

**IN THE SPECIAL COURT FOR THE TRIAL OF PMLA CASES/  
(SPECIAL COURT (SPE/CBI)-I), ERNAKULAM.  
Present: Sri.Anil.K. Bhaskar, Judge for PMLA Cases  
Tuesday the 20<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2022/29<sup>th</sup> Agrahayana 1944.**

**Crl. M. P. No. 64/2022**

**in**

**S C. No.533/2018**

**Petitioner/  
Accused No. 16** : Daisy Aadhav Arjuna, aged 30 years, W/o. R.K. Aadhav Arjuna, New No. 19, Old No. 6/3, Maharani Chinnamma Road, Venus Colony, Alwarpet, Chennai- 600 018.

**By Adv. Sri. Mathews K. Uthuppachan.**

**Respondent/Complainant** : Directorate of Enforcement.  
**Represented by Govindu.P. Renuka Devi,  
Special Public Prosecutor, PMLA for Directorate  
of Enforcement.**

This Criminal MP coming on for hearing before me on 19.12.2022 and the Court on 20.12.2022 passed the following:

**ORDER**

This application is filed on behalf of Accused No.16 seeking permission to go abroad during the period from 29.12.2022 to 06.01.2023 and for that purpose to release her passport which is now in the custody of this court.

2. On 01.10.2022, petitioner appeared before this court and she was released on bail imposing conditions to the effect that she shall not exit India without the prior permission of this court and further to surrender her passport before this court within ten days. She had complied the said direction and the passport surrendered by her is now in the custody of this court.

3. Notice served to the prosecution. Learned prosecutor doesn't oppose the

application. She only insisted for imposition of stringent conditions similar to those imposed while granting permission to other accused to go abroad.

4. Heard both sides. There is nothing to suspect any malafides behind this petition. Learned prosecutor also not raised any objection in allowing the request made by the petitioner. The 15<sup>th</sup> accused filed a similar application as CrI.MP 65/22 to go to Singapore during the very same period. That application stands allowed subject to conditions imposed therein. The petitioner herein can also be given permission to go to Singapore subject to the very same conditions. For all these reasons, I am inclined to allow the application imposing very same conditions as stated in the order passed in CrI.MP 44/22.

**In the result,** petition allowed as follows:-

a) The petitioner is given permission to leave the country to travel to Singapore during the period starting from 29.12.2022 and ending on 06.01.2023 for her business purpose.

b) To that extend bail conditions stand relaxed.

c) The petitioner shall file an affidavit before the court giving details of her travel plan, specifying the flight numbers (to and fro tickets), her place of stay and contact number in Singapore along with this affidavit she shall produce copy of the travel documents including air tickets.

d) That the petitioner shall deposit before this court ₹2,00,000/- as security amount.

e) Upon the deposit of the said amount, petitioner is entitled to get back her passport which is now kept in court custody.

f) The petitioner shall appear and surrender her passport before

this court on 12<sup>th</sup> January 2023.

g) Thereafter she is entitled to apply for the release of the security amount deposited before the court.

h) If the petitioner fails to appear and surrender her passport before the court on 12<sup>th</sup> January 2023, the security amount deposited by her will stands forfeited and her bail bond canceled and she will be liable for all the consequences that follows.

Dictated to the Confidential Asst., typewritten by her directly to computer system, corrected and pronounced by me in open court on this the 20<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2022.

Sd/-  
Anil K Bhaskar  
Judge, Spl. Court for PMLA Cases

**Appendix:Nil**

Id/-  
Judge, Spl. Court for PMLA Cases  
(By Order)

//True Copy//

Sd/-  
Sheristadar.