

**IN THE SPECIAL COURT FOR THE TRIAL OF PMLA CASES/
SPECIAL COURT (SPE/CBI)-I/NIA, ERNAKULAM.**

Present:-

Sri. P.K. Mohandas, Judge, Special court for PMLA Cases
Monday the 13th day of May, 2024 / 23rd Vysakha, 1946.

Crl.M.P. No.144/2024

In

SC No.533/2018

Petitioner/ Accused No.18

J. Geetha (A18), Aged about 48 years, W/o.
N. Jayamurugan, Old No. 47, New No. 99,
Canal Bank Road, CIT Nagar, Nandanam,
Chennai – 600 035.

Represented by

Adv. Sri. John Prakash Bavakkat.

Respondent/Complainant:

: Deputy Director, Directorate of
Enforcement, Cochin Zonal Office, Kanoos
Castle, A.K. Seshadri Road, Mullassery
Canal Road West, Cochin – 682 011.

**Represented by Smt. Govindu. P. Renuka
Devi, Special Public Prosecutor (PMLA),
Directorate of Enforcement.**

This Criminal M.P coming on for hearing before me on 13.05.2024 and
the Court on the same day passed the following:

ORDER

This is an application filed by the 18th accused, Smt. J. Geetha, seeking
permission to travel abroad.

2. The petitioner's case is that she is the 18th accused in the case. As

per the conditions of the bail in Crl.M.P. 34/2022 dated 01.10.2022, she was directed to surrender her passport and not to leave India without the permission of this court. Later, as per the order in Crl.M.P. 9/2024 dated 27.02.2024, this court modified the bail condition by directing the petitioner to obtain prior sanction from this court before leaving the country.

3. The petitioner intends to travel to Zurich via Dubai on 14.05.2024 and return to Chennai on 21.05.2024 for business purposes. Copy of the travel itinerary is produced with the petition. The petitioner seeks permission to travel abroad from 14.05.2024 to 21.05.2024.

4. I have heard the counsel for the petitioner and the Public Prosecutor.

5. The Public Prosecutor opposed the application stating that if the petitioner is permitted to go abroad, that may affect the trial of the case. The investigating officer filed report contending that there is no mention about the place of her visit. As the document filed by the petitioner is a copy, the petitioner may be directed to file a specific affidavit for confirming the authenticity and genuineness of the documents produced by her. The investigating officer insisted that if the petitioner is permitted to travel abroad, conditions may be imposed to secure the presence of accused.

6. The case is of the year 2018 and the petitioner is on bail for long time. The case is not ripe for trial. The petitioner wants to go abroad for her

business purpose. On hearing the counsel for the petitioner and the learned Public Prosecutor and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I am of the view that the petition can be allowed by imposing conditions.

In the result, the petition is allowed as follows:-

1. The petitioner/accused No.18 is permitted to visit Zurich via Dubai during the period from 14.05.2024 to 21.05.2024.
2. The petitioner shall appear before the court on next posting date, unless specifically exempted by the court.
3. If the petitioner violates the order, the sum of ₹1,00,000/- deposited by the petitioner as security shall be forfeited.

Dictated to the Confidential Asst., transcribed and typewritten by her, corrected and pronounced by me in open court on this the 13th day of May, 2024.

Sd/-
P. K. Mohandas
Judge, Special Court for PMLA Cases

Appendix: Nil

Id/-
Judge, Special Court for PMLA Cases
(By Order)

//True Copy//

Sd/-
Sheristadar.