

IN THE COURT OF THE ADDITIONAL MUNSIFF, CHERTHALA

Present: Sri. Mahesh.M., Additional Munsiff

Saturday, the 20th day of September, 2025/ 29th Bhadram, 1947.

O.S. No. 542/2024
(Filed on 05.09.2024)

Plaintiff:-

Shyna Devaraj, aged 56, W/o C R Devaraj,
Charankattu House, Kanichukulangara, S N Puram P O,
Partner-M/s The Bharath Agencies, Head Office near -
Iron Bridge, AS Canal Road, Cherthala,
**By Adv.N.Balachandran, Adv.Bhupesh.B, Adv.Aromal.S,
Adv.Akhil.R.Babu & Adv.Sanaja.P.N.**

Defendants:-

1. M/s Bharath Agencies, Head Office near Iron Bridge,
AS Canal Road, Cherthala, represented by its
Managing Partner, C R Jairaj, aged 61 years,
S/o late C.V.Rajappan, Charangattu House,
Kanichukulangara, Cherthala.
2. C R Jairaj, aged 61 years, S/o late C.V.Rajappan,
Charangattu House, Kanichukulangara, Cherthala.
3. R.Anitha, aged 64 years, D/o late C.V.Rajappan,
Illikattu House, Edappally.P.O, Ernakulam,
Partner- M/s Bharath Agencies.
4. R.Ambily, aged 56, D/o late C.V. Rajappan,
Charankattu House, Kanichukulangara,
Cherthala, now residing at '964, Rosehedge Ct,
Concord, CA 94521, United States of America-
Partner M/s Bharath Agencies.

5. T.S.Salim, aged 67 years, S/o Late T.R.Sudhakaran, Thoppil House, Thannippuzha, Perumbavoor, Partner M/s Bharath Agencies.
 6. Jyotsna Ben.P, aged 56, W/o T.S.Babu, Thoppil House, Near Nagarjuna, Okkal P.O, Chelamattam Village, Kunnathunadu Taluk, Kalady, Ernakulam.
 7. Aiswarya Babu, aged 19 years, D/o Late T.S. Babu, Palekudy House, Kanjoor, Aluva, Ernakulam District.
 8. Shubha Jairaj, aged 59 years, W/o C.R.Jairaj, Charankattu House, Kanichukulangara, Cherthala.
 9. Anand Raj, aged 31 years, S/o C.R.Jairaj, Charankattu House, Kanichukulangara, Cherthala.
- D1, D2 and D5 by Adv. K.Premkumar.**
D3 by Adv.C.H.Chandrabhanu.
D4 by Adv.Maheshbhanu.S.
D6 by Adv. Aysha Abraham.
D7 by Adv.P.Vijayalakshmi.
D8, D9 by Adv.Simily Abraham

This suit for Declaration, Permanent Prohibitory Injunction and other reliefs having been heard on 20.09.2025 and the court on the same day passed the following :-

ORDER

Affidavit of undertaking filed by the 2nd defendant. Through the undertaking the apprehension of depletion of plaint schedule properties has come to an end. The question regarding validity and enforcement of the 3 partnership deeds mentioned in the suit is a matter of evidence and it cannot be considered or decided at this stage. As 2nd defendant has filed undertaking to the effect that he will not alienate, encumber or create 3rd party interests upon the plaint schedule properties, this court believes that the subject matter of the suit

has been protected. The defendant No. 2 is given a specific direction not to do anything as stated against the undertaking filed by him. With this direction the hearing of IA 1/2024 is adjourned till the disposal of OP(C)2176/2025 before the Hon'ble High Court. For filing objection to IA 1/2024 and written statement 06.11.2025.

(Pronounced by me in open court on this the 20th day of September, 2025.)

Sd/-
MAHESH M.
ADDITIONAL MUNSIFF

APPENDIX :- NIL

Sd/-
ADDITIONAL MUNSIFF