

**IN THE SPECIAL COURT FOR THE TRIAL OF PMLA CASES/
(SPECIAL COURT (SPE/CBI)-I), ERNAKULAM.
Present: Sri.Anil.K. Bhaskar, Judge for PMLA Cases
Saturday the 3rd day of December, 2022/12th Agrahayana 1944.**

Crl. M.P. No.59/2022

in

SC No.533/2018

**Petitioners/
Accused Nos. 31, 32 and 33**

- : 1. **M/s. Martin Builders Private Limited**, No.54, Mettupalayam Road, G.N Mill Post,Coimbatore – 641 029 represented by its Director Sri. M. Sivaprakash (**A31**)
2. **M/s. Charles Modular Homes Private Limited**, No.54, Mettupalayam Road, G.N Mill Post,Coimbatore – 641 029 represented by its Director Sri. M. Sivaprakash (**A32**)
3. **M/s. Martin Realty Private Limited**, No.54, Mettupalayam Road, G.N Mill Post,Coimbatore – 641 029 represented by its Director Sri. G. Natarajan (**A33**)

A31 to A33 by Adv. M/s. Mathews K. Uthuppachan and Abhishek Singh

Respondent/Complainant

: Directorate of Enforcement.

**Represented by Govindu.P. Renuka Devi,
Special Public Prosecutor, PMLA for
Directorate of Enforcement.**

This Criminal MP coming on for hearing before me on 03.12.2022 and the Court on the same day passed the following:

ORDER

1. This application is filed on behalf of Accused No. 31 to 33 Private Limited companies seeking permission to appear in this case through the

representatives appointed by its Board of Directors.

2. Notice served to the learned public prosecutor.

3. Heard both sides.

4. The certified true copy of the resolution of the Board of directors of A31 to A33 companies are attached along with this application. A31 and A32 companies have nominated its director Mr. M.Sivaprakash as the authorised person to represent the companies in this case. A33 company has nominated its director Mr. G.Nadaraj as the authorised person to represent the company in this case. In the complaint filed by the Enforcement Directorate, A1 Martin is shown as the representative of these companies.

5. Sec.305(2) CrPC empowers a company to choose its own representative to defend the case on behalf of the company.

6. Learned prosecutor doesn't oppose the application. The above application is filed in accordance with the resolution passed by the Board of directors of the respective companies. The certified copy of the resolution produced, will prove this fact. Therefore the petition is to be allowed.

In the result, petition allowed as follows:-

- a) Mr. M. Sivaprakash the representative appointed by A31 company is permitted to appear and defend the case on behalf of A31 company.
- b) Mr. M. Sivaprakash the representative appointed by A32 company is permitted to appear and defend the case on behalf of A32 company.
- c) Mr. G. Natarajan the representative appointed by A33 company is

permitted to appear and defend the case on behalf of A33 company.

d) All the appointed representatives shall file vakkalath before this court.

Dictated to the Confidential Asst., typewritten by her directly to computer system, corrected and pronounced by me in open court on this the 03rd day of December, 2022.

Sd/-

Anil K Bhaskar
Judge, Spl. Court for PMLA Cases

Appendix:Nil

Id/-

Judge, Spl. Court for PMLA Cases
(By Order)

//True copy//

Sd/-

Sheristadar