

IN THE COURT OF THE MUNSIF, KAYAMKULAM

Present: Smt. Aneesa.A, Munsiff

Tuesday the 9^h day of September,2025/18th Bhadra,1947

IA.1/2025 in OS.297/2025

(Filed on 09.09.2025)

Petitioner/Plaintiff:

Joseph Joswa,
Kannilethu veettil,
Evoor South muri,
Pathiyoor Village.
From Kannilethu veettil,
Ramapuram muri,
Keerikad Village.

(By Adv.D.Hareendran Nair)

Respondents/Defendants:

1. Ester Philip,
Plavannayil veettil,
Chingoli muri,
Chingoli Village.
2. Mathew Philip,
Plavannayil veettil,
Chingoli muri,
Chingoli Village.
(M.P.Service Centre & Taxi Service,
Ramapuram, Keerikad P.O)

This petition is coming on for hearing on 09.09.2025 and the court of the same day passed the following.

ORDER

Heard the petitioner.

Perused the affidavit in support of injunction petition, plaint and documents. I am satisfied that a prima facie case is made out justifying the grant of an ad-interim order of injunction. Prima facie, the balance of convenience is found in favour of the petitioner. If interim order is not granted, irreparable injury is likely to be caused to the petitioner. Delay in sending the notice will defeat the object of granting the relief. Hence an ad-interim order for injunction is passed restraining the respondents and men under them from trespassing into plaint schedule property or encroaching any portion of plaint schedule property or committing any mischief therein until further orders.

Comply Order 39, Rule 3 Proviso (a). Issue order and notice to the respondents. For return of notice. Adjourned to.08.10.2025.

(Pronounced by me in open Court on 9th day of September, 2025)

Sd/-
ANEESA.A,
MUNSIFF

APPENDIX - Nil.

Sd/-
MUNSIFF

// True copy //

MUNSIFF

