

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS, ERNAKULAM DIVISION

Present:-

Sri. Ajikumar S, Additional Sessions Judge –I

Friday, 12th day of June, 2026/ 22nd Jaishtha, 1948

Crl.M.P No.4/2026 in S.C No. 1151/2018

**Petitioner/
Accused :**

Jinesh Kumar@Dhanesh@Kuttappi, S/o,
Paramu, Aged 39 years, Kaliyampadam,
Valiyaparambil House, Harijan Colony,
Moolankuzhi, Rameshwaram Village, Now
residing at Door No. 389, Sathyanagar, 6th
Road, palani, Pin- 624601

By Adv. Mekha Dinesh K

**Respondent/
Complainant**

State of Kerala represented by Station House
officer, Thoppumpady Police Station through
Public Prosecutor District And Sessions Court,
Ernakulam.

This petition filed Under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 praying this court to grant regular bail to the petitioner.

This petition coming on for hearing on 10.06.2026 and the Court on 12.06.2026 passed the following:

ORDER

This is an application filed u/s 483 of BNSS for regular bail.

1. The petitioner is the sole accused in Crime No.1580/2023 of Thoppumpady Police Station. The prosecution case is that on 24.10.2013 at 10.15 p.m at house No.CC-15/978, Moolamkuzhi, the accused caused serious injuries to his father Paramu. As a result he is accomplice his injuries on 31.10.2013 at Medical Collage, Alappuzha. Thus the accused is alleged to have committed offences punishable U/Ss 323,324,325 and 302 of IPC.

2. The accused was arrested, thereafter he was released on bail. The said case was numbers as CP 9/2017. Thereafter it was committed to the Court of Sessions, Ernakulam. On receiving the said case the Hon'ble Sessions Court has taken cognizance U/S 323,324, 325 & 302 of IPC. While the proceedings started the accused had absconded. The case was converted as LP 15/2022. While so he was arrested and produced on 13.07.2025. Since then he has been in custody.
3. Heard both sides.
4. The counsel for the accused vehemently argued that he is innocent in this case. He has not been absconded as alleged by the prosecution. The actual fact was that he went to Palani as part of employment. Thereafter he could not with his lawyer. Thus the case became LP. On the above ground he has to be enlarged on bail.
5. Vice versa the learned Public Prosecutor argued that he has committed the offence serious in nature and he has purposefully from the place in order to evade the process of the court. After a long effort taken by the police and a thorough search he was arrested. If he is released he is abscond from the place.
6. The offence is under section 302 IPC. On perusal of records he has committed an offence serious in nature and he was released on bail. But he absconded from the place and evaded the legal proceedings. This court finds that he was arrested after a long effort taken by the police. If he is released on

bail there is every chance to abscond from the place. After dismissal of CMP 3636/2025 there is no change in circumstance. Therefore the bail application is dismissed.

In the result, the petition is dismissed.

Dictated to the Confidential Assistant, transcribed and typed by him, corrected by me and pronounced in open court on this the 12th day of June, 2026.

Sd/-

Ajikumar S
Additional Sessions Judge-I

Typed by: JJComp by:

**Crl M.P No. 4/2026 in
S.C No. 1151/2018
Order dated : 12.06.2026**