

**IN THE SPECIAL COURT FOR THE TRIAL OF PMLA CASES/  
(SPECIAL COURT (SPE/CBI)-I), ERNAKULAM  
Present: Sri.Anil.K. Bhaskar, Judge for PMLA Cases  
Friday the 10<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2023/19<sup>th</sup> Phalauna , 1944**

**Crl. M. P. No. 11/2023**

**in**

**S C. No.533/2018**

**Petitioner/Accused No. 16** : Daisy Aadhav Arjuna, Aged 30 Years, W/o Shri R.K. Aadha Arjuna, New No.19, old No.6/3, Maharani chinnamma Road, Venus Colony, Alwarpet, Chennai- 600 018.

**By Mathews K. Uthauppachan.**

**Respondent/Complainant** : The Deputy Director, Directorate of Enforcement, Cochin Zonal Office, Kanoos Castle, A.K Sheshadri Road, Mullassery Canal Road West, Cochin – 682011, Kerala.

**Represented by Govindu.P. Renuka Devi,  
Special Public Prosecutor, PMLA for Directorate  
of Enforcement.**

This Criminal MP coming on for hearing before me on 10.03.2023 and the Court on the same day passed the following:

**ORDER**

This application is filed on behalf of Accused No.16 seeking permission to go abroad during the period from 14.03.2023 to 21.03.2023 and for that purpose to release her passport which is now in the custody of this court.

2. On 01.10.2022, petitioner appeared before this court and she was released on bail imposing conditions to the effect that she shall not exit India without the prior permission of this court and further to surrender her passport

before this court within ten days. She had complied the said direction and the passport surrendered by her is now in the custody of this court.

3. Earlier, she filed CrI.M.P.64/222 seeking permission to travel to Singapore which was allowed by this court imposing conditions. She had complied all the conditions and later returned her passport before the court.

4. Now comes the present application. It is submitted that petitioner is a fashion designer. The petitioner received an invitation from KHWAKHYUNJOO Collection to attend the Seoul Fashion Show to be held in Seoul, South Korea from 15.03.2023 to 19.03.2023. True copy of the email communication is produced herewith as Annexure-A. The petitioner considers it as a great experience to interact with talents across the world in the course of the event. The petitioner intends to travel to Seoul, South Korea during the period from 14.03.2023 to 20.03.2023 to attend the fashion show. For these reasons, petitioner seeks relaxation of the bail condition and for the release of her passport.

5. Notice served to the learned public prosecutor. She opposes the application pointing out that the two email attachments do not prove anything substantive in support of the claim of the petitioner. There is nothing on record to prove that the petitioner is in the field of fashion designing, when the so-called Seoul Fashion Show is being organised and who is organising the said show and under what capacity petitioner got an invitation letter and more importantly no invitation as such has been sent to the petitioner. It is submitted that relaxation of the bail condition is not the rule but only an exception. For all these reasons, the learned public prosecutor favoured dismissal of the petition.

6. Heard both sides. There is force in the submission made by the learned public prosecutor. The accused in the above case are repeatedly filing application to relax the bail condition and go abroad by just producing a copy of the email projecting it as an invitation for a business meet or private events. As rightly pointed out by the learned public prosecutor relaxation of the bail condition is not the rule but only an exception. While allowing the last petition in the series (order in CrI.M.P.09/2023 dated 21.02.2023) this court made it clear that in future permission will not be granted to go abroad unless and until petitioner convince the court about the existence of exceptional circumstances, wherein his physical presence is inevitable.

7. If we go by Annexure-A produced, petitioner got a mail from Khwakhyunjoo Collection on 01.03.2023 informing that, they are ready to give two tickets to 23FW Fashion Show. On the same day petitioner accepted the invitation and forwarded her name and email address with a request to process the invitation as soon as possible, so that petitioner can book ticket and visa process. Subsequently, no further emails were received from Khwakhyunjoo Collection. There is nothing on record to prove that Kwakhyunjoo Collection is conducting Seoul Fashion show. There is no material to show that Seoul Fashion Show scheduled in the month of March. There is no material to shown that petitioner is engaged in the field of fashion designing and she used to attend fashion shows to gain professional experience. In this given circumstance, as rightly pointed out by the learned public prosecutor, petitioner failed to prove an exceptional circumstance to get the bail conditions relaxed and to permitt her to visit South Korea during the

period 14.03.2023 to 21.03.2023. For all these reason, the petition deserves a dismissal.

In the result, Petition stands dismissed.

Dictated to the Confidential Asst., typewritten by her directly to computer system, corrected and pronounced by me in open court on this the 10<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2023.

Sd/-  
Anil K Bhaskar  
Judge, Spl. Court for PMLA Cases

**Appendix:Nil**

Id/-  
Judge, Spl. Court for PMLA Cases  
(By Order)

//True Copy//

Sd/-  
Sheristadar.