

IN THE COURT OF THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE OF FIRST CLASS-I,
PERUMBAVOOR

Present:Sri.Anish Babu M.B, Judicial Magistrate of First Class-I

Monday the 20th day of April, 2026/ 30th Chaithra 1948

CMP No.02/2026 IN CC No. 578/2018

Petitioner/Accused No.1 : Asharaf , Aged 50, S/o Assainar,
Chirakkakudy House, Allapra P.O,
Kunnathunad Taluk, Ernakulam District.
(By Adv. Njama.T.Rasheed)

Respondents : 1. State represented by the Sub Inspector of
Police, Perumbavoor P.S (Cr.No.147/13)

2. Regional Passport Officer, Regional Passport
Office, Aluva,
(By Nazeer S.M, APP, JFCM-I, Perumbavoor)

ORDER

This petition is filed by the petitioner/accused No.1 for getting permission from the court for renewing his passport.

2. The case of the petitioner/accused No.1 is that he is the accused No.1 in Perumbavoor Police Station Crime No. 147/13 in CC.578/18 alleging commission of offences punishable under Sections 143,145,147, 148,332,283,151,152,153(A) r/w 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 & s. 3(i) of PDPP Act. The petitioner/accused no.1 is intending to visit abroad for his business purpose. In this circumstances the petitioner/accused No. 1 is now in need of permission from this Court to renew his passport.

3. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the Assistant Public Prosecutor. The Learned Assistant Public Prosecutor filed a report raising an objection since there is every chance to cause hindrance in the trial, and chance to go abroad, if passport is renewed as sought in this petition. Hence they pray to dismiss the petition.

4. It is well settled that an accused is presumed innocent unless and until he is found guilty. It can be seen that the courts have consistently held that the pendency of a criminal proceeding is not a bar for obtaining a passport or for travelling abroad. The Government of India has issued a Notification No. G.S.R.570 (E) dtd. 25.08.1993, under S.22 of the Passport Act. **The Hon'ble High Court of Kerala has also considered the said notification in its decision in Asok Kumar v. State of Kerala, reported as 2009 (2) KLT 712** and granted permission to the accused to travel abroad. **The Hon'ble High Court of Kerala in Mohamad Shafi v. Regional Passport Officer, reported as 2017 (2) KHC 484** had held that criminal court is vested with ample power to issue directions for providing passport for a specific period and the magistrate can fix the period for travelling abroad or even issue directions to issue the passport for a specified period in accordance with the facts and circumstances of each and every case.

5. In the case on hand, the petitioner is the accused No.1 in Perumbavoor Police Station Crime No. 147/13 alleging commission of offences punishable under

Sections 143,145,147, 148,332,283,151,152,153(A) r/w 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 & s. 3(i) of PDPP Act. According to the petitioner, he is totally innocent in the matter. Recently, **the Hon'ble High Court of Kerala in Akhilesh v. State of Kerala and Ors., reported as 2021 (2) KHC 752**, has held that the court where the case is presently pending has to decide whether the applicant is entitled to get a passport as well as the period for which he is entitled to get a passport and the court has to keep in mind the fact that pendency of a criminal case shall not stand in the way or cause hindrance to decide the future of the applicant. The petitioner/accused No.1 herein is now in need of permission from this Court for renewing his passport to visit abroad for business purpose. Considering the facts narrated, the petition is allowed. Intimate the Regional Passport Officer, Aluva that pendency of this case is not a bar to renew the passport of the petitioner/accused No.1 for a period of 2 years. It is made clear that the petitioner/accused No.1 shall not leave the country without obtaining express permission from the court.

(Pronounced by me in open court on the 20th day of April, 2026.

sd/-

Judicial First Class Magistrate-I,

Perumbavoor