

1 Clo R 11/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Ajadiya Ajitbhai Babubhai
61;Cc Ni Act 30998/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Nisha Singh
62;Cc Ni Act 30999/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Sameja Sabirbhai Rajabhai
63;Cc Ni Act 31000/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Abhishek Rawat
64;Cc Ni Act 31001/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Nafis
65;Cc Ni Act 31002/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Girraj Kishore Sharma
66;Cc Ni Act 31003/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Subhash
67;Cc Ni Act 31004/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Ashok Choudhary
68;Cc Ni Act 31005/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Luhar Jayantibhai Mansangbhai
69;Cc Ni Act 31006/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Ranjeet Singh
70;Cc Ni Act 31007/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Jabbar Kasam Shaikh
71;Cc Ni Act 31008/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Mahesh Kumar
72;Cc Ni Act 31009/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Pritam Kumari Meena
73;Cc Ni Act 31010/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Bharat Kumar Bhatiya
74;Cc Ni Act 31011/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Shivvaran
75;Cc Ni Act 31012/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Maruo Devi
76;Cc Ni Act 31013/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Teju
77;Cc Ni Act 31014/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Surjeet Kumar
78;Cc Ni Act 31015/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Loru Mariyam
79;Cc Ni Act 31016/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Prince Juneja
80;Cc Ni Act 31017/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Julfiker Mondal
81;Cc Ni Act 31018/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Ejaki Bai
82;Cc Ni Act 31019/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Chhannu Tandon
13.04.2026

Fresh case received by way of assignment through e-filing. It be checked and registered.

Present : Mr. Rohan Talwar, Ld. counsel for complainant

Physical file submitted by complainant.

This complaint is filed U/s. 25 r/w Section 27 of the Payment and Settlement Systems Act, 2007. It is alleged in the complaint that the accused had issued the NACH mandate in favour of complainant in discharge of his liability. On presentation, the NACH mandate was returned dishonored. Thereafter, the complainant made the demand for the payment of the NACH mandate amount by giving the statutory notice. The accused failed to make the payment within the prescribed period of 15 days from the receipt of the demand notice. Complaint perused. Documents perused.

SIDDHANT
KUMAR
Digitally signed
by SIDDHANT
KUMAR
Date:
2026.04.13
15:45:47 +0530

Prima-facie, there is sufficient material to proceed further. Accordingly, this Court takes cognizance of the offence U/s. 25 r/w Section 27 of the Payment and Settlement Systems Act, 2007.

The complainant/AR of complainant has also filed his evidence by way of affidavit **Ex.CW-1/A** to prove the averments made in the complaint. It has led PSE by tendering the affidavit. The complainant/AR of complainant has reiterated the facts as averred in the complaint. Further, the complainant/AR of complainant states that the complainant does not wish to lead any further PSE. Thus, pre-summoning evidence on behalf of complainant is closed.

I have heard arguments on point of summoning and perused the complaint, evidence by way of affidavit, bank memo, NACH mandate, demand notice and other documents on record. Prima facie the complaint is within limitation. In view of the averments made in the complaint, this Court has territorial jurisdiction to entertain this complaint. No other offence other than U/s. 25 r/w Section 27 of the Payment and Settlement Systems Act, 2007 is made out against the accused person(s).

Perusal of the record shows that the accused is the individual. Let summons be issued to accused at the given address(es) through all permitted modes including dasti on filing of PF within 90 days, returnable **04.09.2027**.

Complainant is directed to file tracking report and affidavit of service on NDOH.

Digitally signed
by SIDDHANT
KUMAR
Date:
2026.04.13
15:45:52
+0530

(Siddhant Kumar)
JMFC (NI Act)-05/PHC/NDD
13.04.2026