

**IN THE COURT OF THE JUDICIAL FIRST CLASS MAGISTRATE-I
KAYAMKULAM**

Present: Smt. Aiswaryarani, Judicial First Class Magistrate

Dated this the 31st day of March, 2026

CMP 1/2026 in M.C. No: 88/2024

Complainants/ Petitioners	1 Veena Vijayan, Aged 35 years, W/o. Sooraj Susheelan, Bhavanika, Puthupally P O, Puthupally Village, Kayamkulam
	2 Janvi Sooraj, Aged 11 years - do - (Minor represented by mother Veen Vijayan)
	3 Bhavani Lekshmi, Aged 6 years, - do - (By Adv. Safiya Sudheer)
Counter petitioner /Respondent	Sooraj Susheelan, Aged 6 yeas, Bhavanika, Puthupally P O, Puthupally Village, Kayamkulam (By Adv. Ashapoorna Dev)

Offence : Order U/s. 12 of the Protection of Women
from Domestic Violence Act.

This case having been heard on 31.03.26 and the court on the same day delivered the following:-

O R D E R

Petition filed for amending the complaint.

2. Petition averments in brief is as follows: The petitioner is the petitioner in CMP 5403/2025 in the case filed against the respondent alleging offences under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881. Upon reviewing the affidavit, the petitioner noticed that in the 5th line of the 2nd paragraph on the 1st page it was wrongly mentioned as 2 ആം instead of 3 ആം, in the end of the 5th line of the 1st page it was wrongly mentioned as 3 instead of 2, in the 3rd paragraph 1st line it was wrongly mentioned as മുത്ത instead of ഇളയ, in the 1st line of the 2nd page it was wrongly recorded as മുത്ത instead of ഇളയ, in the 2nd page 4th line it was wrongly mentioned as കുട്ടിക്ക് instead of ഹർജിക്കാരിക്ക്. Hence, these matters may be amended.

3. The respondent submitted no objection.

4. Heard both sides.

5. The following points arise for consideration:

1. *Whether the petition is liable to be allowed?*
2. *What is the order?*

6. **Point No. 1:-** In the present case, the proposed amendment is not substantial and does not alter the nature of the complaint. The petition for amendment was filed before the case was posted for the petitioner evidence. Allowing such an amendment at this stage would not cause any prejudice to the respondent. Hence, the amendment sought can be allowed.

11. **Point No. 2:** Therefore, in light of the aforementioned principles, the petition for amendment is hereby allowed in part. In the result, it is hereby ordered that the petitioner is permitted to amend the affidavit by incorporating the following corrections:

- (i) in the 5th line of the 2nd paragraph on the 1st page, “2 ആം” shall be corrected as “3 ആം”
- (ii) at the end of the 5th line on the 1st page, “3” shall be corrected as “2”
- (iii) in the 1st line of the 3rd paragraph, “മൂത്ത” shall be corrected as “ഇളയ”
- (iv) in the 1st line of the 2nd page, “മൂത്ത” shall be corrected as “ഇളയ”
- (v) in the 4th line of the 2nd page, “കുട്ടിക്ക” shall be corrected as “ഹർജിക്കാരിക്ക്.”

The petitioner shall carry out the above amendments and file the corrected pleading within a period of seven days from the date of this order.

(Dictated to CA, typed by her, corrected by me and pronounced by me in the open court on this the 31st day of March, 2026)

Sd/-

Judicial First Class Magistrate I