

Witness recalled and duly sworn on 22/09/2015.

Further Cross Examination by Sri B.R. Chopra Advocate for Accused:

I have been staying at Bengaluru since 2008. It is not correct to suggest that our family had financial problem during the period of education of me and my sister Ruchi. It is correct to suggest that my sister Ruchi had raised loan of Rs.3,00,000/- before her marriage. It is not correct to suggest that the entire loan was discharged by my sister Ruchi. Witness volunteers that father also discharge part of the above loan. It is correct to suggest that above loan was raised by my sister for her marriage. Discharge of said loan was made subsequent to marriage of my sister. It is correct to suggest that the above loan was raised as our family was not financially sound to meet the marriage expenses.

It is true that my sister Ruchi had her account in face book website. It is true that I am one of the friend in the account of face book. It is correct to suggest that my sister was uploading her photo, photo of daughter and her husband to her account of face book. My sister had friends in her face

book account. It is correct to suggest that photographs of my sister and her family members were commented from her friends in her face book accounts. It is correct to suggest that the photographs, which uploaded by my sister to her account of face book were commented by my sister in a positive manner.

It is correct to suggest that my sister Ruchi did MBA at Chennai. I am not sure whether college, in which my sister took MBA Degree was a private or government. I have no idea on the quantum of amount incurred to get MBA degree by my sister. I have not aware who incurred expenses of my sister to get MBA degree. I don't know entire expenses incurred to get MBA degree by my sister was paid by accused. It is correct to suggest that my sister did MBA after her marriage.

It is correct to suggest that my sister was planning to go to USA in the month of March 2012 to meet accused. I don't know entire expenses for the journey of my sister to USA was arranged by accused. It is correct to suggest that my sister did not go to USA due to the reason that she sustained injury to her eye in the month of March 2012. It is false to suggest

that the eye injury sustained by my sister was resulted due to hit by my father. I don't know Patel Nagar police visited our parents house on the report of brother of accused regarding injury caused by my father to my sister Ruchi at her eye. My parents provided treatment to the eye injury sustained by my sister. It is not correct to suggest that the entire treatment to the eye injury sustained by my sister was provided by family of accused. It is correct to suggest that my sister visited USA after she recovered from the eye injury.

My mother had accompanied me in the year 2008 when I traveled to Bengaluru for job. She stayed about 4-5 days or a week with me at Bengaluru. I am not remember that my sister Ruchi had been in the house of my maternal uncle during the period in which my mother accompanied my to Bengaluru. It is false to suggest that my father often used to beat my sister, for which she had been to our maternal uncle house. It is correct to suggest that my father was sent to Chethana rehabilitation centre at two occasion between the period 2008 and 2012. Witness volunteers that he was sent due to depression. First time my father had been in above

rehabilitation centre for about 3 months and second time at about 8-10 months. It is correct to suggest that my father was sent to rehabilitation centre to 2nd time after the marriage of my sister Ruchi. It is in correct to suggest that my sister Ruchi got sent my father to rehabilitation centre at 2nd time. I don't know Chethan Rehabilitation Centre is exclusively meant to admit addicts who addicted to Alcohol and drugs. I never visited Chethan Rehabilitation Centre. My sister Ruchi was earning around 20-30 thousand per month at the time of her marriage. I do not know the quantum of earning of accused at the time of marriage and subsequent to the marriage. I don't know accused was earning double of the earning of my sister. It is not correct to suggest that accused and my sister were leading happy life has both at them were sufficiently earning.

