

**In the Court of Nitin Raj,  
Additional Sessions Judge, Narnaul. UID No.HR0287**

Bail application No.671 of 2026

Date of Institution:22.07.2026

Date of Decision:29.07.2026

CIS No.BA-671-2026

CNR No.HRNR01-003539-2026

Rohit @ Banti son of Sh. Rudmal, aged 27 years resident of village  
Ranwa, Shimla District Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan.

...Accused/ applicant

Versus

State of Haryana.

...Respondent

FIR No.113 dated 07.03.2024

U/ss: 20(B)/61/85 of NDPS

Police Station: City Narnaul

**Application for anticipatory bail u/s 482 BNSS**

---

Argued by: Shri Arvind Yadav, Advocate for the applicant  
Shri Dilawar Singh APP for the State

**Order:**

By this order the court proposes to dispose of an application  
for anticipatory bail filed by afore-said applicant, under section 482 BNSS  
in this case.

2. The facts in brief, as per the police reply, are that on  
07.03.2024, Ct. Dinesh alongwith other police officials was present at the

Nitin Raj  
ASJ Narnaul 29.07.2026

gate of Police Station, City Narnaul. Wherein secret information was received that one Paramjit @ Sonu son of Harjit Singh was coming from Aggersen Chowk towards Police Station, having narcotic contraband, if raid is conducted he may be nabbed. Upon which, raiding party was constituted and notice under Section 42 NDPS Act was prepared and sent through EHC Sudesh to PS City Narnaul for registration of DDR. Thereafter, raid was conducted at the impugned place and one person was seen coming from Aggersen chowk side. On seeing the police party, said person tried to flee away, however, he was apprehended. On asking he disclosed his name as Paramjit @ Sonu son of Harjit Singh. Thereafter, notice under Section 50 NDPS Act was served upon the said person, whose personal search was conducted by Sh. Anil Kumar DTC, upon which a plastic bag having narcotic contraband i.e. Ganja was recovered. On weighing, it was found to be 1kg 55 gm. The said narcotic contraband was accordingly seized. On the said facts FIR under sections 20 (b) NDPS Act was lodged.

3. It has been argued by Id. Counsel for applicant that applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case, who has every apprehension that he might get arrested by the police authorities on the false pretext. It has been further argued that co-accused Paramjit was apprehended on 07.03.2024 having 1kg 55 gm Ganja in his possession, who made disclosure statement on 08.03.2024 that he purchased the said contraband from co-accused Rohit, co-accused Rohit in turn disclosed the

name of the applicant that the same has been purchased from him. That apart from disclosure statement no incriminating material has been put forth by the Investigating Agency. It has been further argued that the applicant has never received any notice, though proceedings for declaring the applicant as PO has been initiated, which contrary to the procedure established by law. It has been further argued that applicant is ready to join the investigation, therefore, bail application be allowed. Learned counsel for applicant also placed reliance upon Anoop @ Anup VS. State of Haryana CRM-M-26373 of 2022 decided on 28.07.2023

4. On the other hand ld. APP for the State vehemently argued that custodial interrogation of the applicant is required so as to further the investigation. That PO proceedings have been initiated against the applicant, which is pending before the court of ld. CJM, Narnaul. That in case the application is allowed, investigation might get adversely affected. Therefore, bail application be dismissed.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned Asstt. Public Prosecutor of the State and have gone through the case file carefully.

6. It transpires that section 20(B)/61/85 of NDPS has been invoked in the present case. It has been submitted by Ld. APP for the State that name of the applicant has been disclosed by co-accused Rohit @ Chaillu that applicant supplied the contraband to the co-accused who in turn supplied the same to Paramjit @ Sonu. That custodial interrogation

of the applicant is required so as to ascertain the source of the contraband, which is not possible in protective custody.

The authority cited by learned counsel for the applicant is not applicable to the present set of facts, being distinguishable.

7. Hence, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the court is of considered opinion that applicant is not entitled for the concession of anticipatory bail. Therefore, the present application moved by applicant for grant of anticipatory bail under section 482 BNSS is hereby dismissed. File be consigned to the record room after due compliance.

Announced in open Court:  
Dated: 29.07.2026  
Meena SG-I

Nitin Raj  
Addl. Sessions Judge,  
Narnaul. UID No.HR0287

Argued by: Shri Arvind Yadav, Advocate for the applicant  
Shri Dilawar Singh APP for the State

Reply filed. Copy of the same has been supplied to learned defence counsel. Ahlmad report received. As per Ahlmad report this is the first bail application. No bail application has been filed before Hon'ble High Court.

Arguments heard. Order pronounced. Vide my separate order of even date, the present application moved by applicant for grant of anticipatory bail under section 482 BNSS is hereby dismissed. Papers be tagged with the main case file.

Announced in open Court:  
Dated: 29.07.2026  
Meena SG-I

Nitin Raj  
Addl. Sessions Judge,  
Narnaul. UID No.HR0287