

**IN THE COURT OF THE ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-III,
MAVELIKARA**

**Present:- Smt.Pooja.P.P,Additional Sessions Judge-III,
Thursday the 12th day of February 2026**

CRL.M.P No.02.2026 in SC. No.08/2026

**(Crime No.962/2024 of Police Station , Vallikunnam)
(Filed on 06.02.2026)**

Petitioner/ Accused:- Renjith, aged 33 years, S/o Muraleedharan Pillai, Thayyil Kizhakkathil, Karazhma, Vallikunnam, Aalappuzha.
(By Adv.Sarun.K.Idikula)

Respondent/

Complainant :- State of Kerala, Vallikunnam,
Rep. by Addl. Public Prosecutor,Mavelikara.

This petition having been finally heard on 10.02.2026 and the court on 12.02.2026 passed the following.

ORDER

This application is filed by the first accused u/s 480 of BNSS.

2. The accused is in judicial custody from 23.12.2025.
3. The offences alleged in the case are punishable u/ss. 126(2), 110 and 3(5) of BNSS.
4. The prosecution opposed the application and filed report.
5. Heard both sides.
6. The prosecution case is that accused along with another in furtherance of their common intention to cause hurt to CW1 wrongfully restrained him in front of Sreelekshmi Bar at Choonad on 12.12.2024 at 9.30 p.m. It is alleged that the second accused threw soda bottle on the back of his body and hit him with soda bottle on his head which fell on his forehead resulting bleeding injury. It is alleged that,unless CW1

had not warded off the attack it would have resulted in his death. Thus the accused are alleged to have committed the aforesaid offences.

6. The allegations levelled against the accused are very serious. The filing of the final report is not a criteria to grant bail. From the report filed by the prosecution it can be seen that the petitioner was granted bail. But he failed to appear before the court and was absconding. He was under the treatment in Mental Health Centre at Peroorkada. If he is released on bail there is every chance for absconding. The grant of bail to this type of offenders will not be in the best interest of society and the petitioner. The apprehension expressed by the prosecution that there is every chance for absconding and there is chance for manhandling the witnesses cannot be ignored. The presence of the accused in the society will affect the peace and tranquility. There is no special reason stated in the petition to exercise the discretion in his favour. So, the application is only to be dismissed.

In the result, the petition is dismissed.

Dictated to the Confidential Assistant, transcribed and typed by her, corrected by me and pronounced in open court on this the 12th day of February, 2026.

**Sd/-
POOJA P.P,
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE- III,
MAVELIKARA.**

Appendix: Nil

**Sd/-
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE - III**