I don't know whether accused had aware that my father was pulling Auto Rickshaw at the time of negotiation of marriage. My father was riding Auto Rickshaw before marriage of my sister Ruchi. It is correct to suggest that 2nd floor of our house was constructed after the marriage of my sister Ruchi. It is correct to suggest that the 2nd floor was rented house to

raise income to our family. It is in correct to suggest that my father and mother used to stay with accused and my sister Ruchi. I married in the year 2014. It is in correct to suggest that the entire marriage expenses was mobilized by me. It is false to suggest that the entire expenses of my marriage was to an extent of one and half lack. Witness volunteers that her parents contributed about 2,00,000/- and she contributed about 3,00,000/-. I don't know entire marriage expenses of my sister was fetched Rs.3,00,000/-. No complaint was lodged on the conducts of accused including alleged demand by any members of our family with police. It is correct to suggest that since 2008 till 2012 most of the time I remained at Bengaluru. It is correct to suggest that the marriage ceremony and reception ceremony of my sister were taken place at Gurgaon. It is in correct to suggest that both these functions were managed by family of accused. It is correct to suggest that my mother and sister often talk through telephone. They used to talk once in a week or twice in a week or thrice in a week. It is false to suggest that no incident between accused and my sister had taken place in my presence and I have falsely

deposed before court. I don't know the exact date and month on the incident which deposed by me in examination in chief regarding assault made by accused against my sister. It is not correct to suggest that no incident what so ever in the manner deposed by me before court had occurred my presence. Accused was in USA about a year. It is not correct to suggest that the accused was in USA since January 2012 till September 2012. Witness volunteers that the accused returned to India either in May or June in the year 2012.

I don't remember the date on which the 1st time I came to know that my sister decided to take divorce from her husband. My sister had not taken any steps to take divorce from accused. It is false to suggest that my sister had never thought taking divorce from husband as they were cordial. My sister had resided at Bengaluru since May 2012 till her last breath. I used to meet my sister at Bengaluru once in a week or twice in a week. It is correct to suggest that my sister was staying with accused at white field of Bengaluru City. I received 10-12 times phone calls from my sister during the period of transit from Bengaluru to Delhi along with my

parents. I know the details of bank account of my sister. I cannot give the details of said bank account to day. It is correct to suggest that bank holder alone can change or modify regarding name or nominee of beneficiaries in the bank account. My sister was alerted through mobile message on the alteration of nominee in her bank account. She told the same to me through telephone. My sister did not forward the mobile alert message regarding alteration of nominee in her bank account to my account. I did not contact bank of my sister after receipt of information on alteration of nominee.

It is correct to suggest that my sister had made new separate accommodation despite of the fact she had accommodation at white field after she came back from Delhi. I don't know the previous accommodation which located at white field kept locked when my sister started staying in new accommodation. It is correct to suggest that rented accommodation was taken at Bengaluru by the accused as himself and his wife for working at Bengaluru. In the month of September 2012 accused started to stay at Delhi after she was shifted from Bengaluru. It is in correct to suggest that since

accused had been transferred from Bengaluru to delhi by his employer, by he had forced to stay at Delhi. It is correct to suggest that I used to contact accused through telephone when accused stayed at Delhi after transfer from Bengaluru. I don't remember address of new accommodation made by my sister was given to accused by me.

My parents, myself, my sister Ruchi, accused and his brother attended the cake cutting ceremony of birth day of daughter of accused on 31.10.2012 . No others attended the said ceremony. I again visited the house of my sister once during the stay of accused subsequent of 31.10.2012. I cannot say the exact date on which I visited the house of my sister. I did not receive any complaint from my sister on the conduct of accused during his stay for 2 or 3 days subsequent to 31.10.2012. It is correct to suggest that accused alone stayed along with my sister and my parents during those days. I am not remember whether accused had contacted through telephone during those 2 or 3 days. It is correct to suggest that there was no talk of divorce in my presence between accused and my sister during those days.

Further cross examination is deferred to afternoon session for want of court time.

(Typed to my dictation in open Court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

(K.R.NAGARAJA)
C/C LXIX Addl. City Civil &
Sessions Judge, Bangalore City.

Witness recalled and duly sworn on 22/09/2015.

