

**IN THE COURT OF THE JUDICIAL FIRST CLASS MAGISTRATE-II,
MAVELIKKARA.**

**Present: Sri.Anandhu.J.B, Judicial First Class Magistrate-II
Tuesday, 19th March, 2026**

CC.160/2024

Complainant : State represented by the S.I of Police,
Nooranadu P.S in Cr. No.468/2024
(By APP, JFCM Court- II, Mavelikara)

DESCRIPTION OF ACCUSED

Sl.No	Name	Father's name	Occupation	Residence	Age
1	Satheesh	Raveendran		Satheesh Bhavanam, Cherumukha Muri, Nooranad Village.	38/24
2	Sivadasan.G	Gopinatha Pillai		Sivasailam House - do -	51/24
3	Sarath S	Sivaraman		Sarath Bhavanam - do -	28/24
4	Anoop	Udayan		Padinjarecharuvil House, - do -	23/24

(By Adv.K.V.Arun)

Offence : U/ss. 341, 323, 294(b), 506(i) r/w 34 of IPC.

Plea : Not guilty

Finding : Not guilty

Sentence/order : Accused are acquitted u/s.255(1)Cr.P.C.

Dates of:

Occurrence	Complaint	Appearance	Release on bail	Committment	Commencement of trial	Commencement of evidence
14.04.24	02.05.24	01.08.24	01.08.24		A1. 23.11.24	27.02.26

					A2&A3.05.04.25 A4. 19.02.26
Close of trial	Sentence or order	Service of copy of judgment or finding on accused	Explanation of delay	Period of detention undergone during investigation, inquiry or trial for the purpose of s.428 CrPC.	
16.03.26	19.03.26	-	No delay	-	

This case coming on for hearing before me on 19.03.26 and this day the court passed the following:-

J U D G M E N T

1. This case arose on a final report submitted by the S.I of Police, Nooranad in Cr. No.468/24 alleging offences punishable u/ss. 341, 323, 294(b), 506(i) r/w 34 of IPC.

2. The prosecution case in brief is as follows:- On 14.04.2024 at 4.30 p.m., the accused , due to enmity towards CW1, in furtherance of their common intention, attacked him, near near Kurukkassery Junction in Kurukkassery-Pattoor Road. The 1st accused pulled down CW1 while he was travelling in a bike as pillion rider and fisted on his head. When CW2 tried to prevent the accused, the 2nd accused wrongfully restrained CW2 and beat him on neck and back. The 3rd and 4th accused kicked CW1 and CW2 and the 1st accused uttered obscene words and threatened them. Hence the offences.

3. On appearance of accused, copies of relevant records were furnished to them. Particulars of offences punishable u/ss. 341, 323, 294(b), 506(i) r/w 34 of IPC was stated to the accused, to which they pleaded not guilty and claimed to be tried.

4. The prosecution examined PW1 and PW2, marked exhibit P1 and thereafter, the evidence of the prosecution was closed. Since the material witnesses turned hostile to the prosecution case, the examination of remaining witnesses were given up by the prosecution. As there is no incriminating evidence against the accused, examination u/s 313 (1)(b) of Cr.P.C is dispensed with

5. Heard both sides.

6. Following points are arise for consideration.

1. Whether the accused, on 14.04.2024 at 4.30 p.m., in furtherance of their common intention, wrongfully restrained CW1, as alleged?
2. Whether the accused, on 14.04.2024 at 4.30 p.m., in furtherance of their common intention, voluntarily caused hurt to CW1 and CW2, as alleged?
3. Whether the accused, on the above said date, place and time, in furtherance of their common intention, uttered obscene words as alleged?
4. Whether the accused, on the above said date, place and time, in furtherance of their common intention criminally intimidated CW1 and CW2, as alleged?
5. If so, what shall be the sentence or order?

7. **Point Nos.1 to 4** :- For the sake of convenience, these points are considered together. PW1 deposed that he sustained

injuries in the alleged incident and he gave Ext.P1 before police. He further deposed that he did not know the assailants and the matter was settled and he has no complaints against the accused. He turned hostile to the prosecution.

8. PW2 deposed that he sustained injury in the alleged incident and he did not know the assailants. He further deposed that the matter was settled and he has no complaints against the accused. He also turned hostile to the prosecution.

9. On analyzing the evidence, it is seen that PW1 and PW2 turned hostile to the prosecution. Therefore, there is no evidence before this court to find that, the accused have committed the offences alleged against them. Hence, these points are found against the prosecution. .

10. **Point No. 5:** In the light of my above findings, this point does not arise for consideration.

In the result, accused are found not guilty of the offences under sections 341, 323, 294(b), 506(i) r/w 34 of IPC and he is acquitted u/s.255(1) Cr.P.C. Bail bond executed by them stand cancelled and they are set at liberty.

Dictated to the Confidential Assistant, transcribed by her, corrected and pronounced by me in open court on this the 19th day of March, 2026.

Judicial First Class Magistrate-II,
Mavelikara.

APPENDIX:**1. List of prosecution/Defence/Court witnesses****A. Prosecution witnesses.**

PW1	Praveen	Eye Witness
PW2	Ajith	Eye Witness

B. Defence witness: Nil

C. Court witness: Nil

II. List of prosecution/Defence/ Court Exhibits

Prosecution exhibit:

1	Ext.P1/PW1	FIS dtd.15.04.24
---	------------	------------------

B. Defence Exhibits: Nil

C. Court Exhibits:- Nil

D. Material Objects: Nil

Judicial First Class Magistrate-II,
Mavelikara.