either in law or on facts. The power of attorney was given to the petitioner only in the year 2022. The original rent agreements

are with the plaintiffs. Since, it was not registered, they purposefully avoided to produce the same before the Court. If the original is produced, it is to be impounded. The respondent specifically denied that the original rent deed dated 07.08.2017 and the original letter dated 06.08.2021 are in the custody of the respondent. The petitioners produced a photocopy of forged document. It was not signed by the respondent. Hence, the respondent prayed to dismiss the petition.

3. After hearing both sides, the respondent filed affidavit reiterating the fact that the original documents are not with her.

Therefore, based on the affidavit filed by the respondent, the IA is closed.

Pronounced by me in the Open Court, this the 7th day of July 2025.

Sd/-

ATHEEK RAHMAN
CIVIL JUDGE(SENIOR DIVISION)

APPENDIX :- Nil

Ss/-

Bmm/-

Sd/-

CIVIL JUDGE(SENIOR DIVISION)

Order
in IA 11/2025
in O.S.62/2022
Dated: 07.07.2025