Further Cross Examination by Sri B.R. Chopra Advocate for Accused:

One day before the date of marriage anniversary of my sister accused requested me to book bouquet which was to be delivered to my sister on 09.11.2012 on behalf of accused. It is correct to suggest that myself and accused were telephonically contacted in between 31.10.2012 and 12.11.2012. I was not known regarding accused will be coming on 12.11.2012. It is in correct to suggest that on 12.11.2012 there was Diwali. Diwali was on 13.11.2012. On 12.11.2012 at 2.00pm I came to know that accused had reached Bengaluru on that day. I don't know the name of house in which I had stayed. I don't know the said house

number. I had stayed in the said house for an hour. The house in which I had stayed is at the ground floor of the same building, in which my sister had stayed. At 2.05pm my mother and father came to the house, in which I had stayed. My father and mother stayed with me in the above house till arrival of police. My father had gone along with police to the house of my sister. It is correct to suggest that my parents remained with me till arrival of police. At about 2.30pm my father had gone along with police. Both Ambulance and police came simultaneously. At about 3.30 or 4.00pm my sister was shifted to hospital. Neither myself nor my parents accompanied my sister to the hospital as she had already died. My niece was in the house of my sister at that time when I reached the place near crime scene. During my stay in the above house, I witnessed gathering of general public on the street near the said house. Till shifting of corpus my sister to the ambulance from her house, I had been in the ground floor. Witness again says that one she had gone near the door of the house and found blood and came back. Around 2.05pm I informed police and ambulance. It is true to suggest that corpus of our sister

was covered with some cloth at that time it was shifted to ambulance from her house. It is correct to suggest that I did not meet my sister on 12.11.2012. It is true to suggest that the corpus of my sister was not visible as it was properly covered. It is correct to suggest that I saw the face of my sister after autopsy held on 13.11.2012. Around 2.30 or 3.00pm I saw the face of corpus of my sister. It is correct to suggest that I cannot say which cloth worn by my sister on 12.11.2012. It is correct to suggest that on 11.08.2014 cloths of my sister first shown to me. Witness volunteers that the cloths, which shown to me on 11.08.2014 were worn by my sister and she does not know whether said cloths worn on 12.11.2012.

On 12.11.2012 I did not go to police station. On 13.11.2012 I went to police station. At about 3.30pm on 13.11.2012, I written complaint in the house of ground floor where I had stayed. In the ground floor of the building in which my sister was stayed there was a parking lot and a house. I have written complaint by sitting on the steps located at the ground floor. At the time of writing complaint there were

no neighbors around me. I have signed one document on 13.11.2012 at police station and on another document on the next day that may be on 14.11.2012. I was not conversant with Kannada language either to write or read as on 13.11.2012 and 14.11.2012. It is correct to suggest that on the request of investigation officer I signed two documents as they pertaining to this case. I have seen accused on 12.11.2012, when he was taken out by the police from the house. I did not notice the bleeding on the wrist of the accused on that day. On 13.11.2012 I saw the accused in the police lockup at police station. I don't know accused was taken to Yashumathi hospital for his treatment on 12.11.2012. I am not aware accused had taken treatment at Yashumathi hospital. On 12.11.2012 around 8-10 media persons also came near the place of incident. Up to 5.30 on 12.11.2012 we were in the ground floor and attending the queries of police. We stayed in a hotel situated in the area of Marathahalli on the night of 12.11.2012. On 13.11.2012 autopsy was conducted on the corpus of my sister. At the time of autopsy we were the out side the mortuary of Bowring hospital.

It is correct to suggest that M.O.5 is a prohibited article to carry in the air craft and its comes under the restricted article. It is in correct to suggest that M.O.5 is a kitchen knife. It is true that M.O.5 is available in open market. It is false to suggest that the love stared between accused and my sister continued till breath of her last and no incident whatsoever had occurred, which deposed by me before court. It is false to suggest that I am deposing false facts against accused due to death of my sister. It is correct to suggest that family of accused is financially sound.

Re-Examination: Nil

(Typed to my dictation in open Court)

R.O.I. & A.C.

(K.R.NAGARAJA)
C/C LXIX Addl. City Civil &
Sessions Judge, Bangalore City.

