

IN THE SPECIAL COURT FOR THE TRIAL OF PMLA CASES/
SPECIAL COURT (SPE/CBI)-I/NIA, ERNAKULAM.

Present:-

Sri. P.K. Mohandas, Judge, Special court for PMLA Cases

Monday the 25th day of March, 2024/5th Chaithra, 1946.

Crl.M.P. No.101/2024 In SC No.533/2018

Petitioner/ Accused No.14 : Martin Leema Rose, aged 55 years,
Wife of S. Martin, No.135/1, Thiruvalluvar
Street, G.N Mill Post, Vellakinaru Pirivu,
Coimbatore- 641 029.

By Adv. Sri. Mathews K Uthuppachan.

Respondent/Complainant: : **Directorate of Enforcement, Represented
by Smt. Govindu. P. Renuka Devi,
Special Public Prosecutor (PMLA),
Directorate of Enforcement.**

This Criminal M.P coming on for hearing before me on 25.03.2024 and the Court on the same day passed the following:

ORDER

This is an application filed by accused No.14 Smt. Martin Leema Rose seeking permission to travel abroad.

2. The petitioner is on bail as per order in Crl.M.P. 35/2022 dated 01.10.2022 and this court directed the petitioner to surrender her passport and not to leave India without the permission of this court.

3. According to the petitioner, she and her husband are members of the Arch Klumph Society and she has been invited to participate in the Arch Klumph Society weekend event scheduled from 5th April to 7th April, 2024 at the Rotary International World Headquarters, 1560 Sherman Avenue, Evanston, Illinois,

USA. Copies of the letter dated 09.07.2024, program schedule, communication along with registration form and the reply email dated 01.12.2023, email communications dated 19.02.2024 and the reply dated 21.02.2024 are produced with the petition. The petitioner also produced copies of her flight tickets and USA visa. She seeks permission to travel abroad from 02.04.2024 to 12.04.2024.

4. The petition is opposed by the Special Public Prosecutor and she filed a detailed objection.

5. I have heard the counsel for the petitioner and the Public Prosecutor.

6. The Public Prosecutor opposed the application stating that if the petitioner is permitted to go abroad, that may affect the trial of the case. Counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has complied with all the conditions imposed while granting bail, and on all previous occasions when granted permission to travel abroad, she had complied with the directions of the court. The investigating officer insisted that if the petitioner is permitted to travel abroad, conditions may be imposed to secure the presence of accused.

7. On hearing the counsel for the petitioner and the learned Public Prosecutor, and considering the report filed by the investigating officer, I am of the view that the petition can be allowed by imposing conditions.

In the result, the petition is allowed as follows:-

1. The petitioner/Accused No.14 is permitted to visit USA during the period from 02.04.2024 to 12.04.2024.

2. The petitioner is permitted to retain the passport until further orders.
The sum of ₹2,00,000/- deposited by the petitioner as security shall be retained by the Court.
3. The petitioner shall not use the passport for any purpose other than the one for which permission is given and the petitioner shall not involve any sought of activity or transactions with any person/company which may adversely affect the present case during her visit.
4. The petitioner/Accused No.14 shall appear before the court on the next hearing date, unless her personal appearance is dispensed with by a separate order.
5. If the petitioner fails to comply with any of the conditions stipulated above, the security amount will stand forfeited and her bail bond will stand cancelled without any further orders.

Dictated to the Confidential Asst., transcribed and typewritten by her, corrected and pronounced by me in open court on this the 25th day of March, 2024.

Sd/-
P. K. Mohandas
Judge, Special Court for PMLA Cases

Appendix: Nil

Id/-
Judge, Special Court for PMLA Cases
(By Order)

//True Copy//

Sd/-
Sheristadar.