

IN THE SPECIAL COURT FOR THE TRIAL OF NIA CASES, ERNAKULAM

Present: Sri. P.K. Mohandas, Judge for NIA Cases

Thursday the 15th day of January, 2026 / 25th Pousha, 1947.

Crl.M.P. No. 511/2025

In

SC No. 02/2023

Petitioner /Accused No. 22

Muhammed Bilal @Bilal., S/o Hakkeem,
: Thozhithtinkunnu House, Thachumpura
P.O, Palakkad District.

By Adv. Sri. Wakarulislam K.S.

Complainant/Respondent

: Union of India represented by National
Investigation Agency, Kochi.

**By Sri. Ajith Kumar.S
(Special Public Prosecutor, NIA) and
Sri. Sreenath.S (Public Prosecutor,
NIA).**

This petition is came up for hearing before me on 15.01.2026 in the presence of the counsel for the petitioner and respondent and Court on the same day passed the following:

ORDER

This petition is filed seeking modification of the bail condition to permit the petitioner to leave Ernakulam district.

2. The petitioner is the 22nd accused in this case. The petitioner was granted bail by the Hon'ble High Court as per order dated 19.08.2025 in Crl.A. No.1248/2025 and this court, released him as per order dated 19.08.2025 in

Crl.M.P. No.378/25, imposing certain conditions. As per the conditions, the petitioner shall not leave the Ernakulam district without the permission of the trial court.

3. According to the petitioner, his brother who is the 17th accused in the case currently in judicial custody at Central Prison, Kannur has applied for escort parole which is pending before this court. The petitioner submits that, in the event escort parole is granted to his brother, he intends to visit his house to meet his brother and other family members. He has also filed a memo seeking permission to attend the housewarming ceremony of his cousin scheduled on 29.01.2026 at Changarathu House, Pallikkunnu, Mannarkkad, Palakkad. Hence he seeks permission to leave Ernakulam district from 27.01.2026 to 30.01.2026.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor filed an objection contending that the petitioner is a member of the assailant team and has committed the terrorist act of murdering Sreenivasan on 16.04.2022. It is submitted that the petitioner and his brother were in judicial custody at Central Prison, Kannur, until the petitioner was released on bail on 19.08.2025. Therefore the reason stated in the present petition is not valid. It is further submitted that this court had previously granted permission to the petitioner to travel to Palakkad for personal purpose as well as for appearing before the Sessions court, Palakkad.

5. I have heard the counsel for the petitioner and the Public Prosecutor.

6. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner wants to meet his brother as well as attending housewarming ceremony. He is ready to abide by any condition that may be imposed by this court.

7. The main purpose for which the petitioner wants to go to Palakkad is to visit his brother, who is detained in Central Prison, Kannur and has applied for escort parole. This court, has dismissed the petition for escort parole filed by the brother of the petitioner by a separate order. This court has already granted permission to visit Palakkad to appear before the Court and other purposes. Stringent bail conditions were imposed considering the gravity of the offence. In view of the serious nature of the offence alleged against the petitioner and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I am of the view that no order as prayed for in the petition can be given at this stage. So, the petition is only to be dismissed.

In the result, the petition is dismissed.

Dictated to the Confidential Asst., transcribed and typewritten by her, corrected and pronounced by me in open court on this the 15th day of January, 2026.

Sd/-
P. K. Mohandas
Judge, Special Court for NIA Cases

Appendix: Nil

Id/-
Judge, Special Court for NIA Cases
(By Order)

//True Copy//

Sd/-
Sheristadar.