

IN THE COURT OF THE ADDITIONAL MUNSIFF, CHERTHALA

Present: Sri. Mahesh.M., Additional Munsiff

Tuesday, the 19th day of August, 2025/ 28th Shravanam, 1947.

IA No.07/2025 in O.S. No. 220/2009

(Filed on 20.05.2025)

Petitioners:-

1. Sarojiniyamma, D/o Bharathiyamma,
Lakshmi Nilayam from Kannezhathu Madam,
Padinjattumkara South Muri, Thuravoor Village,
2. Madhavankutty, S/o Sarojiniyamma,
Lakshmi Nilayam from Kannezhathu Madam,
Padinjattumkara South Muri, Thuravoor Village.
3. Santhosh, S/o Sarojiniyamma,
Lakshmi Nilayam from Kannezhathu Madam,
Padinjattumkara South Muri, Thuravoor Village,
4. Unnikrishnan, Anjanam, Karattu Pallikkara,
Perumbavoor Village, from Lakshmi Nilayam from
Kannezhathu Madam, Padinjattumkara South Muri,
Thuravoor Village.
5. Vijayalakshmi, Pongayil, Thalayazham.P.O,
Vaikom Village, from Lakshmi Nilayam from
Kannezhathu Madam, Padinjattumkara South Muri,
Thuravoor Village.
6. Remadevi.M.P, aged 59, W/o Unnikrishnan,
Anjanam, Karattu Pallikkara, Perumbavoor Village,

Kunnathunadu Taluk, Ernakulam District.

7. Anjana Unnikrishnan, aged 31,
D/o Unnikrishnan, Anjanam, Karattu Pallikkara,
Perumbavoor Village, Kunnathunadu Taluk,
Ernakulam District.
8. Keerthana Unnikrishnan, aged 28,
D/o Unnikrishnan, Anjanam, Karattu Pallikkara,
Perumbavoor Village, Kunnathunadu Taluk,
Ernakulam District.

By Adv.Jacob Tomlin Varghese

Respondents:-

1. Mohanakumari, D/o Chandramathiyamma,
Sreedharam Veedu, Thekke Kandallor Kara,
Kandallor Village, Karthikappally Taluk.
2. Sreedevi, D/o Chandramathiyamma,
Chandralayam Veedu, Padinjattumkara Thekkum Muri,
Thuravoor Thekku Village, Cherthala Taluk.

By Adv. C.N.Ponnappan

This petition having been finally heard on 14.08.2025 and the court on 19.08.2025 passed the following :-

ORDER

The case of the petitioners is that they are the legal heirs of deceased 4th plaintiff and they seeks permission to get themselves as additional plaintiffs No. 6 to 8. The respondent filed objection stating that there is delay in the petition and the suit as regard to 4th plaintiff is abated. Heard both sides. The

contention that the suit is abated is meritless because the right to she survives. With regard to the delay occurred, this court is of the view that the reason projected by the petitioners are credible. Hence the IA is allowed delay is condoned. The petitioners are impleaded in the suit as additional plaintiffs No.6 to 8. Carryout impleadment.

(Pronounced by me in open court on this the 19th day of August, 2025.)

Sd/-
MAHESH M.
ADDITIONAL MUNSIFF

APPENDIX :- NIL

Sd/-
ADDITIONAL MUNSIFF