

**IN THE SPECIAL COURT FOR THE TRIAL OF PMLA CASES/
(SPECIAL COURT (SPE/CBI)-I), ERNAKULAM.
Present: Sri.Anil.K. Bhaskar, Judge for PMLA Cases
Tuesday the 20th day of December, 2022/29th Agrahayana 1944.**

Crl. M. P. No. 66/2022

in

S C. No.533/2018

**Petitioner/
Accused No. 14** : Martin Leema Rose, aged 55 years, W/o. S. Martin,
No. 135/1, Thiruvalluvar Street, G.N. Mill Post,
Vellakinaru Pirivu, Coimbatore – 641 029.

By Adv. Sri. Mathews K. Uthuppachan.

Respondent/Complainant : Directorate of Enforcement.
**Represented by Govindu.P. Renuka Devi,
Special Public Prosecutor, PMLA for Directorate
of Enforcement.**

This Criminal MP coming on for hearing before me on 19.12.2022 and the Court on 20.12.2022 passed the following:

ORDER

This application is filed on behalf of Accused No.14 seeking permission to go abroad during the period from 29.12.2022 to 06.01.2023 and for that purpose to release her passport which is now in the custody of this court.

2. On 01.10.2022, petitioner appeared before this court and she was released on bail imposing conditions to the effect that she shall not exit India without the prior permission of this court and further to surrender her passport before this court within ten days. She had complied the said direction and the passport surrendered by her is now in the custody of this court.

3. This is the second application seeking permission to go abroad. Her earlier application Crl.MP 45/22 was allowed and she was permitted to travel to USA on

certain conditions and one of the condition was to deposit 2,00,000/- before the court. Her passport was released to her with a direction to surrender it before the court immediately after her return. She deposited the security amount, gone to USA returned within the prescribed period and surrendered her passport before the court and thereby complied all the conditions imposed by this court.

4. Now comes the present petition. She propose to visit Singapore with her family during the period from 29.12.2022 to 06.01.2023. She would say that she requires her passport for applying for visa as well as for effecting the proposed journey abroad.

5. Notice served to the prosecution. Learned prosecutor doesn't oppose the application. She only insisted for the imposition of stringent conditions similar to those imposed in CrI.MP 45/22.

6. Heard both sides. There is nothing to suspect any malafides behind this petition. Learned prosecutor also not raised any objection in allowing the request made by the petitioner. For all these reasons, I am inclined to allow the application imposing very same conditions as stated in the order passed in CrI.MP 45/22.

In the result, petition allowed as follows:-

a) The petitioner is given permission to leave the country to travel to Singapore during the period staring from 29.12.2022 and ending on 06.01.2023 for her business purpose.

b) To that extend bail conditions stand relaxed.

c) The petitioner shall file an affidavit before the court giving details of her travel plan, specifying the flight numbers (to and fro tickets), her place of stay and contact number in Singapore along with this affidavit

she shall produce copy of the travel documents including air tickets.

d) That the petitioner shall either deposit ₹2,00,000/- as security amount before this court or shall file an affidavit stating that the security amount of ₹2,00,000/- already deposited before the court in CrI. MP 45/22 is to be treated as the security amount of this proceedings and further that, she shall not press the cheque application filed for the withdrawal of the said amount.

e) Thereafter, the petitioner is entitled to get back her passport which is now kept in court custody.

f) The petitioner shall appear and surrender her passport before this court on 12th January 2023.

g) Thereafter she is entitled to apply for the release of the security amount deposited before the court.

h) If the petitioner fails to appear and surrender her passport before the court on 12th January 2023, the security amount deposited by her will stands forfeited and her bail bond canceled and she will be liable for all the consequences that follows.

Dictated to the Confidential Asst., typewritten by her directly to computer system, corrected and pronounced by me in open court on this the 20th day of December, 2022.

Sd/-
Anil K Bhaskar
Judge, Spl. Court for PMLA Cases

Appendix:Nil

Id/-
Judge, Spl. Court for PMLA Cases
(By Order)

//True Copy//

Sd/-
Sheristadar.