

**IN THE COURT OF THE JUDICIAL FIRST CLASS MAGISTRATE-I,
KAYAMKULAM**

Present: Smt. Aiswaryarani, Judicial First Class Magistrate-I

Dated this the 27th day of February 2026

CMP. 1/2026 in M.C. No: 104/2025

Complainant/
Petitioner

- 1 Rajeev. R S/o Raveendran, Karisseril Veedu,
Kaduvinal PO, Vallikunnam
- 2 Yeshoda, W/o Raveendran,
-Do -
3. Smitha, W/o Binu,
- Do -
(Adv. V.K Anil)

Counter
petitioners
/Respondents

- 1 Krishna. R, D/o Babu, Vishnu Bhavanam,
Kaduvilan PO, Vallikunnam Village.
- 2 Sivani D/o Krishna R.(Minor)
-Do-

Reliefs sought : Petition to set aside ex parte order
Order : Allowed

This case having been heard on 16.02.26 and the court on the same day delivered the following:-

ORDER

Petition filed to set aside ex parte order.

2. Petition averments in brief is as follows: The petitioner is the respondent in the case. The matter was posted as the last chance to file the objection and assets and liabilities affidavit. However, since some information had to be received from the 1st respondent,


the same could not be filed, and they were set ex parte. Therefore, this petition to set aside the order setting the petitioner ex parte.

3. The respondent averments in brief are as follows: The averment made by the petitioner is false. This is only to protract the matter. Hence the petition may be dismissed.

4. Heard both sides.

5. On perusal of the records, it is seen that the respondent have not shown anything suggesting the petitioner is trying to protract the matter. It is best to dispose of a matter on merits after hearing both sides involved in the dispute. Therefore, in the interest of justice and for the just decision of the case the order setting respondents ex parte can be set aside.

(Dictated to CA typed by her in the office computer, corrected and pronounced by me in the open court this the 27th day of February 2026.)

Sd/- 
Judicial First Class Magistrate - I