

IN THE SPECIAL COURT FOR THE TRIAL OF NIA CASES, ERNAKULAM

Present: Sri. P.K. Mohandas, Judge for NIA Cases

Monday the 1st day of December, 2025 / 10th Agrahayana 1947.

Crl.M.P. No.481/2025

In

SC No. 02/2023

Petitioner/Accused No. 23 : Ansar K.P (A23), S/o. Ummer,
Kundulpeedikayil House, Thrithala, Palakkad
- 699 303.

By Adv. Wakharulislam K.S

Complainant/Respondent : Union of India represented by National
Investigation Agency, Kochi.

By Sri. Ajith Kumar.S
(Special Public Prosecutor, NIA) and
Sri. Sreenath.S (Public Prosecutor, NIA).

This petition is came up for hearing before me on 01.12.2025 in the presence of the counsel for the petitioner and respondent and Court on the same day passed the following:

ORDER

This petition is filed seeking modification of the bail condition to permit the petitioner to leave the Ernakulam district.

2. The petitioner is the 23rd accused in this case. The petitioner was granted bail by the Hon'ble High Court as per its order in Crl.A. No.1253/2025. As per the order in Crl.M.P. No.378/2025 this court released him on bail on imposing certain conditions. As per the conditions, if the petitioner intends to

leave the revenue district of Ernakulam, he shall obtain prior permission of the this court.

3. According to the petitioner, he has to cast his vote in the three-tier Panchayath elections scheduled for 11.12.2025, at the 10th ward, 114th booth in Thottappaya, Thrithala Panchayath, Palakkad. As per the order in Crl.M.P. 470/25, the petitioner was already permitted to attend his cousin's wedding at Thrissur on 06.12.2025 and 07.12.2025, and since his house at Pattambi is only about 13 km from the wedding venue, he seeks permission to reside at his house during those dates and remain there until 11.12.2025 to cast his vote

4. The Public Prosecutor opposed the application stating that the petitioner is the President of the PFI Pattambi Area and also a member of the assailant team involved in the terrorist act of murder of Sreenivasan. The further extension of permitted period and allowing him to move freely may cause threatening of witnesses, tampering with evidence and his presence cannot be secured during trial. If the petitioner is permitted to visit and stay at Palakkad, where the crime was committed, there may be possibility of law and order issues.

5. I have heard the counsel for the petitioner and the Public Prosecutor.

6. The petitioner is already given permission to leave Ernakulam district to attend the marriage of his cousin. Now, he wants to reside at his house at Pattambi on 06.12.2025 and 07.12.2025, during the period on which he was permitted to attend the marriage. He also wants to remain there until

11.12.2025 to cast his vote. Counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any condition that may be imposed by the court. On hearing the counsel for the petitioner and the learned Public Prosecutor and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I am of the view that some relaxation can be given to the petitioner and the petition can be allowed in part and the condition can be modified as follows:

1. The petitioner is permitted to stay at his house at Thrithala on 06.12.2025 and 07.12.22025, during the period in which he is permitted to leave Ernakulam district to attend his cousin's wedding.
2. He is also permitted to leave Ernakulam district on 11.12.2025 to go to Thrithala to caste his vote in the three tier Panchayat election.
3. All other conditions in the previous order shall stand unaltered.

Dictated to the Confidential Asst., transcribed and typewritten by her, corrected and pronounced by me in open court on this the 01st day of December, 2025.

Appendix: Nil

Sd/-
P. K. Mohandas
Judge, Special Court for NIA Cases

Id/-
Judge, Special Court for NIA Cases
(By Order)

//True Copy//

Sd/-
Sheristadar.